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# APPROXIMATION OF HARMONIC FUNCTIONS 

by Björn E. J. DAHLBERG

## 1. Introduction.

In this note we shall study the following approximation problem : Let $u$ be harmonic in a domain D that has a regular boundary. When is it possible to find functions $f_{j}$ of bounded variation in D (that is functions whose gradients are bounded in D ) such that $\sup _{\mathrm{D}}\left|f-f_{j}\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow+\infty$ ? The main result of this paper is that this approximation is always possible if $u$ is the Poisson integral of a function $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}(\sigma), p \geqslant 2$, where $\sigma$ denotes the surface measure of $\partial \mathrm{D}$ and is not always possible if $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}(\sigma), p<2$.

This type of approximation appears implicity in the main step of the proof of the Corona theorem, see Carleson [1,2], for the case when $u$ is a bounded and holomorphic function. For the case when $u$ is the Poisson integral of a function of bounded mean oscillation BMO this type of approximation has been carried out by Varopoulos [9] and Garnett [5]. In these cases it is required that the approximands $f_{j}$ have gradients that are Carleson measures:

Theorem 1. - Suppose $u$ is harmonic in a bounded Lipschitz domain $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 2$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a function $\varphi$ such that $|u-\varphi|<\varepsilon$ in D and for all $\mathrm{P} \in \partial \mathrm{D}$ we have that

$$
\int_{\beta(r)}|\nabla \varphi| d \mathrm{Q} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left[\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\beta\left(C_{r}\right)}|\nabla u|^{2} \operatorname{dist}\{\mathrm{Q}, \partial \mathrm{D}\} d \mathrm{Q}+\varepsilon r^{n-1}\right] .
$$

Here $\beta(r)=\{\mathrm{Q} \in \mathrm{D}:|\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}|<r\}$ and $\nabla \varphi$ denotes the gradient of $\varphi$. The constant C only depends on D .

We remark that this result means that $\varphi$ is of bounded variation if $\int_{\mathbf{D}}|\nabla u|^{2}$ dist $\{\mathbf{Q}, \partial \mathbf{D}\} d \mathbf{Q}<\infty$. It's known that this happens if and only if $u$
is the Poisson integral of a function $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{2}(\sigma)$, see Stein [8] for the case of domains with smooth boundaries and Dahlberg [3] for the case of Lipschitz domains.

We recall that a measure $\mu$ is called a Carleson measure if $|\mu|(\beta(\mathrm{P}, r)) \leqslant \mathrm{Cr}^{n-1}$ for all $\mathrm{P} \in \partial \mathrm{D}$. It's known that a harmonic function $u$ is the Poisson integral of a function of bounded mean oscillation if and only if $|\nabla u|^{2}$ dist $\{\mathbf{Q}, \partial\}$ is a Carleson measure, see Fefferman-Stein [4] for the case of smooth domains and this has recently been shown to hold for Lipschitz domains by E. Fabes and U. Neri (unpublished). Therefore $|\nabla \varphi| d \mathbf{Q}$ is a Carleson measure if and only if $u$ is the Poisson integral of BMO-function, see Varopoulos [9].

Theorem 2. - Let U denote the unit disk in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. If $p<2$ then there is an $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}(\sigma, \partial \mathrm{U})$ such that if $u=\mathrm{Pf}$ then

$$
\sup _{\mathrm{U}}|\mathbf{u}-\varphi|=\infty
$$

for all $\varphi$ that are of bounded variation in U .
In addition to this exemple it's known that there are bounded holomorphic functions that are not of bounded variation, see Rudin [7].

## 2. The method of approximation.

We start by recalling that a bounded domain $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ is called a Lipschitz domain if $\partial \mathrm{D}$ can be covered by finitely many open right circular cylinders whose bases have a positive distance from $\partial \mathrm{D}$ and corresponding to each cylinder L there is a coordinate system $(x, y)$ with $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}, y \in \mathbf{R}$, with the $y$-axis parallel to the axis of L and a function $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfying a Lipschitz condition (i.e. $|\varphi(x)-\varphi(z)| \leqslant M|x-z|)$ such that

$$
\mathrm{L} \cap \mathrm{D}=\{(x, y): y>\varphi(x)\} \cap \mathrm{L}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{L}=\{(x, y): y=\varphi(x)\} \cap \mathbf{L}
$$

We recall that a Lipschitz domain D is starshaped with star center $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ and with standard inner cone $\Gamma$ if $\mathrm{P}^{*} \in \Gamma(\mathrm{P}) \subset \mathrm{D}$ for all $\mathrm{P} \in \partial \mathrm{D}$, where $\Gamma(\mathrm{P})$ denotes the cone with vertex $P$ having its axis along the line through $P$ and $P^{*}$ and being congruent to $\Gamma$. (With a cone we mean an open, non empty,
convex and possibly truncated cone). If $u$ is harmonic in D and $u\left(\mathrm{P}^{*}\right)=0$ we have the following result from Dahlberg [4] : Let $\gamma$ be a cone with the same vertex $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ as $\Gamma$ and assume that $\bar{\gamma}-\left\{\mathrm{P}_{0}\right\} \subset \Gamma$. Let $\gamma(\mathrm{P})$ be constructed as $\Gamma(\mathrm{P})$ and put

$$
\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{P})=\sup \{|u(\mathrm{Q})|: \mathrm{Q} \in \gamma(\mathrm{P})\}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}^{-1} \int_{\partial \mathbf{D}} \mathbf{M}^{2} d \sigma \leqslant \int_{\mathbf{D}}|\nabla u|^{2} \operatorname{dist}\{\mathbf{Q}, \partial \mathbf{D}\} d \mathbf{Q} \leqslant \mathbf{C} \int_{\partial \mathbf{D}} \mathbf{M}^{2} d \sigma \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where C only depends on $\gamma$ and $\Gamma$.
We shall first suppose that $u$ is a function in the cube

$$
\mathrm{U}=\left\{(x, y): 0<x_{i}<1, i=1,2 \ldots, n-1,0<y<1\right\} .
$$

We let $\Omega_{m}$ denote the collection of all dyadic cubes of side $2^{-m}$ in $\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}: 0<x_{i}<1\right\}$. If $\mathrm{Q} \in \Omega_{m}$ we put $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{Q})=\{(x, y): x \in \mathrm{Q}$, $\left.2^{-m-1} \leqslant y<2^{-m}\right\}$. The collection of all $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{Q})$, when Q runs over $\bigcup_{m \geqslant 0} \Omega_{m}$ is denoted by $\Lambda$. If $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \Lambda$ and $T_{i}=T\left(Q_{i}\right)$ we say that $T_{1}<T_{2}$ if $Q_{1} \subset Q_{2}$ and the side of $Q_{2}$ is twice the side of $Q_{1}$. We shall fix the number $a>0$ and put $\Gamma=\{(x, y):|x|<a y\}$. For $\mathrm{P} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ we set $\Gamma_{p}=\mathbf{P}+\Gamma=\{\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{Q} \in \Gamma\}$. For $\mathrm{T} \in \Lambda$ we put

$$
\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{~T})=\left\{\mathrm{V} \in \Lambda: \mathrm{V} \cap\left[\bigcup_{p \in \mathrm{~T}} \Gamma_{p}\right] \neq \varnothing\right] .
$$

We observe that if $T_{1}<T_{2}$ and $T_{1} \in L(T)$ then $T_{2} \in L(T)$ also.
We shall next describe the method for approximating $u$. We say that a $\mathrm{T} \in \Lambda$ is red if $\operatorname{diam}(\mathrm{T}) \sup |\mathcal{O} u| \geqslant k \varepsilon$. Otherwise it's called blue. (Here $k$ is a small number to be chosen later.) The main step now is to put together the blue intervals into domains of Lipschitz character, where the oscillation of $u$ is $\leqslant \varepsilon$.

Let $S=\left\{(x, y): 0<x_{i}<1, \frac{1}{2}<y<1\right\}$ and suppose that $S$ is blue. We shall now define $K(S) \subset \Lambda$ inductively as follows : First $S \in K(S)$ and a $T \in \Lambda$ is added to $K(S)$ provided there is a $T^{\prime} \in K(S)$ such that $T<T^{\prime}$, all elements of $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{T})$ are blue and $\left|u\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-u\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right| \leqslant m \varepsilon$, where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the center of $T$. Put $H(S)=\underset{T \in K(S)}{L}(T)$ and let $\mathrm{D}(S)$ denote the interior of the closure of $\bigcup_{\mathrm{T} \in \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{S})} \mathrm{T}$. Suppose now that $\mathrm{T} \in \Lambda, \mathrm{T} \subset \mathrm{U}-\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{S})$, and $\partial \mathrm{T} \cap d(\mathbf{S}) \neq \varnothing$,
where $d(\mathrm{~S})=\mathrm{U} \cap \partial \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{S})$. Let $\mathrm{T}_{i}, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant \mathrm{~N}$, be such that $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{0}<\mathrm{T}_{1}<\ldots<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{S}$ and let $j$ be the smallest integer such that $\mathrm{T}_{j} \in \mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{~S})$. Since $\mathrm{T}_{j-1} \notin \mathrm{~K}(\mathbf{S})$ there are two cases to consider. If $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{T}_{j-1}\right)$ contains a red interval $R$ we say that $T \in A(S)$ and if this is not the case we say that $T \in B(S)$. Also, we define $\alpha(S)$ and $\beta(S)$ as $U(\partial T \cap \partial D(S))$ where $T$ runs over $A(S)$ and $B(S)$ respectively. We observe that there is a number $\mathrm{M}>0$ only depending on $\Gamma$ such that the projection $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ of T into $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ is contained in $\mathrm{R}^{*}$, where $\mathrm{R}^{*} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ is the cube with the same center as $R^{1}$ but with a side that is $M$ times the side of $R^{\prime}$. (Here $R$ is the red interval contained in $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{T}_{j-1}\right)$.) Also there is a $v \in \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{S})$ such that$\operatorname{diam} R \leqslant \operatorname{diam} V \leqslant 2 \operatorname{diam} R$ and $\left|P_{R}-P_{V}\right| \leqslant M \operatorname{diam} R$ (we'll say that R touches $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{S})$ ). Let $|\mathrm{E}|$ denote the $(n-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure of a set $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$. The Lipschitz character of $\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{S})$ implies that $|\alpha(S)| \leqslant C\left|\bigcup_{T \in A(S)} T^{\prime}\right|$, which together with the above observations show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha(\mathbf{S})| \leqslant \mathrm{C} \Sigma|\partial \mathrm{R}|, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is taken over all red intervals that touch $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{S})$. Let $b>a$ be sufficiently large and put $\gamma=\{(x, y):|x|<-b y\}$. If $\Omega=\bigcup_{P \in d(S)} \gamma_{p}$, then $\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{S})-\bar{\Omega}$ is again a Lipschitz domain. It's easily seen that if $a>0$ has been chosen sufficiently small then $b$ can be chosen so that $D_{1}$ is a starshaped Lipschitz domain with starcenter $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and a standard inner cone $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ that only depends on $a$ and $b$. We have also that

$$
\left|\bigcup_{\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S})} \partial \mathrm{T} \cap d(\mathbf{S}) \cap \partial \mathrm{D}_{1}\right| \geqslant \mathrm{C}|\beta(\mathbf{S})|
$$

where $c>0$ only depends on $a$ and $b$.
For $\mathrm{P} \in \partial \mathrm{D}_{1}$ we put $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{P})=\sup \left|u(\mathrm{Q})-u\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right|$, where Q runs over all points on the line segment between $P$ and $P_{S}$. Suppose now that $\mathrm{T} \in \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{S})$ and $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{0}<\mathrm{T}_{1}<\ldots<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{S}$. If $j$ is the smallest index for which $\mathrm{T}_{j} \in \mathrm{~K}(\mathbf{S})$ it follows that $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{T}_{j-1}\right)$ does not contain any red cube. If $\mathrm{P}_{j-1}$ denotes the center of $\mathrm{T}_{j-1}$ it follows that $\left|u\left(\mathrm{P}_{j-1}\right)-u\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\right| \geqslant m \varepsilon$.

If $j=1$ it follows that $\left|u(\mathrm{P})-u\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\right| \geqslant(m-k) \varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{P} \in \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{0}$ and hence $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{P}) \geqslant(m-k) \varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{P} \in \partial \mathrm{T} \cap \partial \mathrm{D}_{1}$. Suppose now that $j>1$ and $\mathrm{P} \in \partial \mathrm{T} \cap d(\mathrm{~S}) \cap \partial \mathrm{D}_{1}$. Let Q denote the point on the line segment between P and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}}$ that has the same $y$-coordinate as $\mathrm{P}_{j-1}$. Since the line segment between $P_{j-1}$ and $Q$ is contained in $D(S)$ it follows that

$$
\left|u\left(\mathrm{P}_{j-1}\right)-u(\mathrm{Q})\right| \leqslant k \varepsilon\left|\mathrm{P}_{j-1}-\mathrm{Q}\right|\left(\operatorname{diam} \mathrm{T}_{j-1}\right)^{-1}<m \varepsilon / 2
$$

if $k$ has been chosen sufficiently small. Hence we have in all cases that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\beta(\mathrm{S})| \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left|\left\{\mathrm{P} \in \partial \mathrm{D}_{1}: \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{P})>m \varepsilon / 2\right\}\right| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there is an interval in $\Lambda-H(S)$ that's not red let $S_{1}$ denote one with maximal diameter. After making a change of scale we construct $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ as above and in this way we get a decomposition $\Lambda=\Lambda_{R} \cup\left[\bigcup_{j} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{S} j)\right]$ into pairwise disjoint sets, where $\Lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ denotes the collection of all red intervals in $\Lambda$. We claim that if $u$ is harmonic and $\mathrm{L}_{j}=\left|\partial \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{S}_{j}\right)\right|$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma \mathrm{L}_{j} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left[1+\varepsilon^{-2} \iint_{\tilde{\mathrm{U}}} y|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where C is independent of $u$ and $\varepsilon, \tilde{\mathrm{U}}=\left\{(x, y):-1<x_{i}<2\right.$, $0<y<2\}$. Following Garnett [5] we first observe that if $\mathrm{R} \in \Lambda$ is red then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\partial \mathrm{R}| \leqslant \mathrm{C}^{-2} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{*}} y|\nabla u|^{2} d x d y \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{R}^{*}=\bigcup_{P \in R} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{P}, \delta / 2), \quad \delta=\operatorname{dist}\left\{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{n-1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{P}, r)=\{\mathrm{Q}:|\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q}|<r\} .
$$

To see (2.5), we first observe that there is a number $c_{n}$ only depending on $n$ such that there is $\mathrm{P} \in \overline{\mathbf{R}}$ with $|\nabla u(\mathrm{P})| \geqslant c_{n} k \varepsilon \delta^{-1}$. Since $|\nabla u|^{2}$ is subharmonic it follows that

$$
\left.\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{*}}|\nabla u|^{2} y d x d y \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta \iint_{\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{P}, \delta / 2)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d y \geqslant c \varepsilon^{2} \right\rvert\, \partial \mathbf{R}
$$

which gives (2.5). We also observe that from Cauchy's inequality follows that $\left(\iint_{\mathrm{R}}|\nabla u| d x d y\right)^{2} \leqslant \mathrm{C}|\partial \mathrm{R}| \iint_{\mathrm{R}}|\nabla u|^{2} y d x d y$ which together with (2.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\mathrm{R}}|\nabla u| d x d y \leqslant \mathrm{C}^{-1} \iint_{\mathrm{R}^{*}}|\nabla u|^{2} y d x d y \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\theta>0$ be a small fixed number and let I denote those $j: s$ for which $\left|\partial \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{S}_{j}\right) \cap \mathbf{R}^{n-1}\right| \geqslant \theta \mathrm{L}_{j}$. Since the domains $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{S}_{j}\right)$ are pairwise disjoint it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I} L_{j} \leqslant \theta^{-1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let II denote those $j: s$ for which $\left|\alpha\left(\mathbf{S}_{j}\right)\right| \geqslant \theta \mathrm{L}_{j}$. Since the domains $\left\{R^{*}\right\}_{R \in \Lambda_{R}}$ have uniformly bounded overlap and there is a fixed number $N$ such that no red interval $R \in \Lambda_{R}$ touches more than $N$ of the domains $D\left(S_{j}\right)$ it follows from (2.2) and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{~L}_{j} \leqslant \theta^{-1} \Sigma\left|\alpha\left(\mathbf{S}_{j}\right)\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C}^{-2} \iint_{0} y|\nabla u|^{2} d x d y \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally let III be those $j: s$ for which $\left|\beta\left(\mathrm{S}_{j}\right)\right| \geqslant \theta \mathrm{L}_{j}$. From (2.1) and (2.3) follows that

$$
\left|\beta\left(\mathbf{S}_{j}\right)\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C}^{-2} \int_{\mathrm{D}_{j}} \operatorname{dist}\left\{\mathrm{Q}, \partial \mathrm{D}_{j}\right\}|\nabla u|^{2} d \mathrm{Q} \leqslant \mathrm{C}^{-2} \iint_{\mathrm{D}_{j}} y|\nabla u|^{2} d x d y
$$

so we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{~L}_{j} \leqslant \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{-2} \iint_{\mathrm{U}} y|\nabla u|^{2} d x d y \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the constant $\theta$ has been chosen small enough then each $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{S}_{j}\right)$ belongs to one of the categories I, II or III. Hence (2.4) follows from (2.7-9).

We now define $\varphi=u h+\Sigma u\left(\mathrm{P}_{j}\right) h_{i}$, where $h$ is the characteristic function of $\bigcup_{R \in \Lambda_{R}} \bar{R}, h_{j}$ is the characteristic function of $D\left(S_{j}\right)$ and $P_{j}$ is the center of $S_{j}$. Clearly $|u-\varphi| \leqslant \varepsilon$. It remains to estimate $|\nabla \varphi|$. To this end let $\lambda_{j}$ be the surface measure of $\partial \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{S}_{j}\right)$ and if $\left\{\mathrm{R}_{j}\right\}_{=1}^{\infty}=\Lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ we let $\sigma_{j}$ denote the surface measure of $\partial \mathbf{R}_{j}$. With this notation we have that $|\nabla \varphi| \leqslant C\left[|\nabla u| h+\varepsilon \Sigma\left(\sigma_{j}+\lambda_{j}\right)\right]$, where the $\varepsilon$ in front of the sum appears because the jump of $\varphi$ at a common boundary point of domains of the form $D\left(S_{j}\right)$ or $R_{k}$ is less than $\varepsilon$.

Let $\mathrm{Q} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ be a cube and put

$$
\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{Q})=\{(x, y): x \in \mathbf{Q}, 0<y<\text { side of } \mathbf{Q}\} .
$$

We shall now estimate $\iint_{\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Q})}|\nabla \varphi| d x d y$. Let M be a large positive number and let $\mathrm{V} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ be the largest dyadic cube that contains Q for which $|\mathrm{V}| \leqslant 6^{n} \mathrm{M}|\mathrm{Q}|$. If M is large enough, then it follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$
\iint_{\mathrm{S}_{(\mathrm{Q})}}|\nabla u| h d x d y+\varepsilon \Sigma \sigma_{j}(\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{Q})) \leqslant \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{-1} \iint_{\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{~V})}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d y
$$

From (2.4) and possibly a change of scale we see that

$$
\Sigma^{\prime} \lambda_{j}(\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{Q})) \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left[\varepsilon^{-2} \iint_{\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{~V})}|\nabla u|^{2} y d x d y+|\mathrm{Q}|\right]
$$

where the prime denotes summation over those $j: s$ for which $S_{j} \subset S\left(V_{1}\right)$, where $V_{1}$ is the largest dyadic cube that contains $Q$ for which $\left|\mathrm{V}_{1}\right| \leqslant \mathrm{M}|\mathrm{Q}|$. If $\lambda_{j}(\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{Q}))>0$ and if $\mathrm{S}_{j}$ is not contained in $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)$ then $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{S}_{j}\right)$ contains ( $\left.x_{\mathrm{Q}}, \mathrm{L} y_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ where $\left(x_{\mathrm{Q}}, y_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ is the center of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Q})$ and the constant $L$ only depends on $M$ and the choice of the cone $\Gamma$ for the construction of $\mathbf{D}\left(\mathrm{S}_{j}\right)$. Since the domains $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{S}_{k}\right)$ are pairwise disjoint there is at most one $j$ with this property and from the Lipschitz character of $D\left(S_{j}\right)$ it follows that $\left.\lambda_{j}(\mathrm{SQ})\right) \leqslant \mathrm{C}|\mathrm{Q}|$ which concludes the proof of theorem 1 for the case of smooth domains.

The case when $u$ is harmonic in a Lipschitz domain is easily reduced to the case when $u$ is defined in

$$
\mathrm{U}^{\prime}=\left\{(x, y): 0<x_{i}<1, f(x)<y<f(x)+1\right\}
$$

where $f$ is a Lipschitz function. Letting $\mathrm{T}(x, y)=(x, y-f(x))$ we see that T maps $\mathrm{U}^{\prime}$ onto

$$
\mathrm{U}=\left\{(x, y): 0<x_{i}<1,0<y<1\right\} .
$$

Let $u_{1}=u \circ \mathrm{~T}^{-1}$ and construct $\varphi_{1}$ in U as above, this time approximating $u_{1}$. Letting $\varphi=\varphi_{1} \circ \mathrm{~T}$, it's easily seen that the methods for estimating $\nabla \varphi$ work in this case too, which yields theorem 1.

## 3. An example.

In this section we shall identify $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ with the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ and we'll denote points in $\mathbf{C}$ by $z=x+i y, \quad x, y \in \mathbf{R}$. We'll put $\mathbf{J}=\{x:-1<x<1\}$ and $\mathbf{Q}=\{z:|x|<2,0<y<4\}$. If $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}(\mathbf{R})$ we let $\mathrm{P} f$ denote the Poisson integral of $f$. We shall establish the following result.

Theorem 3. - For all $p<2$ there is an $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}(\mathbf{R})$ with support in $\mathbf{J}$ such that $\sup _{\mathrm{Q}}|\mathrm{P} f-\varphi|=\infty$ for all $\varphi$ such that $\iint_{\mathrm{Q}}|\nabla \varphi| d x d y<\infty$.

We shall deduce theorem 3 from the following lemma, the proof of which is given later.

Lemma 1. - For $\theta \in(0,1)$ there is a function $g_{\theta} \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ with support in J such that if $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $|u-\varphi| \leqslant \varepsilon$ in $Q$, then $\iint_{Q}|\nabla \varphi| d x d y \geqslant c \varepsilon^{-\theta}$, where $c>0$ is independent of $\varepsilon$.

Proof of theorem 3. - We shall first define a sequence of intervals $\mathrm{I}_{j} \subset \mathbf{R}$ by putting $I_{1}=[0,1]$ and requiring that $I_{j+1}$ is to the right of $I_{j}$, $\left|\mathbf{I}_{j}\right|=2^{-j}$ and dist $\left\{\mathbf{I}_{j}, \mathrm{I}_{j+1}\right\}=j^{-2}$. Let $c_{j}$ denote the center of $\mathbf{I}_{j}$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{j}(x)=g_{\theta}\left(2^{j+1}\left(x-c_{j}\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{\theta}$ is as in lemma 1. It's easily seen that

$$
\left|\nabla \mathrm{P} g_{j}(z)\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C} 2^{-\mathrm{j}}\left|z-c_{j}\right|^{-2}
$$

whenever $\left|z-c_{j}\right|>2^{-j}$. If $\mathrm{Q}_{j}=\left\{z:\left|x-\mathrm{c}_{j}\right|<2^{-j}, 0<y<2^{1-j}\right\}$ we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|\nabla \mathrm{P} g_{k}(z)\right|: z \in \mathrm{Q}_{j}\right\} \leqslant \mathrm{C} 2^{-k} k^{2}(k \neq j) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $b_{j}>0$ be defined by $b_{j}^{p_{2}-j}=j^{-2}$ and put $f=\Sigma b_{j} g_{j}$. Clearly $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}(\mathbf{R})$ and the support of $f$ is bounded. From (3.2) follows $u=b_{j} \mathrm{P} f_{j}+\mathrm{R}_{j}$, where $u=\mathrm{P} f$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\mid \nabla \mathrm{R}_{j}(z): z \in \mathrm{Q}_{j}\right\} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{k} b_{k} k^{2} 2^{-k}=\mathrm{M}<\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now that $|u-\varphi| \leqslant \mathrm{L}<\infty$ in $\bigcup_{j \geqslant 1} \mathrm{Q}_{j}$. We shall next show that this implies that $\sum_{j} \iint_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}}|\nabla \varphi| d x d y=\infty$ whenever $\theta>p-1$.

If $z_{j}$ denotes the center of $\mathrm{Q}_{j}$ it follows from (3.3) that

$$
\sup \left\{\left|m_{j}-\mathrm{R}_{j}(z)\right|: z \in \mathrm{Q}_{j}\right\} \leqslant \mathrm{M} \operatorname{diam}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } j+\infty
$$

where $m_{j}=\mathrm{R}_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)$. Therefore there is a $j_{0}$ such that if $j \geqslant j_{0}$ then $\left|\mathrm{P}_{j}-\varphi_{j}\right| \leqslant 2 \mathrm{~L} b_{j}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{Q}_{j}$, where $\varphi_{j}=\left(\varphi-m_{j}\right) b_{j}^{-1}$. From lemma 1 follows now that

$$
\sum_{j} \iint_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}}|\nabla \varphi| d x d y \geqslant \mathrm{C} \sum_{j \geqslant j_{0}} 2^{-j} b_{j}^{i+\theta}=\infty \text { if } \theta>p-1
$$

which yields the theorem.
We remark that by using a suitable conformal mapping it's easily seen that theorem 2 follows from theorem 3.

We'll need the following lemma for the proof of lemma 1.
Lemma 2. - Suppose $u$ is harmonic in $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}\left(z_{0}, 5 r\right) \subset \mathbf{C}$. If $|u-\varphi| \leqslant \varepsilon$ in B and if $\sup \left\{\left|u\left(z_{1}\right)-u\left(z_{2}\right)\right|: z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathrm{~B}\left(z_{0}, r\right)\right\}$ then $\iint_{\mathrm{B}}|\nabla \varphi| d x d y \geqslant c \varepsilon r$, where $c>0$ is a universal constant.

Proof. - Pick $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathrm{~B}\left(z_{0}, r\right)$ such that $\left|u\left(z_{1}\right)-u\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \geqslant 7 \varepsilon$. Since the function $z \rightarrow\left|u(z)-u\left(z_{2}\right)\right|^{2}$ is subharmonic it follows that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{B}\left(z_{1}, r\right)}\left|u(z)-u\left(z_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x d y \geqslant 7^{2} \pi \varepsilon^{2} r^{2}
$$

Since $\mathrm{B}\left(z_{1}, r\right) \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{B}\left(z_{2}, 3 r\right)$ we therefore have that

$$
\int_{\tilde{\mathbb{}}}|\varphi-\tilde{\varphi}|^{2} d x d y \geqslant \pi \varepsilon^{2} r^{2}
$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}=\int_{\tilde{B}} \varphi d x d y \int_{\tilde{B}} d x d y$. The Poincaré-Soboev inequality (see Meyers and Ziemer [6] for general versions) says that there is a constant C such that for all balls

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\left(\int_{\tilde{B}}|\varphi-\tilde{\varphi}|^{2} d x d y\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant \mathrm{C} \int_{\tilde{\mathrm{B}}}|\nabla \varphi| d x d y
$$

which yields lemma 2.
We shall next prove lemma1. Let $\alpha>0$ be defined by $(1-2 \alpha)=\theta(1+2 \alpha)$ and put $a_{k}=k^{-1 / 2-\alpha}$ for $k=1,2 \ldots$ Let $\delta>0$ be a given number. We claim that there is a sequence of positive integers $n_{k}+\infty$ such that if $f(z)=\sum^{\infty} a_{k} z^{n_{k}}$ and if

$$
\mathrm{S}_{k}=\left\{z: n_{k}^{-1} \leqslant 1-|z| \leqslant 4 n_{k}^{-1}\right\}
$$

then $f^{\prime}(z)=a_{k} n_{k} z^{n_{k}-1}+\mathrm{R}_{k}(z)$, where

$$
\sup \left\{\left|\mathbf{R}_{k}(z)\right|: z \in \mathrm{~S}_{k}\right\} \leqslant \delta a_{k} n_{k}
$$

To see this choose $n_{1}=100$ and if $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k-1}$ have been chosen then

$$
\left|\sum_{j<k} a_{j} n_{j} z^{n_{j}-1}\right| \leqslant k n_{k-1}<\delta / 2 a_{k} n_{k}
$$

if $n_{k}$ has been chosen large enough. If we also require that $n_{j+1} \geqslant n_{j}+2$ and

$$
\left(1-n_{j}^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} n} j+1^{-1} n_{j+1} \leqslant \min \left(1, a_{j} \delta / 2\right)
$$

we have for $z \in S_{k}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\sum_{j>k} a_{j} n_{j} z^{n_{j}-1}\right| \leqslant \sum_{j>k} a_{j} n_{j}\left(1-n_{k}^{-1}\right)^{n_{j}-1} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j>k}\left(1-n_{k}^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant \sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(1-n_{k}^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} n_{k}+s} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta n_{k} a_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and adding these extimates yields the claim.
Hence if $\delta$ has been chosen sufficiently small then whenever $B \subset S_{k}$ is disk of radius $\left(10 n_{k}\right)^{-1}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)\right|: z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathrm{~B}\right\}>c a_{k} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ is independent of $k$.
Let $u=\mathrm{P}(f h)$, where $h$ is the characteristic function of

$$
\{z:|z|=1, \operatorname{Re} z>0\}=\mathrm{L}
$$

Since $u-f$ has boundary values zero on L it follows that $u-f$ has a harmonic extension to all of $\{z: \operatorname{Re} z>0\}$. We therefore have that if $B$ is a disk of radius $\left(10 n_{k}\right)^{-1}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{B} \subset \mathrm{~S}_{k} \cap\{z:|\arg z| \leqslant \pi / 3\}=\mathrm{S}_{k}^{*}
$$

then it follows from (3.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|u\left(z_{1}\right)-u\left(z_{2}\right)\right|: z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathrm{~B}\right\} \geqslant d a_{k} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \geqslant k_{0}$, where $d>0$ is independent of $k$.
Suppose now that $\varepsilon>0$ is a small number and that

$$
|u-\varphi| \leqslant \varepsilon \text { in } \Omega=\{z:|z|<1, \operatorname{Re} z>-1 / 2\}
$$

There is a number $\lambda 0$ such that we can find more than $\lambda n_{k}$ disks $\mathrm{B}(j, k)$ of radius $\left(10 n_{k}\right)^{-1}$ such that $10 \mathrm{~B}(j, k) \subset \mathrm{S}_{k}^{*}$ whenever $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \lambda n_{k}$ and the disks $\mathrm{B}(j, k)$ are pairwise disjoint. It's easily seen from (3.5) that there is an $m>0$ such that if $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ then

$$
\sup \left\{\left|u\left(z_{1}\right)-u\left(z_{2}\right)\right|: z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathrm{~B}(j . k)\right\}>10 \varepsilon
$$

whenever $\quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \lambda n_{k}, \quad k o<k<\mathrm{L}(\varepsilon), \quad$ where $\quad \mathrm{L}(\varepsilon)=m \varepsilon^{-\beta}$, $\beta=2(1+2 \alpha)^{-1}$. From lemma 2 follows now that

$$
\iint_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi| d x d y \geqslant \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\mathrm{L} \mathrm{\varepsilon}} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda n k} \iint_{10 \mathrm{~B}(, k)}|\nabla \varphi| d x d y \geqslant c^{\prime} \mathrm{L}(\varepsilon) \varepsilon=c \varepsilon^{-\theta} .
$$

Finally, mapping the unit disk conformally onto the upper half plane yields lemma 1.
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