## Annales de l'institut Fourier

### IGOR KLUVÁNEK

#### Conical measures and vector measures

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 27, nº 1 (1977), p. 83-105 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF</a> 1977 27 1 83 0>

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1977, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

# CONICAL MEASURES AND VECTOR MEASURES by Igor KLUVÁNEK

One of the most important objects related to a family  $\{f_\iota:\iota\in I\}$  of (real-valued) random variables is the joint distribution of this family. It is a mesure on the cylindrical  $\sigma$ -algebra in the space  $\mathbf{R}^\mathbf{I}$ . Indeed, all properties of this family (as a family of random variables) are reflected by its distribution. It can happen that neither the family of random variables itself nor the probability measure on the underlying space are available but we are given the indefinite integrals of all random variables  $f_\iota$ ,  $\iota\in I$  (assuming them integrable). In that case the joint distribution cannot be determined. However, the conical measure on  $\mathbf{R}^\mathbf{I}$  determined by the joint distribution still can be reconstructed. It is clear that there remain many properties of the family of random variables which can be inferred from this conical measure.

Noticing the obvious fact that the family of indefinite integrals of the functions  $f_{\iota}$ ,  $\iota \in I$ , can be interpreted as a measure with values in the space  $R^{I}$ , we propose to investigate the indicated situation in a more general setting. To every abstract measure with values in a locally convex topological vector space E, there corresponds a conical measure on E and also on the weak completion E'\* of E. There are many properties of the vector measure which can be determined from the corresponding conical measure. Also conversely, the vector measure can be made a tool for studying the conical measure.

In the first Section, it is proved that every conical measure on a weak complete space E is represented (not uniquely) as integration 84 I. KLUVANEK

with respect to a non-negative measure  $\lambda$  on the cylindrical  $\sigma$ -algebra in E. And  $\lambda$  can even be extended on a larger  $\sigma$ -algebra so as to become a direct sum of finite measures.

This result and the connection between measures with values in E and conical measures on E is used in Sections 2 and 3 to obtain information on the general structure of abstract E-valued measures. In the final Section are stated some results concerning conical measures. In particular, some conditions are given guaranteeing that a conical measure on E be expressible as integration with respect to a finite ( $\sigma$ -additive) measure on the cylindrical  $\sigma$ -algebra in E.

#### 1. Representation of conical measures.

Let E be a real locally convex topological vector space with topological dual E' and algebraic dual E\*.

The vector lattice of functions on E generated by E' is denoted by h(E). Every element f of h(E) can be written as

$$f(x) = \sup \{f_i(x) : 1 \le i \le l\} - \sup \{f_i(x) : l + 1 \le i \le k\}, x \in E,$$
  
where  $f_i \in E'$ , for  $1 \le i \le k$ ,  $1 \le l < k$ .

A non-negative linear form on h (E) is termed a *conical measure* on E (see Choquet [3] or [4]).

The interest in this Section is focused on weak complete spaces. If E is a weak complete topological vector space then there exists an index set I such that, up to a topological vector space isomorphism,

$$E = R^{I}$$
:

the structure of the topological vector space on  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{I}}$  being that of the product of one-dimensional ones.

Without a loss of generality it will be assumed that I is well-ordered and, indeed, that I is an interval of ordinal numbers with 0 as the least element.

For every  $\kappa \in I$ , let  $p_{\kappa}$  be the projection of  $E = \mathbb{R}^{I}$  onto

its  $\kappa$ -th co-ordinate; that is,  $p_{\kappa}(x) = x_{\kappa}$ , for every element  $x = (x_{\ell})_{\ell \in I}$  of E.

It is known that  $\{p_{\iota} : \iota \in I\}$  forms a Hamel basis for E'. Also h(E) is the vector lattice generated by  $\{p_{\iota} : \iota \in I\}$ .

For every  $\kappa \in I$ , define

$$T_{\kappa} = \{x \in E : p_{\iota}(x) = 0 \text{ for } \iota < \kappa \text{ and } |p_{\kappa}(x)| = 1\}.$$

Furthermore, let

$$T = \bigcup_{\kappa \in I} T_{\kappa}.$$

Given a function f on E, by  $f \mid T$  is denoted its restriction to T and by  $f \mid T_{\kappa}$  its restriction to  $T_{\kappa}$ ; h (E)  $\mid T_{\kappa} = \{f \mid T_{\kappa} : f \in h$  (E)},  $\kappa \in I$ ; h (E)  $\mid T = \{f \mid T : f \in h$  (E)}

Let  $S_{\kappa}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $T_{\kappa}$  generated by  $h(E) \mid T_{\kappa}$ , i.e. the associated  $\sigma$ -algebra such that all functions in  $h(E) \mid T_{\kappa}$  are  $S_{\kappa}$ -mesurable,  $\kappa \in I$ . Let S be the direct sum of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $S_{\kappa}$ ,  $\kappa \in I$ . That is, S consists of all sets  $X \subset T$  such that  $X \cap T_{\kappa} \in S_{\kappa}$ , for every  $\kappa \in I$ .

If  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  is a measure on  $S_{\kappa}$ , for each  $\kappa \in I$ , a measure  $\lambda$  on S is termed the direct sum of the measures  $\lambda_{\kappa}$ ,  $\kappa \in I$ , if

$$\lambda(X) = \sum_{\kappa \in I} \lambda_{\kappa}(X \cap T_{\kappa}), X \in S.$$

We say also, in this case, that the measure space  $(T, S, \lambda)$  is the direct sum of the measure spaces  $(T_{\kappa}, S_{\kappa}, \lambda_{\kappa})$ ,  $\kappa \in I$ .

Theorem 1.— Let u be a conical measure on the weak complete space  $E = R^I$ . Then, for every  $\kappa \in I$ , there exists a unique finite measure  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  on  $\underline{S}_{\kappa}$  such that, if  $(T, S, \lambda)$  is the direct sum of the measure spaces  $(T_{\kappa}, S_{\kappa}, \lambda_{\kappa})$ ,  $\kappa \in I$ , then

$$u(f) = \int_{T} (f \mid T) d\lambda$$
 (1)

for every  $f \in h(E)$ . The vector lattice  $h(E) \mid T$  is dense in  $L^1(\lambda)$ .

The main part of this Section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.

According to Choquet [3, Théorème 17] or [4, Theorem 38.3], u is a Daniell integral on h (E). Let L be a linear lattice of functions on E containing h (E) and such that

- i) there is a Daniell integral on L which is an extension of u and which will be denoted by u, again;
- ii) the Dominated convergence theorem (Lebesgue theorem) holds for this extension of u on L;
- iii) for every  $f \in L$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $g \in h$  (E) such that  $u(|f g|) < \epsilon$ ;
- iv) all function in L are real-valued (the values  $\pm \infty$  are excluded) and belong to the least class of functions containing h (E) which is closed with respect to the point-wise convergence of sequences.

The general theory of Daniell integrals guarantees that such L and the corresponding extension of u exist.

We put, as customary,  $L^+ = \{ f \in L : f \ge 0 \}$ .

The property (iv) implies that every function f in L is positive-homogeneous  $(\alpha > 0 \text{ and } x \in E \text{ imply that } f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x))$ . The positive homogeneity in turn implies that u can be considered a Daniell integral on the vector lattice  $L \mid T = \{f \mid T : f \in L\}$ . Specifically, if  $f_n \in L$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , and if  $f_n(t) \downarrow 0$  for every  $t \in T$ , then  $f_n(x) \downarrow 0$  for every  $x \in E$  and  $u(f_n) \to 0$ ,  $n \to \infty$ .

Let  $u_{\iota}$  and  $v_{\iota}$  be functionals defined on L inductively for every  $\iota \in I$  as follows.

Let  $\kappa \in I$ . Assume that  $u_{\iota}$  is already defined for each  $\iota \leq \kappa$ . Denote

$$v_{\kappa}(f) = u(f) - \sum_{\iota < \kappa} u_{\iota}(f), \tag{2}$$

for every  $f \in L$ , and put

$$u_{\kappa}(f) = \sup \{v_{\kappa}(f \wedge \alpha \mid p_{\kappa}) : \alpha > 0\}$$
 (3)

for every  $f \in L^+$ ; furthermore  $u_{\kappa}(f) = u_{\kappa}(f^+) - u_{\kappa}(f^-)$  for every  $f \in L$ .

Lemma 2. — The functionals  $u_{\iota}$ ,  $v_{\iota}$  are well-defined Daniell integrals on and L and  $0 \le u_{\iota} \le v_{\iota} \le u$ , for every  $\iota \in I$ .

*Proof.* – Let  $\kappa \in I$ . Assume that  $u_{\iota}$ ,  $v_{\iota}$  are Daniell integrals and  $0 \le u_{\iota} \le v_{\iota} \le u$ , for every  $\iota < \kappa$ .

Given  $f \in L^+$ , we have

$$0 \leqslant v_{\nu}(f) = u(f) - \sum_{\iota \leqslant \nu} u_{\iota}(f)$$

for every  $\nu < \kappa$ , Hence

$$0 < \sum_{i < \kappa} u_i(f) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i < \nu} u_i(f) : \nu < \kappa \right\} \le u(f).$$

i.e.  $0 \le v_{\kappa}(f) \le u(f)$ . In particular,  $v_{\kappa}$  is well-defined by (2). It is then clear also that  $v_{\kappa}$  is a linear form on L. The inequality

$$0 \le v_{\kappa}(f) \le u(f)$$
.

for  $f \in L^+$ , implies that  $v_{\kappa}$  is a Daniell integral.

Now,  $u_{\kappa}$  is well-defined by (3) and  $0 \le u_{\kappa}(f) \le v_{\kappa}(f)$  for every  $f \in L^+$ . The definition then extends on the whole of L. We show that  $u_{\kappa}$  is a linear form.

Let  $f,g \in L^+$ . Since  $(f+g) \wedge \alpha \mid p_{\kappa} \mid \leq (f \wedge \alpha \mid p_{\kappa} \mid + (g \wedge \alpha \mid p_{\kappa} \mid))$  for every  $\alpha > 0$ , the definition (3) gives that  $u_{\kappa}(f+g) \leq u_{\kappa}(f) + u_{\kappa}(g)$ . On the other hand, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$u_{\kappa}(f) - \epsilon < v_{\kappa'}(f \wedge \alpha \mid p_{\kappa} \mid) , u_{\kappa}(g) - \epsilon < v_{\kappa}(g \wedge \beta \mid p_{\kappa} \mid).$$

Consequently,

$$u_{\kappa}(f) + u_{\kappa}(g) - 2 \quad \epsilon < v_{\kappa}(f \land \alpha \mid p_{\kappa} \mid) + v_{\kappa}(g \land \beta \mid p_{\kappa} \mid)$$
  
$$\leq v_{\kappa}((f + g) \land (\alpha + \beta) \mid p_{\kappa} \mid) \leq u_{\kappa}(f + g).$$

So,
$$u_{\kappa}(f+g) = u_{\kappa}(f) + u_{\kappa}(g)$$
.

For every  $f \in L^+$  and c > 0,

$$u_{\kappa}(c f) = \sup \{v_{\kappa}(c f \wedge \alpha | p_{\kappa}|) : \alpha > 0\} =$$
  
=  $c \sup \{v_{\nu}(f \wedge (\alpha/c) | p_{\nu}|) : \alpha > 0\} = c u_{\nu}(f).$ 

The linearity of  $u_{\kappa}$  follows.

The inequality  $0 \le u_{\kappa}(f) \le v_{\kappa}(f)$ , for each  $f \in L^+$ , implies that  $u_{\kappa}$  is a Daniell integral.

LEMMA 3. – Let  $f \in L^+$  Then

$$\sum_{\iota \leq \kappa} u_{\iota}(f) = \sup \{ u (f \wedge \varphi) : \varphi \in L, \varphi(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \in \bigcup_{\kappa \leq \iota} T_{\iota} \}$$
 (4)

and

$$\sum_{\iota < \kappa} u_{\iota}(f) = \sup \{ u(f \wedge \varphi) : \varphi \in L, \varphi(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \in \bigcup_{\kappa \le \iota} T_{\iota} \}$$
 (5)

for every  $\kappa \in I$ .

*Proof.* – If  $\kappa = 0$ , the statement (4) is true by the definition of  $u_0$  and (5) is true vacuously.

Let  $\kappa \in I$ ,  $\kappa > 0$ . Assume that (4) holds if  $\kappa$  is replaced by any  $\nu \in I$ ,  $\nu < \kappa$ . Then (5) follows. An inductive proof will be proving (4).

Let  $\varphi_n$  be functions vanishing on every  $T_{\iota}$  with  $\kappa \leq \iota$  such that  $\varphi_n \leq \varphi_{n+1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , and  $u(f \wedge \varphi_n) \rightarrow \sum_{i \leq r} u_{\iota}(f)$ . Let

$$g = \lim f_{\Lambda} \varphi_n = \sup f_{\Lambda} \varphi_n.$$

Then

$$u(\mathbf{g}) = u(f \wedge g) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} u_{i}(f). \tag{6}$$

Moreover, if  $\theta \in L^+$  is a function vanishing on every  $T_\iota$  with  $\kappa \leq \iota$ , then

$$u\left((f-g)\wedge\theta\right)=0. \tag{7}$$

Indeed, if (7) were not true then

$$u(f \wedge (g + \theta)) = u(f \wedge g) + u((f - g) \wedge \theta) > u(g)$$

would follow, contradicting (6) and (5).

Also, if  $f' \le f, f' \in L^+$ , then  $\sum_{\iota < \kappa} u_{\iota}(f') = u(f' \land g)$ . This because, for any  $\varphi \in L^+$  vanishing on every  $T_{\iota}$  with  $\kappa \le \iota$ , we have

$$u(f' \land \varphi) \leq u(f' \land g) + u((f' - f' \land g) \land (\varphi - \varphi \land g)) \leq u(f' \land g) +$$
$$+ u((f - g) \land (\varphi - \varphi \land g)) = u(f' \land g),$$

choosing  $0 = \varphi - \varphi \wedge g$  in (7).

We have, in fact, proved that  $v_{\kappa}(f') = u(f') - u(f' \wedge g)$  for every  $f' \in L^+$ ,  $f' \leq f$ .

Let  $h = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_{\Lambda} n \mid p_{\kappa} \mid$ . Hence  $u_{\kappa}(f) = v_{\kappa}(h) = v_{\kappa}(f_{\Lambda} h)$ . Now

$$\sum_{\iota \leqslant \kappa} u_{\iota}(f) = \sum_{\iota \leqslant \kappa} u_{\iota}(f) + u_{\kappa}(f) = u(f \land g) + v_{\kappa}(f \land h) = u(f \land g) +$$

$$+ u(f \wedge h) - u(f \wedge h \wedge g) = u(f \wedge (g \vee h)).$$

Suppose that  $\varphi \in L^+$  and that  $\varphi$  vanishes on every  $T_\iota$  such that  $\kappa < \iota$ . Then

$$u(f \wedge \varphi) \leq u(f \wedge (g \vee h) \wedge \varphi) + u((f - g \vee h) \wedge \varphi). \tag{8}$$

The function  $\theta = (f - g \vee h) \wedge \varphi$  vanishes on every  $T_{\iota}$  with  $\kappa \leq \iota$  and  $\theta = (f - g) \wedge \theta$ , hence, by (7),  $u((f - g \vee h) \wedge \varphi) = 0$ . So (8) gives that  $u(f \wedge \varphi) \leq u(f \wedge (g \vee h))$ , proving (4).

Lemma 4. — For every  $f \in L$  vanishing on every  $T_{\iota}$  such that  $\kappa \leq \iota$ , the relation

$$\sum_{t \le u} u_t(f) = u(f) \tag{9}$$

holds. The equality

$$\sum_{i \in I} u_i(f) = u(f) \tag{10}$$

holds for every  $f \in L$ .

*Proof.* – The first statement (9) follows immediately form (4). This implies (10) for every  $f \in h$  (E) since each  $f \in h$  (E) vanishes on every  $T_{\iota}$ ,  $\kappa < \iota$ , for some  $\kappa \in I$ .

Let now  $f \in L$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $g \in h(E)$  such that  $u(|f-g|) < \epsilon$ . Consequently,

$$| u(f) - \sum_{i \in I} u_i(f) | \leq | u(f) - u(g) | + | u(g) - \sum_{i \in I} u_i(g) | +$$

$$+ | \sum_{i \in I} u_i(g) - \sum_{i \in I} u_i(f) | \leq u(|f - g|) + | u(g) - \sum_{i \in I} u_i(g) | +$$

$$+ \sum_{i \in I} u_i(|f - g|) \leq 2 u(|f - g|) \leq 2 \varepsilon.$$

90. I. KLUVANEK

LEMMA 5. – Let  $\kappa \in I$ . Let  $f_n \in L$ , n = 1, 2, ..., and let  $f_n(t) \downarrow 0$ , for every  $t \in T_{\kappa}$ . Then  $u_{\kappa}(f_n) \to 0$ .

*Proof.* – If  $g \in L$  and g(t) = 0 for every  $t \in T_{\kappa}$ , then

$$g(t) \wedge \alpha | p_{\kappa}(t) | = 0$$

for every  $t \in \bigcup_{\kappa \leq \iota} T_{\iota}$ . Hence, by Lemma 3,  $v_{\kappa}(g \wedge \alpha \mid p_{\kappa}|) = 0$  for each  $\alpha > 0$ . So,  $u_{\kappa}(g) = 0$ .

Now put  $g_1 = f_1$  and  $g_n = g_{n-1} \wedge f_n$ ,  $n = 2,3,\ldots$ , Then  $g_n(t) \downarrow g(t)$ ,

for every  $t \in T$ , where g is a function in L such that g(t) = 0 for all  $t \in T_{\kappa}$ . Hence  $u_{\kappa}(f_n) = u_{\kappa}(g_n) \rightarrow u_{\kappa}(g) = 0$ .

Proof of theorem 1. - By Lemma 5, the functional

$$f \mid T_{\kappa} \to u_{\kappa}(f), f \in L$$

is a Daniell integral on the vector lattice  $\{f \mid T_{\kappa} : f \in L\}$ , for every  $\kappa \in I$ . Since this vector lattice, or even  $h(E) \mid T_{\kappa}$ , contains non-zero constants, there exists a unique measure  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  on  $S_{\kappa}$  such that

$$u_{\kappa}(f) = \int_{\mathsf{T}_{\kappa}} (f \mid \mathsf{T}_{\kappa}) \ d \lambda_{\kappa}$$

for every  $f \in L$ . The measure  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  is finite,  $\lambda_{\kappa}(T_{\kappa}) < \infty$ , and  $h(E) \mid T_{\kappa}$  is dense in  $L^{1}(\lambda_{\nu})$ .

If  $\lambda$  is the direct sum of measures  $\lambda_{\kappa}$ ,  $\kappa \in I$ , then (1) holds for every  $f \in L$  and  $h(E) \mid T$  becomes dense in  $L^1(\lambda)$ .

The cylindrical  $\sigma$ -algebra C = C(E) in the space E is by definition the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of E such that every continuous linear form on E is C-measurable; it is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra such that every function in h(E) is C-measurable.

Corollary 6. — If E is a weak complete space then, for every conical measure u on E, there exists a  $\sigma$ -additive measure  $\lambda$  on the cylindrical  $\sigma$ -algebra C such that

$$u(f) = \int_{E} f d\lambda \tag{11}$$

for every  $f \in h$  (E). Moreover there exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra D of subsets of E such that  $C \subseteq D$  and for every conical measure u on F there is a  $\sigma$ -additive measure u on u which is a direct sum of finite measures such that (10) holds.

*Proof.* – Define D to be the family of all sets  $X \subset E$  such that  $X \cap T_{\kappa} \in S_{\kappa}$ , for each  $\kappa \in I$ . Given a conical measure u, define the measure  $\lambda$  on D by  $\lambda(X) = \sum_{\kappa \in I} \lambda(X \cap T_{\kappa}), X \in D$ . Then, clearly, (11) holds and  $\lambda$  is a direct sum of finite measures.

It is clear that  $C \subseteq D$  and that if  $\lambda$  is restricted to C then (11) remains valid.

#### 2. Families of scalar measures.

In this Section a theorem is proved establishing an isometry and vector lattice isomorphism of any vector lattice of finitely additive real measures onto a dense linear sub-lattice of a space  $L^1(\lambda)$  with a suitable measure  $\lambda$  which is direct sum of finite measures. This result can be, of course, obtained using Kakutani's concrete representation theorem of abstract L-spaces. The aim is, however, to base the proof on Theorem 1 so as to show its implications and to achieve a unity of method. This could be of interest by offering a new and, possibly, more direct approach to Kakutani's theorem. The proved theorem will be used as a lemma in the subsequent Section concerning the structure of vector measures.

Let T be an abstract space and let R be an algebra of subsets of T. By ba (R) is denoted the set of all bounded finitely additive real-valued functions (measures) on R. The set ba (R) carries several structures. It is a vector lattice with respect to the set-wise linear operations and order. If  $\mu$ ,  $\nu \in$  ba (R), then  $\mu \vee \nu$  is the least element in ba (R) majoring both  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  and  $\mu \wedge \nu$  is the greatest element majored by  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ ;  $|\mu| = \mu \vee (-\mu)$  for every  $\mu \in$  ba (R). The norm  $\mu \mapsto ||\mu|| = |\mu|(T)$ ,  $\mu \in$  ba (R), makes of ba (R) a Banach space.

The set of all  $\sigma$ -additive elements of ba (R) is denoted by ca (R). It is a closed normed subspace of ba (R), hence also a Banach space; also it is a vector sub-lattice of ba (R).

Let  $H \subset ba(R)$ . Two sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2 \in R$  are declared H-equivalent if  $|\mu|(X_1 \Delta X_2) = 0$ , for every  $\mu \in H$ . The H-equivalence class of a set X is denoted  $[X]_H$  whenever the distinction is material; otherwise we do not distinguish between a set in R and its H-equivalence class. The set of all H-equivalence classes is denoted by R (H). It is a Boolean algebra with respect to the induced operations; i.e. R (H) equals to R modulo the ideal of sets H-equivalent to  $\phi$ .

Every measure  $\mu \in H$  defines the Nikodym pseudo-metric  $d_{\mu}$  on R and on R (H); namely  $d_{\mu}(X,Y) = |\mu|(X \Delta Y)$ , for  $X, Y \in R$ . By  $\tau$  (H) is denoted the topology and also the uniform structure on R and on R (H) determined by the system  $\{d_{\mu} : \mu \in H\}$  of pseudo-metrics.

In the situation when R is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\lambda$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive measure on R having possibly infinite values,  $R(\lambda)$  and  $\tau(\lambda)$  are interpreted as  $R(L^1(\lambda))$  and  $\tau(L^1(\lambda))$ , respectively, where  $L^1(\lambda)$  in its turn is interpreted as the family of indefinite integrals of  $\lambda$ -integrable functions, i.e. as a subset of  $\operatorname{ca}(R) \subset \operatorname{ba}(R)$ . In the case when  $\lambda$  is localizable in the sense of Segal [10], and this is the case of our main interest, we can say that  $\tau(\lambda)$  stands for the topology  $\tau(H)$  where H is the set of all finite measures absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$ . In this situation, a net  $X_i$  of sets in R tends to  $X \subseteq R$  in the topology  $\tau(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\lambda(X_i \Delta X) \to 0$ .

Let  $H \subset ba(R)$  be a vector sub-lattice. Let  $E = H^*$  be the algebraic dual of the vector space H.

For every  $\mu \in H$  and  $x \in \hat{E}$ , denote  $\mu^*(x) = x(\mu)$ . If we give  $\hat{E}$  its  $\sigma(E, H)$ -topology, then  $\hat{E}'$  will be identified with H by the star-mapping. This is to say,  $\mu^*$  belongs to  $\hat{E}'$ , for every  $\mu \in \hat{H}'$ . and, conversely, every element of  $\hat{E}'$  is equal to  $\mu^*$  for some  $\mu \in H$ . It follows that every function  $f \in h(\hat{E})$  can be expressed as

$$f(x) = \sup \{x (\mu_i) : 1 \le i \le l\} - \sup \{x (\mu_i) : l + 1 \le i \le k\} =$$

$$= \sup \{\mu_i^* (x) : 1 \le i \le l\} - \sup \{\mu_i^* (x) : l + 1 \le i \le k\}, x \in \hat{E}, \quad (12)$$
for some  $\mu_i \in H$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$ ,  $1 \le l \le k$ .

LEMMA 7. — There exists a unique vector lattice homomorphism  $\Phi: h(\hat{E}) \to H$  such that  $\Phi(\mu^*) = \mu$ , for  $\mu \in H$ . For every  $f \in h(\hat{E})$ , written in the form (12), the value  $\Phi(f)$  is given by

$$\Phi(f) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{l} \mu_i - \bigvee_{i=l+1}^{k} \mu_i, \tag{13}$$

where the lattice operations are those of H or ba (R).

*Proof.* – If such a homomorphism exists then, clearly, (13) holds. Hence it suffices to show that (13) defines unambiguously a homomorphism  $\Phi: h(\hat{E}) \to H$ .

Suppose that  $f \in h(\hat{E})$  is given by (12) and that

 $g(x) = \sup \{x(v_i) : 1 \le i \le l'\} - \sup \{x(v_i) : l' + 1 \le i \le k'\},\ x \in \hat{E}, \text{ with } v_i \in H, 1 \le i \le k', 1 \le l' \le k'.$ 

If f = g, i.e. f(x) = g(x), for every  $x \in \hat{E}$ , then, in particular,

$$\sup \{\mu_i(X) : 1 \le i \le l\} - \sup \{\mu_i(X) : l + 1 \le i \le k\} =$$

$$= \sup \{ \nu_i(X) : 1 \le i \le l' \} - \sup \{ \nu_i(X) : l' + 1 \le i \le k' \},$$

for every  $X \in R$ . It then follows that

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{l} \mu_{i} - \bigvee_{i=l+1}^{k} \mu_{i} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{l'} \nu_{i} - \bigvee_{i=l'+1}^{k'} \nu_{i}$$

Consequently,  $\Phi(f) = \Phi(g)$ .

Hence the mapping  $\Phi: h(\hat{E}) \to H$  is defined unambigously by (13). The formula (13) now implies easily that  $\Phi$  is a vector lattice homomorphism.

Let  $\hat{T}$  be the subset of  $\hat{E}$  and  $\hat{S}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\hat{T}$  as in Theorem 1; this theorem is applicable since  $\hat{E}$  is a weak complete space. It means that every conical measure u on  $\hat{E}$  is represented as

$$u(f) = \int_{\hat{\mathbf{T}}} f(t) \ d\lambda(t), f \in h(\hat{\mathbf{E}}), \tag{14}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a measure on  $\hat{S}$ , a direct sum of finite measures. We say that  $\lambda$  represents u.

THEOREM 8 – Let  $u(f) = \Phi(f)(T)$ , for every  $f \in h(\hat{E})$ . Then u is a conical measure on  $\hat{E}$ ; let  $\lambda$  be the measure on  $\hat{S}$  representing u.

94 I. KLUVANEK

The mapping  $f \mid \hat{T} \rightarrow \Phi(f)$ ,  $f \in h(\hat{E})$ , is a vector lattice isomorphism and an isometry of the dense subset  $h(\hat{E}) \mid \hat{T}$  of  $L^1(\lambda)$  onto H.

There exists an injective mapping  $\gamma: R(H) \to \hat{S}(\lambda)$  which is a Boolean algebra isomorphism of R(H) onto  $\gamma(R(H))$  and also a homeomorphism of R(H) in its  $\tau(H)$ -topology onto  $\gamma(R(H))$  in the relative  $\tau(\lambda)$ -topology and  $\gamma(R(H))$  is  $\tau(\lambda)$ -dense in  $\hat{S}(\lambda)$ .

Proof. – Clearly, u is a conical measure.

Given  $X \in R$ , let

$$v_{\mathbf{X}}(f) = \Phi(f)(\mathbf{X}), f \in h(\hat{\mathbf{E}}).$$

Then  $v_X$  is a conical measure on  $\hat{E}$  and  $v_X \le u = v_T$ . Let  $\lambda_X$  be the measure on  $\hat{S}$  which represents  $v_X$ . Then  $\lambda_X \le \lambda = \lambda_T$ . Since  $\lambda$  is a direct sum of finite measures, the Radon-Nikodym theorem is applicable [10, Theorem 5.1]. Hence there exists an  $\hat{S}$ -measurable function  $g_X$  on  $\hat{T}$  such that  $0 \le g_X \le 1$ ,

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{\hat{X}}) = \int_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{X}} d\lambda$$

for every  $\hat{X} \in \hat{S}$ , and

$$v_{\mathbf{X}}(f) = \int_{\hat{\mathbf{T}}} f(t) g_{\mathbf{X}}(t) d\lambda(t).$$

for every  $f \in h$  ( $\hat{E}$ ). The relations  $v_{T-X} + v_X = u$  and  $v_X \wedge v_{T-X} = 0$  imply that  $g_{T-X}(t) + g_X(t) = 1$  and min  $\{g_{T-X}(t), g_X(t)\} = 0$ ,  $\lambda$ -almost everywhere on  $\hat{T}$ . It follows that  $g_X$  is  $\lambda$ -equivalent to the characteristic function of a set in  $\hat{S}$ ; denote it and also its  $\lambda$ -equivalence class by  $\gamma(X)$ . It is clear that  $\gamma(X)$  depends on the H-equivalence class  $[X]_H$  only and not on its individual representative X. Hence  $\gamma$  is well-defined on R (H).

Accepting these conventions it is clear that  $\gamma: R(H) \to \hat{S}(\lambda)$  is injective and that it is a Boolean algebra isomorphism of R(H) onto  $\gamma(R(H))$ .

These definitions also give that

$$\mu(X) = \Phi(\mu^*)(X) = v_X(\mu^*) = \int_T \mu^*(t) g_X(t) d\lambda(t) =$$

$$= \int_{\gamma(X)} \mu^*(t) d\lambda(t),$$

for every  $\mu \in H$  and  $X \in R$ . More generally,

$$\Phi(f)(X) = \int_{y(X)} f(t) d\lambda(t),$$

for every  $f \in h(\hat{E})$  and  $X \in R$ . Since  $\Phi$  is a vector lattice isomorphism, the variation  $|\Phi(f)|$  of  $\Phi(f)$  is equal to  $\Phi(|f|)$ , where |f| is the absolute value of f. It then follows that

$$\| \Phi (f) \| = | \Phi (f) | (T) = \Phi (|f|) (T) = \int_{\gamma(T)} |f(t)| d \lambda (t) = \int_{\hat{T}} |f| \hat{T} |d \lambda = \| f | \hat{T} \|_{1}.$$

That is, the norm of  $\Phi(f)$  as an element of ba (R) is equal to the  $L^1$ -norm of  $f \mid \hat{T}$ . This establishes the isometry of  $h(\hat{E}) \mid \hat{T}$  and H.

Moreover, since

$$\|\mu\| = |\mu|(T) = \sup \{|\mu(X)| + |\mu(Y)| : X, Y \in R, X \cap Y = \phi\},$$
 we have also

$$\|\varphi\|_{1} = \sup \{ |\int_{\gamma(\mathbf{X})} \varphi \, d\lambda| + |\int_{\gamma(\mathbf{Y})} \varphi \, d\lambda| \colon \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in R, \mathbf{X} \cap \mathbf{Y} = \phi \}, (15)$$

for every  $\varphi \in h(\hat{E}) \mid \hat{T}$ . Now, (15) holds also for every  $\varphi \in L^1(\lambda)$ , since  $h(\hat{E}) \mid \hat{T}$  is dense in  $L^1(\lambda)$ . It follows that, if  $\varphi \in L^1(\lambda)$  and if the integral of  $\varphi$  vanishes on every set  $\gamma(X)$ , with  $X \in R$ , then  $\varphi$  is  $\lambda$ -equivalent to 0. This implies that  $\gamma(R)$  is  $\tau(\lambda)$ -dense in  $\hat{S}(\hat{\lambda})$ .

We say that the algebra R separates the points of the space T if, for every  $t_1$   $t_2$  in T,  $t_1 \neq t_2$ , there exists  $X \in R$  such that  $t_1 \in X$  and  $t_2 \notin X$ .

There is no loss of generality in assuming that R indeed separates points of T. If R does not separate points of T we introduce the equivalence relation in T by declaring  $t_1 \equiv t_2$  if and only if  $t_1 \in X$ , implies  $t_2 \in X$ , for every  $X \in R$ . Then, if we replace T by so obtained set  $\widetilde{T}$  of equivalence classes and R by its image  $\widetilde{R}$  under the natural mapping of T into  $\widetilde{T}$ , we obtain a situation where the algebra separates the points of the space  $\widetilde{T}$  and ba  $(\widetilde{R})$  coincides with ba (R) both as a vector lattice and as a Banach space.

We say that the vector lattice  $H \subset ba(R)$  separates the algebra R, if the equivalence classes  $[X]_H$ ,  $X \in R$ , are reduced to individual

sets; i.e. there is not another element in R but X which is equivalent to X, for every  $X \in R$ . In this case R (H) = R as sets and also as Boolean algebras.

In particular, H separates R if H contains all Dirac measures carried by points of T.

COROLLARY 9. – If the algebra R separates the points of the space T and if the vector lattice H separates R, then there exists an injection  $\delta: T \to \hat{T}$  such that  $\delta(X) = \gamma(X) \cap \delta(T)$ , for every  $X \in R$ .

*Proof.* – Since  $\lambda$  is a direct sum of finite measures,  $\hat{S}(\lambda)$  is a complete Boolean algebra. For every  $t \in T$ , let

$$\left[\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{t}\right]_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{R}} \gamma(\mathbf{X})$$

The element  $[\hat{X}_t]_{\lambda}$  is not equal to  $[\phi]_{\lambda}$ . To prove that it suffice to notice that, since  $\lambda$  is a direct sum of finite measures, the dual of the Banach space  $L^1(\lambda)$  is  $L^{\infty}(\lambda)$ ; further each  $\gamma(X)$  represents an element of the unit ball in  $L^{\infty}(\lambda)$ , so it suffices to use the weak-star compactness of the unit ball and notice that the finite intersections are all of norm 1.

Since H separates R and R separates T, the elements  $[\hat{X}_{t_1}]_{\lambda}$  and  $[X_{t_2}]_{\lambda}$  are disjoint in  $S(\lambda)$  if  $t_1 \neq t_2$ . Now we use again the fact that  $\lambda$  is a direct sum of finite measure to deduce the existence of lifting in  $L^{\infty}(\lambda)$  or in  $\hat{S}(\lambda)$  and, hence, the possibility to choose the representations  $\hat{X}_t$  of  $[\hat{X}_t]_{\lambda}$  in such a way that they are disjoint as sets (have no points in common) if they correspond to different elements of T.

Let  $\delta(t)$  be an arbitrary element of  $\hat{X}_t$ , for each  $t \in T$ . Then the mapping  $\delta: T \to \hat{T}$  has the claimed properties.

COROLLARY 10. – If R (H) is  $\tau$  (H)-complete then  $\gamma$ : R (H)  $\rightarrow \hat{S}(\lambda)$  is a Boolean algebra isomorphism of R (H) onto  $\hat{S}(\lambda)$  and, hence, R (H) is a complete Boolean algebra.

#### 3. Representation of vector measures.

Let E be a locally convex topological vector space.

Let T be an abstract space and let R be an algebra of subsets of T.

An additive and bounded mapping  $m: R \to E$  is termed a pre-measure. The boundedness means that the range

$$m(R) = \{m(X) : X \in R\}$$

is a bounded subset of E.

If R is  $\sigma$ -algebra and m is  $\sigma$ -additive then it is termed a vector measure (shortly a measure). In this case the boundedness is a consequence of other assumptions.

Given a pre-measure  $m: R \to E$ , declare two elements  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of R to be m-equivalent if m(X) = 0 for every  $X \subset X_1 \Delta X_2$ ,  $X \in R$ . The m-equivalence class of an element  $X \in R$  is denoted by  $[X]_m$  whenever the distinction between a set and its equivalence class is essential. The family of all m-equivalence classes is denoted by R(m). Again, R(m) is considered a Boolean algebra equal to R modulo the ideal of sets m-equivalent to  $\Phi$ .

Let U be a convex symmetric neighborhood of 0 in E and let  $U^{\circ}$  be its polar. Define the pseudo-metric  $d_{U}$  on R and on R (m) by putting

$$d_{II}(X, Y) = \sup \{|x' \circ m| (X \Delta Y) : x' \in U^{\circ}\}.$$

for every X, Y in R.

The system of pseudo-metrics  $d_{\rm U}$ , for all symmetric convex neighbourhoods U, defines a topology and a uniform structure on R and on R(m); this topology and the uniformity will be denoted by  $\tau(m)$ . On R(m),  $\tau(m)$  is separated (Hausdorff).

If R(m) is a complete space in the uniform structure  $\tau(m)$ , the pre-measure m is termed *closed* [5].

Let S be  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of T and let  $\lambda$  be a non-negative  $\sigma$ -additive mesure on S (admitting also value  $\infty$ ). A function  $f: T \to E$  is said to be integrable if, for every  $x' \in E'$ , the real-valued function  $x' \circ f$  is integrable and if, for every  $X \in S$ , there exists an element  $x_X \in E$  such that

$$x'(x_X) = \int_X x' \circ f d\lambda = \lambda_X (x' \circ f).$$

We write

$$x_{X} = \int_{X} f d\lambda = \lambda_{X}(f), X \in S.$$

Also  $\lambda_T(f) = \lambda(f)$ .

It is clear that if E is weakly complete then f is integrable if and only if  $x' \circ f$  is integrable for every  $x' \in E'$ .

If  $f: T \to E$  is integrable with respect to  $\lambda$ , the mapping  $m: S \to E$  defined by  $m(X) = \lambda_X(f)$ ,  $X \in S$ , is a vector measure. This is in virtue of the Orlicz-Pettis lemma. This measure m is called the indefinite integral of f with respect to  $\lambda$ .

LEMMA 11. — Let T be a space, S  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of T and  $\lambda$  a measure on S. Let E be a locally convex topological vector space with the weak completion E'\*. Let  $f: T \to E'*$  be a  $\lambda$ -integrable function such that  $\lambda_X(f) \in E$ , for every  $X \in S$ . Let m be the indefinite integral of f with respect to  $\lambda$ . Then the topology  $\tau(m)$  is weaker than  $\tau(\lambda)$ . If  $\lambda$  is localizable then m is a closed vector measure.

**Proof.** — To show that  $\tau(m)$  is a weaker topology than  $\tau(\lambda)$  amounts to showing that if  $\lambda_X(|\varphi|) \to 0$ ,  $X \in S$ , for every  $\varphi \in L^1(\lambda)$ , then  $x' \circ m(X) \to 0$  uniformly for  $x' \in U^\circ$  whenever U is a convex symmetric neighbourhood of 0 in E.

If U is such a neighbourhood, there exists, by the Rybakov's theorem [9], an element  $x_0' \in U^\circ$  such that the measures  $x' \circ m$ ,  $x' \in U^\circ$ , are uniformly absolutely continuous with respect to  $x_0' \circ m$ . Hence, if  $\lambda_X(|x_0' \circ f|) \to 0$ ,  $X \in S$ , then  $x' \circ m(X) \to 0$  uniformly with respect to  $x' \in U^\circ$ .

The statement that m is a closed measure if  $\lambda$  is localizable is the content of Theorem IV.7.3 in [8]. An alternative proof can be obtained as follows.

Take a  $\tau$  (m)-Cauchy net  $X_i$  in S. It represents a net of measurable functions, the characteristic functions of the sets  $X_i$ , with values in [0,1]; in fact, with values only 0 and 1. The set of measurable functions  $\varphi$  with values in [0,1] (more precisely, m-equivalence classes of such functions) is a compact space in the topology, let us denote

it  $\sigma$ , making all applications  $\varphi \to x' \circ m$  ( $\varphi$ ) for all  $x' \in E'$ , continuous; this is because  $\sigma$  is a weaker topology than weak-star topology on  $L^{\infty}(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda$  is localizable, so  $L^{\infty}(\lambda)$  is the dual of  $L^{1}(\lambda)$ . Now there exists a function  $\varphi$  with values in [0,1] to which a subnet of characteristic functions of  $X_i$  converges in  $\sigma$ . Since  $\tau(m)$  is a stronger topology and  $X_i$  are  $\tau(m)$ -Cauchy, they converge in  $\tau(m)$  to a function m-equivalent to  $\varphi$ . It must be equivalent to a characteristic function.

THEOREM 12. — Let T be a space, R an algebra of subsets of T, E a locally convex topological vector space, E'\* its weak completion, and  $m: R \to E$  a pre-measure.

Then there exists a space  $\hat{T}$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\hat{S}$  of subsets of T, a measure  $\lambda$  on  $\hat{S}$  which is a direct sum of finite measures and a  $\lambda$ -integrable function  $f: \hat{T} \to E'^*$  whose indefinite integral is denoted  $\hat{m}$ , with the following properties:

- i) there is an injection  $\delta: T \to \hat{T}$  and an injection  $\gamma: R \to \hat{S}$  such that  $\delta(X) = \{\delta(t): t \in X\} = \gamma(X) \cap \delta(T)$ , for every  $X \in R$ ;
  - ii)  $m(\gamma(X)) = m(X)$ , for every  $X \in R$ ;
- iii) the injection  $\gamma$  is a continuous Boolean algebra isomorphism of R with its  $\tau$  (m)-topology onto  $\gamma$  (R) = { $\gamma$  (X) :  $X \in R$ } with its  $\tau$  ( $\lambda$ )-topology and  $\gamma$  (R) is  $\tau$  ( $\hat{m}$ )-dense in S;
- iv)  $\hat{m}(\hat{S}) = \{\hat{m}(\hat{X}) : \hat{X} \in \hat{S}\}\$  is in the  $\sigma(E'^*, E')$ -closure of m(R).
- *Proof.* Let  $H \subseteq ba(R)$  be the least vector lattice containing all measures  $x' \circ m$ , for  $x' \in E'$ , and all Dirac measures carried by point of T. Define  $\hat{T}$ ,  $\hat{S}$  and  $\lambda$  as in Theorem 8.

Define  $\hat{m}(\gamma(X)) = m(X)$ , for  $X \in R$ . Giving  $\hat{S}$  its topology  $\tau(\lambda)$ ,  $\gamma(R)$  is dense in  $\hat{S}$  according to Theorem 8. Also  $\hat{m}: \gamma(R) \to E$  is a uniformly continuous mapping if the topology  $\sigma(E, E')$  on E is considered. Hence there exists a unique mapping  $\hat{m}: \hat{S} \to E'^*$  such that  $\hat{m}(\gamma(X)) = m(X)$ ,  $X \in R$ , which is continuous if on  $\hat{S}$  the topology  $\tau(\lambda)$  and on  $E'^*$  the topology  $\sigma(E'^*, E')$  are considered. The statement (iv) follows.

Clearly,  $\hat{m}$  is additive on  $\gamma(R)$ , hence, by density, it is additive on  $\hat{S}$ . Its continuity in  $\tau(\lambda)$  gives that it is  $\sigma$ -additive under the

100 I. KLUVANEK

topology  $\sigma$  (E'\*, E). The continuity gives, further, that  $x' \circ m$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$ , for every  $x' \in E'$ . Let  $f_{x'}$  be the Randon-Nikodym derivative of  $x' \circ m$  with respect to  $\lambda$ ,  $x' \in E$ ; notice that  $\lambda$  is a direct sum of finite measures, hence the Radon-Nikodym derivative exists. For every  $t \in \hat{T}$ , let  $f(t) \in E'^*$  be the vector such that  $x'(f(t)) = f(t)(x') = f_{x'}(t)$ ,  $x' \in E'$ . Then f is integrable and  $\hat{m}$  is its indefinite integral.

By Lemma 11,  $\tau(\hat{m})$  is a weaker topology on  $\hat{S}$  than  $\tau(\lambda)$ , hence  $\gamma(R)$  is also  $\tau(\hat{m})$ -dense in  $\hat{S}$ .

COROLLARY 13. — Let T be a space and Sa  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of T. A vector measure  $m: S \to E$  is closed if and only if there exists a localizable measure  $\lambda$  on S and a  $\lambda$ -integrable function  $f: T \to E'^*$  such that m is the indefinite integral of f with respect to  $\lambda$ 

*Proof.* – If such  $\lambda$  and f exist, them m is closed by Lemma 11.

Conversely, if m is closed then S(m) is  $\tau(m)$ -complete and hence  $S(m) = \hat{S}(\hat{m})$ , i.e.  $\gamma$  is a Boolean algebra isomorphism of S(m) onto the whole of  $\hat{S}(\hat{m})$ . Clearly, the measure  $\lambda$  induces a  $\sigma$ -additive function, denoted by  $\lambda$  again, on  $\hat{S}(\hat{m})$ . Then  $\lambda \circ \gamma^{-1}$  defines a  $\sigma$ -additive function on S(m) which induces a localizable measure on S.

The same method as used for proving Theorem 12 can give more precise results if an extra information is available. As an illustration we formulate some such results in form of two corollaries; these are, perhaps, corollaries to the proof rather than to theorem itself.

Denote by  $\widetilde{E}$  the quasi-completion of the space E. Since the space  $\widetilde{E}$  can be substantially smaller than the weak completion  $E'^*$ , the result in the next corollary is really more precise than the Theorem 12.

COROLLARY 14. – If R is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and if m is  $\sigma$ -additive then the values of  $\hat{m}$  are in the closure of m(R) in the space E.

**Proof.** – Since  $\gamma(R)$  is  $\tau(\lambda)$ -dense in  $\hat{S}$ , it suffices to prove that  $\hat{m}: \gamma(R) \to E$  is uniformly continuous with respect to  $\tau(\lambda)$  on  $\gamma(R)$ . This follows from Rybakov's theorem. In fact, given any

symmetric convex neighbourhood U of 0 in E, the Rybakov's theorem [9] guarantees the existence of an element  $x_0' \in U^\circ$  such that  $x' \circ m(X) \to 0$  uniformly with respect to  $x' \in U^\circ$ , whenever  $x_0' \circ m(X) \to 0$ ,  $X \in R$ . The uniform continuity of m readily follows.

This corollary was stated in form of Theorem 3 in [5]. Possibly the proof there was less economical.

The following corollary implies many theorems concerning the extension of a  $\sigma$ -additive measure from an algebra onto the generated  $\sigma$ -algebra. A more systematic account of such problems can be found in [6]. It is worth noticing, however, that the family H' in the condition (c) below consists of finitely-additive measures. That the replacement of countably additive measures by finitely additive ones is possible was pointed out for the first time by Uhl in [11]; he deals with the case when  $E = \widetilde{E}$  is a Banach space and then H' is reduced to a single finitely additive measure.

COROLLARY 15. — Assume that the pre-measure m is  $\sigma$ -additive on the algebra R. Then the following conditions are equivalent to each other.

- (a) The values of  $\hat{m}$  belong to  $\tilde{E}$ .
- (b) For every symmetric convex neighbourhood U of 0 on E, there exists  $\mu_U \in ca(R)$  such that  $x' \circ m(X) \to 0$  uniformly with respect to  $x' \in U^\circ$  whenever  $\mu_U(X) \to 0$ ,  $X \in R$ .
- (c) There exists a family  $H' \subset ba(R)$  such that m is uniformly continuous on R if R is equipped with the topology  $\tau(H')$ .
  - (d) The values of  $\hat{m}$  belong to the closure of m(R) in  $\tilde{E}$ .
  - (e) m(R) is contained in a weakly compact subset of  $\widetilde{E}$ .

Proof. — (a) implies (b) by Rybakov's theorem ; (b) implies (c) trivially.

Assuming (c) to hold we choose for H in the proof of Theorem 12 to be the vector lattice generated by H' and by all Dirac measures on R. Then m will become uniformly continuous on  $\gamma(R)$  in the topology  $\tau(\lambda)$  and so its extension on  $\hat{S}$ ,  $\tau(\lambda)$ -closure of  $\gamma(R)$ , will have values in the closure of m(R). This establishes (d).

В

The  $\sigma(E'^*, E')$ -closed convex hull K of  $\hat{m}(\hat{S})$  in  $E'^*$  is  $\sigma(E'^*, E')$ -compact. Now assuming (d), we have  $K \subseteq \widetilde{E}$  and so K is weakly compact; i.e. (e) holds. Finally (e) implies (a) trivially.

#### 4. Conical measure associated with a vector measure.

Let E be a locally convex topological vector space and  $E^{\prime*}$  its weak completion.

If u is a conical measure on  $E'^*$ , by r(u) is denoted the element  $x \in E'^*$  such that u(x') = x'(x) = x(x'), for every  $x' \in E'$ . The element r(u) is called the resultant of u. Since  $E'^*$  is a weak complete space it, obviously, exists.

Let now u be a conical measure on  $E'^*$ . By  $K_u$  is denoted the set of all resultants r(v), for all conical measures v on  $E'^*$  such that  $v \le u$  (see [3] and [4, Chapter 9]). A set  $K \subset E'^*$ , such that there exists a conical measure u on  $E'^*$  with  $K = K_u$ , is termed a zonoform.

Let T be an abstract space, S a  $\sigma$ -algebra of its subsets and  $m: S \to E$  a closed vector measure. By Corollary 13 to Theorem 12, there exist a localizable measure  $\lambda$  on S and a  $\lambda$ -integrable function  $f: T \to E'^*$  such that m is the indefinite integral of f with respect to  $\lambda$ .

Define

$$u(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} \varphi \circ f \, d\lambda, \tag{16}$$

for every  $\varphi \in h(E'^*)$ .

Lemma 16. — The functional u defined by (16) is a conical measure on  $E'^*$  which depends on the vector measure m only and not on the choice of f and  $\lambda$ 

**Proof.** – Since  $\lambda$  is localizable the Radon-Nikodym theorem is available [10, Theorem 5.1]. The independence of u on f and  $\lambda$  is a simple consequence. Clearly, u is a conical measure.

The conical measure defined by (16) is denoted by  $u = \Delta(m)$ .

LEMMA 17. – If  $m: S \to E$  is a closed vector measure and  $u = \Delta(m)$  then  $K_u = \overline{co} m(S)$ .

If u is a conical measure on E'\* such that  $K_u \subset E$ , then there exists a space T, a  $\sigma$ -algebra S of subsets of T and a closed vector measure  $m: S \to E$  such that  $m = \Delta(m)$ . In fact if S is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of E'\* and  $\lambda$  a localizable measure on S representing u and  $m: S \to E'$ \* is defined by

$$m(X) = \int_{X} x \, d\lambda(x) , X \in S, \tag{17}$$

then  $m(X) \in E$ , for  $X \in S$ , and  $u = \Delta(m)$ .

*Proof.* – Let  $X \in S$ . Define

$$v_{\mathbf{X}}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbf{X}} \varphi \circ f \, d\lambda, \varphi \in h(\mathbf{E'^*}).$$

Then  $v_X$  is a conical measure on E'\* and  $v_X \le u$ . Moreover,  $r(v_X) = m(X)$ . Hence  $m(S) \subseteq K_u$ . As  $K_u$  is a convex weakly compact set, [3] or [4, Theorem 38.2],  $\overline{\text{co}} m(S) \subseteq K_u$ .

Conversely, let v be a conical measure on  $E'^*$  and  $v \le u$ . Then, by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists an S-measurable function g on T such that

$$v(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} g \varphi \circ f d\lambda, \varphi \in h(E'^*),$$

and  $0 \le g \le 1$ . Then it follows easily that

$$r(v) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} gf d\lambda \in \overline{co} m(S).$$

So,  $K_u \subset \overline{co} \ m \ (S)$ .

If the vector measure m is defined by (17) then, clearly,  $u = \Delta(m)$ .

Before stating the last theorem, let us remind that a point  $x_0$  is called an exposed point of a set  $K \subset E'^*$  if  $x_0 \in K$  and if there exists  $x' \in E'$  such that  $x'(x) < x'(x_0)$  whenever  $x \in K$  and  $x \neq x_0$ .

Theorem 18. — Let u be a conical measure on  $E'^*$ . Each of the following conditions is sufficient for the existence of a finite

104 I. KLUVANEK

 $\sigma$ -additive measure  $\lambda$  on the cylindrical  $\sigma$ -algebra  $C(E'^*)$  which represents u:

- (a) The zonoform K<sub>u</sub> has an exposed point.
- (b)  $K_u \subseteq E$  and E admits a metrizable topology consistent with the duality of E and E'.

*Proof.* — Let T be a space, S a  $\sigma$ -algebra of its subsets and  $m: S \to E'^*$  a vector measure such that  $u = \Delta(m)$  and, hence,  $K_u = \overline{co} \ m(S)$ . These objects do exist according to Lemma 17.

It was first observed by Anantharaman in [1] that if  $\overline{co}$  m(S) has an exposed point and if x' is the exposing functional, then m is absolutely continuous with respect to the finite positive  $\sigma$ -additive measure  $\mu = |x' \circ m|$  (see also [8, Theorem VI.3.1] for a formulation in a generality required here). Let  $f: T \to E'^*$  the function such that m is the indefinite integral of f with respect to  $\mu$ . We put  $\lambda = \mu \circ f^{-1}$  to obtain a measure  $\lambda$  on  $C(E'^*)$  representing  $\mu$ .

If  $K_u = \overline{\operatorname{co}} m(S) \subset E$  and E is metrizable then there exists a finite measure  $\mu$  on S such that m is absolutely continous with respect to  $\mu$ . This is only a slight and known generalization of the classical result of Bartle, Dunford and Schwartz in [2] stated there for E normable. The rest of the proof follows as in case (a).

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] R. ANANTHARAMAN, On exposed points of the range of a vector measure, *Vector and operator valued measures and applications* (Proc. Sympos. Snowbird Resort, Alta, Utah; 1972), p. 7-22. Academic Press, New York 1973.
- [2] R.G. BARTLE, N. DUNFORD and J.T. Schwartz, Weak compactness and vector measures, *Canad. J. Math.*, 7(1955), 289-305.
- [3] G. CHOQUET, Mesures coniques, affines et cylindriques, *Symposia Mathematica*, vol. II (INDAM, Roma, 1968) p. 145-182. Academic Press, London 1969.
- [4] G. CHOQUET, Lectures on Analysis, Edit. J. Marsden, T. Lance and S. Gelbart, W.A. Benjamin Inc. New York — Amsterdam 1969.

- [5] I. KLUVÁNEK, The range of a vector-valued measure, *Math. Systems Theory*, 7 (1973), 44-54.
- [6] I. Kluvánek, The extension and closure of vector measure, Vector and operator valued measures and applications (Proc. Sympos. Snowbird Resort, Alta, Utah; 1972), p. 175-190. Academic Press, New York 1973.
- [7] I. Kluvánek, Characterization of the closed convex hull of the range of a vector-valued measure, *J. Functional Analysis*, 21 (1976), 316-329.
- [8] I. KLUVÁNEK, and G. KNOWLES, Vector measures and control systems, North Holland Publishing Co. Amsterdam 1975.
- [9] V.I. RYBAKOV, Theorem of Bartle, Dunford and Schwartz concerning vector measures, *Mat. Zametki*, 7 (1970), 247-254 (English translation *Math. Notes*, 7 (1970), 147-151).
- [10] I.E. SEGAL, Equivalence of measure spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, 73 (1951), 275-313.
- [11] J.J. UHL, Extension and decomposition of vector measures, J. London Math., Soc., (2), 3 (1971), 672-676.

Manuscrit reçu le 2 décembre 1975 Proposé par G. Choquet.

Igor KLUVÁNEK,
School of Mathematical Sciences
The Flinders University
of South Australia
Bedford Park (Australia).