

JEAN GIRAUD

**Improvement of Grauert-Riemenschneider's
theorem for a normal surface**

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 32, n° 4 (1982), p. 13-23

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1982__32_4_13_0

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

IMPROVEMENT OF GRAUERT-RIEMENSCHNEIDER'S THEOREM FOR A NORMAL SURFACE

by Jean GIRAUD

1. Vanishing theorem.

1.1. A *surface* is a noetherian, excellent, normal scheme of dimension 2. A desingularization of X is a proper and birational map $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that \tilde{X} is regular. The set

$$(1) \quad \text{Sing}(f) = \{x \in X, \dim(f^{-1}(x)) > 0\}$$

is made up of finitely many closed points and f is an isomorphism above

$$(2) \quad X_f = X - \text{Sing}(f) \subset X_{\text{reg}} = \{x \in X, 0_{x,x} \text{ is regular}\}.$$

We usually denote by E_i the irreducible components of

$$(3) \quad E(f) = f^{-1}(\text{Sing}(f))$$

and for $A = \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{Z}$ or \mathbf{Q} , we let

$$(4) \quad \text{NS}(f, A) = \bigoplus A E_i.$$

We do not assume that $X_f = X_{\text{reg}}$, hence X itself may be regular. For any $V = \sum V_i \cdot E_i \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Q})$, we write

$$(5) \quad V \geq 0 \text{ when all } V_i \text{ are } \geq 0$$

$$(6) \quad V \geq 0 \text{ when all } -V \cdot E_i \text{ are } \geq 0.$$

Note that the minus sign is justified by

$$(7) \quad V \geq 0 \Rightarrow V \geq 0.$$

To prove (7) we let $V = V_+ - V_-$; since $V \geq 0$, we have

$0 \leq -V \cdot V = -V_+ \cdot V_- + V_-^2 \leq V_-^2$, hence $V_- = 0$, since the intersection matrix is negative definite. We introduce the dual basis of $\text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Q})$

$$(8) \quad E_i^* \text{ defined by } E_i^* \cdot E_j = -\delta_{ij}$$

and we observe that

$$(9) \quad E_i^* \geq 0, \quad dE_i^* \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{N})$$

where d is the absolute value of the determinant of the intersection matrix.

LEMMA 1.2. — *For any $V \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Q})$ there exists a unique $[V] \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Z})$ such that*

- (i) $V \leq [V]$,
- (ii) if $W \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Z})$ and if $V \leq W$ then $[V] \leq W$.

We will prove that $[V]$ is the infimum for the usual order relation of $E(V) = \{W \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Z}), V \leq W\}$. Let $N \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $dN \leq \inf(V \cdot E_i)$; we have $-dN \sum E_i^* \in E(V)$, hence $E(V)$ is non empty. For $i = 1, 2$, let $W_i = \sum W_{i,j} E_j \in E(V)$ and let $Z = \sum Z_j E_j$ with $Z_j = \inf(W_{1,j}, W_{2,j})$. By Artin's trick we prove that $Z \in E(V)$ as follows. For any j , we have $Z_j = W_{1,j}$ or $Z_j = W_{2,j}$. By symmetry we can assume that $Z_j = W_{1,j}$ and we get

$$Z \cdot E_j = W_{1,j} E_j^2 + \sum_{k \neq j} Z_k E_k \cdot E_j \leq W_{1,j} \cdot E_j \leq V \cdot E_j$$

hence $Z \geq V$. To conclude, we note that the coordinates of any $W = \sum W_i E_i \in E(V)$ are bounded from below since $W_i = -W \cdot E_i^* \geq -V \cdot E_i^*$ since E_i^* is ≥ 0 . Observe the obvious

$$(1) \quad [V+W] \leq [V] + [W]; \quad [V+E] = [V] + E \text{ if } E \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Z}).$$

We also let

$$(2) \quad [V] = -[-V] \text{ in such a way that } [V] \leq V \leq [V].$$

1.3. Let L be an invertible sheaf on \tilde{X} . We define

$$(1) \quad e_f(L) \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Q}) \quad \text{by} \quad e_f(L) \cdot E_i = \deg(L|E_i) \text{ for any } i.$$

We also write $L \geq 0$ instead of $e_f(L) \geq 0$ and this means $L.E_i \leq 0$ for all i . We will often drop the subscript f . Sending V to $0_{\tilde{X}}(V)$ we identify $NS(f, Z)$ to a subgroup of $Pic(\tilde{X})$ and since $V = e_f(0_{\tilde{X}}(V))$, $0_{\tilde{X}}(V) \geq 0$ is equivalent to $V \geq 0$. Hence, when we write $Pic(\tilde{X})$ additively, we can safely write V in place of $0_{\tilde{X}}(V)$ and $L + V$ in place of $L(V) = L \otimes 0_{\tilde{X}}(V)$. We will sometimes write $[L]$ instead of $[e_f(L)]$.

1.4. We can also give an algorithmic description of $[V]$ as follows. Start with $Z \in NS(f, Z)$ such that $Z \leq [V]$. For instance, if $V = \sum V_i E_i$ let $Z = \sum V'_i E_i$ where V'_i is the smallest integer $\geq V_i$. If $Z \neq [V]$ there must exist a i such that $Z.E_i > V.E_i$ and we still have $Z + E_i \leq [V]$. In fact, since $V \leq [V]$, we have $([V] - Z).E_i \leq (V - Z).E_i < 0$, hence $([V] - Z) \geq E_i$ since $[V] - Z$ is effective with integral coefficients. We now replace Z by $Z + E_i$ and reach $[V]$ in a finite number of steps.

VANISHING THEOREM 1.5. — *Let $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization of a normal surface X , let $E = f^{-1}(\text{Singl}(f))$ and let L be an invertible sheaf on \tilde{X} .*

(i) *If $[L] \geq 0$ then $H_E^1(\tilde{X}, L) = 0$.*

(ii) *If $[L] \geq 0$ then $f_*(L)$ is reflexive.*

(iii) *Let K be the dualizing sheaf of \tilde{X} . If $[K - L] \geq 0$ then $R^1 f_*(L) = 0$.*

1.5.1. To prove (i) we let $M = [L]$ and $L' = L(-M)$ in such a way that $[L'] = 0$ and $M \geq 0$, $M \in NS(f, N)$. For any $V \in NS(f, N)$, $V \neq 0$, there exists an E_i such that $(L' + V).E_i < 0$. Otherwise we would have $L' + V \leq 0$ hence $L' \leq -V$, hence $0 = [L'] \leq -V < 0$ which is impossible. We observe that E_i must be contained in the support of V , otherwise we would have $V.E_i \geq 0$, hence

$$(L' + V).E_i \geq ([L'] + V).E_i = V.E_i \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, since $M \geq 0$, we have

$$(L + V).E_i = (L' + M + V).E_i \leq (L' + V).E_i < 0.$$

As a consequence we get

$$(1) \quad V - E_i \in NS(f, N) \quad \text{and} \quad (L + V).E_i < 0.$$

As a consequence we get $H^0(E_i, L(V)|E_i) = 0$ hence the map

$$(2) \quad H^0(V - E_i; L(V - E_i)|(V - E_i)) \rightarrow H^0(V; L(V)|V)$$

is surjective. By induction on V , we conclude that, if $[L] \geq 0$, we have

$$(3) \quad H^0(V, L(V)|V) = 0 \quad \text{for any } V \in \text{NS}(f; \mathbf{N})$$

hence $H_E^1(\tilde{X}; L) = \varinjlim H^0(V; L(V)|V) = 0$. This proves (i) and we get (iii) by duality.

1.5.2. To prove (ii), we can assume that $[L] = 0$ since $f_*(L)$ reflexive implies that, for any $V \in \text{NS}(f; \mathbf{N})$, the map $f_*(L) \rightarrow f_*(L(V))$ is an isomorphism. Let $u: f_*(L) \rightarrow f_*(L)^{vv}$ be the map from $f_*(L)$ to its bidual. Since L is invertible, we know that u is an isomorphism over the open subset X_f of X . Since X is normal, we know that $\text{coker}(u)$ is finite and since f is proper, this implies the existence of some $V \in \text{NS}(f; \mathbf{N})$ such that $f_*(L)^{vv} = f_*(L(V))$. Since $[L] = 0$, we know that $H^0(V, L(V)|V) = 0$ hence $f_*(L) \rightarrow f_*(L(V))$ is an isomorphism and this concludes the proof.

1.5.3. We do not really need duality for surfaces to state and prove (iii). In fact, we can define

$$(1) \quad K_f \in \text{NS}(f; \mathbf{Q}) \quad \text{by} \quad (K_f + E_i) \cdot E_i = -2\chi(0_{E_i}) \quad \text{for all } i,$$

and write the hypothesis $[K_f - e_f(L)] \geq 0$. As for the proof it runs parallel to the proof of (i) and uses the fact that $H^1(E_i, M) = 0$ if M is an invertible sheaf on the reduced and irreducible Gorenstein curve E_i with $\text{deg}(M) > -2\chi(0_{E_i})$; details are left to the reader. We define $C(f)$ and C_+ in $\text{NS}(f; \mathbf{N})$ by

$$(2) \quad [K_f] = C_+ - C(f).$$

Observe that if we denote by $K_{\tilde{X}}$ and K_X the dualizing sheaves of \tilde{X} and X we have

$$(3) \quad K_f = e_f(K_{\tilde{X}}) \quad \text{and} \quad K_X = f_*(K_{\tilde{X}}(C(f))).$$

The first formula comes from (1). For the second observe that $[K_{\tilde{X}}(C(f))] = K_{\tilde{X}} + C(f) = C_+ \geq 0$ hence its direct image is reflexive by (1.5(ii)) and coincide with K_X over X_f , hence it must be K_X .

COROLLARY 1.6. — *Under the hypothesis of (1.5), let L be an invertible sheaf on \tilde{X} such that $[L] = 0$. Then $f_*(L)$ is reflexive and the map $u: \mathbf{R}^1 f_*(L) \rightarrow H^1(C(f), L|C(f))$ is an isomorphism.*

We know that u is surjective. Let us introduce $V \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $[K_f + C(f) - e_f(L) - V] = 0$. We claim that $V \geq 0$. In fact $0 = [K_f + C(f) - e_f(L) - V] \geq K_f + C(f) - e_f(L) - V$ hence $e_f(L) + V \geq K_f + C(f)$ hence $V = [e_f(L) + V] \geq [K_f + C(f)] = C_+ \geq 0$. We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (L) & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (L|C(f)) \\
 \downarrow v & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (L(V)) & \xrightarrow{w} & \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (L(V)|C(f)).
 \end{array}$$

By (1.5.1(3)), the morphism v is injective hence it is enough to show that w is injective. This follows from $\mathbf{R}^1 f_* (L(V - C(f))) = 0$ which comes from (1.5 (iii)) since $[K_f - e_f(L) - V + C(f)] = 0$.

COROLLARY 1.7. — *We have $\mathbf{R}^1 f_* (0_{\tilde{X}}) \simeq H^1(C(f); 0_{C(f)})$ and $\mathbf{R}^1 f_* (0_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ is equivalent to $C(f) = 0$.*

We get the isomorphism by (1.6) applied to $L = 0_{\tilde{X}}$. Hence $C(f) = 0$ implies $\mathbf{R}^1 f_* (0_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$. Conversely, if $\mathbf{R}^1 f_* (0_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ and $C(f) \neq 0$, we have $\chi(0_{C(f)}) > 0$ which means

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 > (K_{\tilde{X}} + C(f)) \cdot C(f) &= (K_f + C(f)) \cdot C(f) \\
 &\geq ([K_f] + C(f)) \cdot C(f) = C_+ \cdot C(f) \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

PROPOSITION 1.8. — *Let $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization of a normal surface X and let M be a reflexive sheaf of rank one on X . There exists a pair (L, u) where L is an invertible sheaf on \tilde{X} such that $[e_f(L)] = 0$ and $u: f_*(L)|X_f \simeq M|X_f$ is an isomorphism. The pair (L, u) is unique up to a unique isomorphism. Furthermore $M = f_*(L)$.*

1.8.1. It is clear that there exists a pair (L', u') , where L' is invertible on \tilde{X} and $u': f_*(L')|X_f \simeq M|X_f$ is an isomorphism. If (L'', u'') is another solution, we canonically have $L'' = L'(V)$, $V \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbb{Z})$, hence we get existence and uniqueness since $[e_f(L'(V))] = [e_f(L')] + V$. By (1.5(ii)), $f_*(L)$ is reflexive since $[e_f(L)] = 0$, hence $f_*(L) \simeq M$ since both are reflexive and coincide over X_f .

1.8.2. We denote by $f^v(M)$ the invertible sheaf on \tilde{X} characterized by $[f^v(M)] = 0$ and $f_*(f^v(M)) = M$. We observe that we have

$$(1) \quad e_f(f^v(M)) \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Q}), \quad e_f(f^v(M)) \leq 0,$$

but this element is not necessarily zero. However, if M is *invertible*, we obviously have $f^v(M) = f^*(M)$ since $e_f(f^*(M)) = 0$. More generally, it is useful to compare $f^v(M)$ with another lifting \tilde{M} defined as follows

$$(2) \quad M' = f^*(M)/\text{torsion} \quad \tilde{M} = M'^{vv} = \text{bidual of } M'.$$

COROLLARY 1.8.3. — *Let M be a reflexive sheaf of rank one on X . Then $M \leq 0$ and $[\tilde{M}] \leq 0$. We have $f^v(M) = \tilde{M}(-[\tilde{M}])$.*

Since M' is torsion free of rank one it is invertible except at finitely many closed points; hence \tilde{M} is invertible. To prove that $\tilde{M} \leq 0$, assume that there exists E_i such that $\tilde{M}.E_i < 0$. Then $f_*(\tilde{M}(-E_i)) = f_*(\tilde{M}) = M$. In a neighborhood U of the generic point of E_i , we have $M' = \tilde{M}$, hence \tilde{M} is generated on a possibly smaller neighborhood U' by sections of M , hence we cannot have $f_*(\tilde{M}(-E_i)) = f_*(\tilde{M})$. By definition of $[\tilde{M}]$, we get $[\tilde{M}] \leq 0$ out of $\tilde{M} \leq 0$. We deduce $f^v(M) = \tilde{M}(-[\tilde{M}])$ from $[\tilde{M}(-[\tilde{M}])] = 0$.

COROLLARY 1.8.4. — *Assume that \tilde{X} dominates some desingularization X' of X . We have $f = gh$ with $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{h} X' \xrightarrow{g} X$. For any reflexive sheaf of rank one M on X we have $f^v(M) = h^*(g^v(M))$.*

Since \tilde{X} and X' are regular and h proper and birational, we have $h_*h^*(g^v(M)) = g^v(M)$ hence $f_*h^*(g^v(M)) = M$, hence we only have to prove that $[e_f(h^*(g^v(M)))] = 0$. We use the map

$$(1) \quad h^* : \text{NS}(g, \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Q})$$

which preserves integrality, positivity and the intersection numbers. We still have to prove that we have, for any $V \in \text{NS}(g, \mathbf{Q})$

$$(2) \quad h^*([V]) = [h^*(V)].$$

For any $E \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{N})$, we have $h^*(V).E = V.h_*(E) \geq [V].h_*(E) = h^*([V]).E$, hence $h^*(V) \leq h^*([V])$, hence $[h^*(V)] \leq h^*([V])$, in other words $h^*([V]) = [h^*(V)] + A$, $A \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{N})$.

From $h^*(V) \leq [h^*(V)]$, we deduce $V \leq h_*([h^*(V)]) = h_*h^*([V]) - h_*(A) = [V] - h_*(A)$. By definition of $[V]$, we deduce that $[V] \leq [V] - h_*(A)$, hence $h_*(A) = 0$, hence $A \in \text{NS}(h, \mathbf{N})$. We get $0 = h^*(V)$. $A \geq [h^*(V)]$. $A = h^*([V])$. $A - A^2 = -A^2$, hence $A = 0$.

PROPOSITION 1.9. — *Let $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and assume that $\mathbf{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$.*

(i) *Let M be a reflexive sheaf of rank one on X . We have $f^v(M) = f^*(M)/\text{torsion}$ and $\mathbf{R}^1 f_*(f^v(L)) = 0$.*

(ii) *Let L be an invertible sheaf on \tilde{X} such that $L \leq 0$. The map $f^* f_*(L) \rightarrow L$ is surjective and $\mathbf{R}^1 f_*(L) = 0$.*

We first prove (ii). We let $M = f_*(L)$, $L_0 = \text{Im}(f^*(M) \rightarrow L)$, $L_1 = \text{bidual of } L_0$ and we get $L_0 \subset L_1 \subset L$ and $M \subset f_*(L_0) \subset f_*(L_1) \subset f_*(L) = M$. Since $\mathbf{R}^1 f_*(L_0) = 0$, we get $f_*(L_1/L_0) = 0$ and this implies $L_1/L_0 = 0$ since L_1/L_0 has finite support. Let us define $V \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{N})$ by $L = L_0(V)$. We have $f_*(L|V) = 0$, hence $\chi(K_V) = \chi(L|V) - L \cdot V = -h^1(L|V) - L \cdot V \leq -L \cdot V$. Since $L \leq 0$, we get $-L \cdot V \leq 0$ hence $\chi(\mathcal{O}_V) \leq 0$, hence $V = 0$ since $h^1(\mathcal{O}_V) = 0$. This means that $L_0 = L$, from which $\mathbf{R}^1 f_*(L) = 0$ follows.

To prove (i) we let $L = f^v(M)$ and apply (ii) to L (see (1.8.3)); recall that $M = f_* f^v(L)$ by (1.8).

As an exercise, we now deduce some well known facts about rational singularities.

PROPOSITION 1.10. — *Let $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization and assume that $\mathbf{R}^1 f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$. Let I be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_X . The following conditions are equivalent*

(i) *I is integrally closed and $\text{IO}_{\tilde{X}}$ is invertible,*

(ii) *$I = f_*((\text{IO}_{\tilde{X}})^{vv})$,*

(iii) *There exists an effective divisor D on \tilde{X} , with $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-D) \geq 0$ such that $I = f_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-D))$.*

Furthermore, if we have (iii), we necessarily have $\text{IO}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{X}}(-D)$.

If $\text{IO}_{\tilde{X}}$ is invertible, then \tilde{X} dominates the normalized blowing up of I , hence $f_*(\text{IO}_{\tilde{X}})$ is the integral closure of I . Hence (i) \Rightarrow (ii), since in that case $\text{IO}_{\tilde{X}} = \text{IO}_{\tilde{X}}^{vv}$. Since $(\text{IO}_{\tilde{X}})^{vv} \leq 0$, we have $\text{IO}_{\tilde{X}}^{vv} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-D)$, with D effective (not necessarily vertical) and $D \geq 0$; hence (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). If we assume (iii), then I is integrally closed and (1.9 (ii)) implies that

$IO_{\tilde{X}} = O_{\tilde{X}}(-D)$, hence (iii) \Rightarrow (i) and we have also proven the last assertion.

It follows that we have a 1-1-correspondance between ideals I of O_X which satisfy the above conditions and effective divisors D on X with $D \geq 0$. We have that I is primary if and only if D is vertical ($\dim f(D) = 0$) and I is reflexive (i.e. the ideal of a Weil divisor) if and only if $[D] = 0$. Observe that (1.9(i)) tells us that a reflexive I satisfy (i). Observe that if I is the maximal ideal of some closed point x , then we must have (ii), hence the corresponding D must be the connected component of the fundamental cycle corresponding to x . To complete the picture, recall Lipman's result saying that the set of ideals satisfying (i) is stable by multiplication, which means that $f_*(O_{\tilde{X}}(-D-E)) = f_*(O_{\tilde{X}}(-D))f_*(O_{\tilde{X}}(-E))$ if D and E are effective and $D \geq 0, E \geq 0$.

Example 1.11. — We now assume that $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the *minimal* desingularization and that X is the spectrum of a local ring R with algebraically closed residue field, in such a way that $K_{\tilde{X}} \leq 0$; this implies $[K_f] = -C(f)$. Assume that K_X is *invertible* which means that R is a *Gorenstein ring*. Since $f^*(K_X) = K_{\tilde{X}}(V)$ for some vertical V and $e_f(f^*(K_X)) = 0$, we conclude that $V = K_f$, hence K_f has integral coefficients, hence $K_f = -C(f)$ and $K_{\tilde{X}}(C(f)) = f^*(K_X) \approx O_{\tilde{X}}$.

If we have rational singularity, we know that $C(f) = 0$, hence $K_f = 0$, hence we get the well known result that $E_i^2 = -2$ for all i . If $C(f) \neq 0$, we still have that the dualizing sheaf $K_{C(f)} = K_{\tilde{X}}(C(f)) \otimes O_{C(f)}$ is isomorphic to $O_{C(f)}$. The converse is also true, see for instance [2].

2. Genus formula.

2.1. Let k be a field and X be a proper k -scheme of dimension 2 which is normal. We want to study Weil divisors of X , or equivalently reflexive sheaves of rank one on X . Such a sheaf M is determined by the invertible sheaf $i^*(M)$ since $M \rightarrow i_*i^*(M)$ is an isomorphism where $i: X_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion of the open set X_{reg} made up of regular points of X . In other words, we study $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{reg}})$. Let $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization of X , we have an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{NS}(f, Z) \xrightarrow{a} \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{b} \text{Pic}(X_{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow 0$$

where $a(D)$ is the class of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(D)$ and b is induced by the inclusion $j: X_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow X$. The canonical lifting $f^v(M)$ of a reflexive sheaf of rank one M on X defined in (1.8.2) gives us a *non-linear* section of b . By composition with the usual map

$$(2) \quad e_f: \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Z})^* \subset \frac{1}{d} \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Z}) \subset \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Q}), \quad (1.3)$$

we get a class

$$(3) \quad e_f(f^v(M)) \in \frac{1}{d} \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Z})$$

which can only take a *finite number of values* since $[e_f(f^v(M))] = 0$. Of course, this is still non linear. To recover the classical linear theory of [6], we recall that, for $A = \mathbf{Z}$ or \mathbf{Q} , the quadratic module $\text{NS}(f, A)$ lies inside the Néron-Severi group $\text{NS}(\tilde{X}, A)$ and we define

$$(4) \quad \text{NS}(X, A) = \text{orthogonal of } \text{NS}(f, A) \text{ inside } \text{NS}(\tilde{X}, A)$$

which gives an orthogonal decomposition

$$(5) \quad \text{cl}(f^v(M)) = \text{cl}(M) + e_f(f^v(M))$$

inside $\text{NS}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{Q}) = \text{NS}(X, \mathbf{Q}) \oplus \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Q})$. We also have another linear invariant

$$(6) \quad d_f(M) = \text{class of } e_f(f^v(M)) \text{ in } \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Z})^*/\text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Z}).$$

It is clear that the two linear invariants $\text{cl}(M)$ and $d_f(M)$ can be computed with any lifting L of M , namely $\text{cl}(M)$ is the orthogonal projection on $\text{NS}(X, \mathbf{Q})$ of $\text{cl}(L)$ and $d_f(M)$ is the image of $e_f(L)$; proof: $L = f^v(M)(D)$ for some $D \in \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Z})$. For instance, if K_X and $K_{\tilde{X}}$ are the dualizing sheaves of X and \tilde{X} we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$(7) \quad \text{cl}(K_{\tilde{X}}) = \text{cl}(K_X) + K_f \quad (1.5.3)$$

and

$$(8) \quad e_f(K_X) = K_f - [K_f].$$

If we introduce the effective divisor $C(f) = [K_f]_-$ as in (1.5.3) we know that the multi-degree of $f^v(M)|_{C(f)}$ can only take a finite number of

values, hence the same holds for the length of

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (f^v(\mathbf{M})) = \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{C}(f); f^v(\mathbf{M})|\mathbf{C}(f)), \quad (1.6).$$

THEOREM 2.2. — *Let \mathbf{M} be a reflexive sheaf of rank one on \mathbf{X} . We have*

$$(1) \quad \chi(\mathbf{M}) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{cl}(\mathbf{M}), \text{cl}(\mathbf{M}) - \text{cl}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{X}})) + \chi(\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \frac{1}{2} e(\mathbf{M}) d(\mathbf{M})$$

where the scalar product is computed in $\text{NS}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Q})$ and for any desingularization $f: \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ of \mathbf{X} we have

$$(2) \quad e(\mathbf{M}) = (e_f(f^v(\mathbf{M})), e_f(f^v(\mathbf{M})) - \mathbf{K}_f)$$

$$(3) \quad d(\mathbf{M}) = \lg \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (f^v(\mathbf{M})) - \lg \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (\mathbf{O}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}) \\ = h^1(\mathbf{C}(f); f^v(\mathbf{M})|\mathbf{C}(f)) - h^1(\mathbf{C}(f); \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{C}(f)}) \quad (1.5.3).$$

Proof. — Apply the usual Riemann-Roch formula to $f^v(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{L}$. Since $\mathbf{M} = f_* (f^v(\mathbf{M}))$, we get

$$\chi(\mathbf{M}) = \chi(\mathbf{L}) + \lg \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (\mathbf{L}) = (\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{K}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}})/2 + \chi(\mathbf{O}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}) + \lg \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (\mathbf{L}) \\ = \chi(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{K}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}})/2 + \chi(\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{X}}) + \lg \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (\mathbf{L}) - \lg \mathbf{R}^1 f_* (\mathbf{O}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}})$$

and split the scalar product $(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{K}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}})$ according to the orthogonal decomposition $\text{NS}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{Q}) = \text{NS}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Q}) + \text{NS}(f, \mathbf{Q})$.

According to (1.8.4), the terms $e(\mathbf{M})$ and $d(\mathbf{M})$ do not depend on the choice of the desingularization. Furthermore we have

$$(4) \quad e(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_{x \in \text{Sing}(\mathbf{X})} e(\mathbf{M}, x), \quad d(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_{x \in \text{Sing}(\mathbf{X})} d(\mathbf{M}, x)$$

where $e(\mathbf{M}, x)$ and $d(\mathbf{M}, x)$ are defined by replacing \mathbf{X} by $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{X}, x})$, or even by $\text{Spec}(\hat{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{X}, x})$ as is easily seen. Furthermore $e(\mathbf{M}, x) = d(\mathbf{M}, x) = 0$ if \mathbf{M} is invertible in a neighborhood of x . Furthermore $d(\mathbf{M}, x) = 0$ if $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{X}, x}$ is a rational singularity (1.7). We also know that $e(\mathbf{M})$ and $d(\mathbf{M})$ can only take a finite number of values.

For $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, we let $\mathbf{M}^n = i_* (i^*(\mathbf{M})^n) = \text{bidual of } \mathbf{M}^{\otimes n}$ and we have

$$(5) \quad \chi(\mathbf{M}^n) = \frac{n^2}{2} (\text{cl}(\mathbf{M}), \text{cl}(\mathbf{M})) - \frac{n}{2} (\text{cl}(\mathbf{M}), \text{cl}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{X}})) \\ + \chi(\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{X}}) + e(\mathbf{M}^n)/2 + d(\mathbf{M}^n).$$

Observe that $e(M^n) = 0$ if the determinant of the intersection matrix divides n . In fact, in that case, we have $d_f(M^n) = 0$ hence $e_f(f^v(M)) = [e_f(f^v(M))] = 0$. For instance, if X is the Satake compactification of some Hilbert-Blumenthal surface and $M = K_X$, we can get an a priori proof of the formula for the rank of the vector spaces $H^0(X, K_X^n)$ of automorphic forms [3].

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

- [1] M. ARTIN, On isolated rational singularities of surfaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, (1966), 129-136.
- [2] L. BADESCU, Dualizing divisors of two dimensional singularities, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, XXV, 5, 695-707.
- [3] J. GIRAUD, Intersections sur les surfaces normales, *Séminaire sur les singularités des Surfaces*, Janv. 1979, École Polytechnique.
- [4] H. GRAUERT, O. RIEMENSCHNEIDER, Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Räumen, *Inv. Math.*, 11 (1970), 263-292.
- [5] J. LIPMAN, Rational singularities, *Pub. Math. I.H.E.S.*, 36 (1969), 195-279.
- [6] D. MUMFORD, The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity, *Pub. Math. I.H.E.S.*, 11 (1961), 229-246.
- [7] J. WAHL, Vanishing theorems for resolutions of surface singularities, *Inv. Math.*, 31 (1975), 17-41.

Manuscrit reçu le 26 février 1982.

Jean GIRAUD,
École Normale Supérieure
Service de Mathématiques
Grille d'Honneur - Parc de St-Cloud
92210 Saint-Cloud.
