



ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Jun-Muk HWANG

Characterizing subadjoint varieties among Legendrian varieties

Article à paraître, mis en ligne le 4 février 2026, 23 p.

Article mis à disposition par son auteur selon les termes de la licence
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION-NO DERIVS (CC-BY-ND) 3.0



<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/>



Les *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* sont membres du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte
www.centre-mersenne.org e-ISSN : 1777-5310

CHARACTERIZING SUBADJOINT VARIETIES AMONG LEGENDRIAN VARIETIES

by Jun-Muk HWANG (*)

Dedicated to the memory of Jean-Pierre Demailly

ABSTRACT. — For a symplectic vector space V , a projective subvariety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ is a Legendrian variety if its affine cone $\widehat{Z} \subset V$ is Lagrangian. In addition to the classical examples of subadjoint varieties associated to simple Lie algebras, many examples of nonsingular Legendrian varieties have been discovered which have positive-dimensional automorphism groups. We give a characterization of subadjoint varieties among such Legendrian varieties in terms of the isotropy representation. Our proof uses some special features of the projective third fundamental forms of Legendrian varieties and their relation to the lines on the Legendrian varieties.

RÉSUMÉ. — Pour un espace vectoriel symplectique V , une sous-variété projective $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ est une variété legendrienne si son cône affine $\widehat{Z} \subset V$ est lagrangien. En plus des exemples classiques de variétés sous-adjointes associées à des algèbres de Lie simples, de nombreux exemples de variétés legendriennes non singulières ont été découverts qui ont des groupes d'automorphisme de dimension positive. Nous donnons une caractérisation des variétés sous-adjointes parmi ces variétés legendriennes en termes de représentation isotropique. Notre preuve utilise certaines caractéristiques particulières des troisièmes formes fondamentales projectives des variétés legendriennes et leur relation avec les lignes des variétés legendriennes.

1. Introduction

Let V be a complex vector space of dimension $2n + 2$, $n \geq 1$, equipped with a symplectic form $\sigma: \wedge^2 V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. A projective subvariety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ is a *Legendrian variety* if its affine cone $\widehat{Z} \subset V$ is Lagrangian with respect to σ , namely, it has dimension $n + 1$ and its tangent spaces are isotropic with respect to σ . Many examples of Legendrian varieties can be produced by

Keywords: Legendrian variety, projective fundamental form, subadjoint varieties.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 14M15, 53A20, 53D10.

(*) This work was supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R032-D1).

Bryant's method (see [1, Section 3] or [17, Section 4]), which associates to any projective variety $Z' \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ a Legendrian variety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ birational to Z' . The examples obtained this way are usually singular. It is harder to find examples of *nonsingular* Legendrian varieties. Classically known nonsingular examples are subadjoint varieties defined as follows.

DEFINITION 1.1. — *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra of Dynkin diagram type different from A_ℓ or C_ℓ . Let $X^\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{P}\mathfrak{g}$ be its adjoint variety, namely, the unique closed orbit under the adjoint representation. Then for a base point $o \in X^\mathfrak{g}$, the isotropy group at o acts irreducibly on a hyperplane $V^\mathfrak{g} \subset T_o X^\mathfrak{g}$ and the variety of highest weight vectors of this isotropy action is the subadjoint variety $Z^\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{P}V^\mathfrak{g}$. We can list them explicitly as follows (see [9, Section 1.4.6] or [2, Table 1]) according to the type of $\mathfrak{g}$:*

- ($\mathfrak{so}_{n+5}$) the Segre product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and the smooth quadric hypersurface $\mathbb{Q}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$;
- (G_2) the twisted cubic curve $v_3(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$;
- (F_4) the Plücker embedding of the Lagrangian Grassmannian of 3-dimensional isotropic subspaces in a symplectic vector space of dimension 6;
- (E_6) the Plücker embedding $\mathrm{Gr}(3; W) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\wedge^3 W}$ of the Grassmannian of 3-dimensional subspaces in a vector space W of dimension 6;
- (E_7) the spinor embedding $\mathbb{S}^6 \subset \mathbb{P}^{31}$ of the spinor variety $\mathbb{S}^6$ of isotropic subspaces of dimension 6 in an orthogonal vector space of dimension 12;
- (E_8) the 27-dimensional highest weight variety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{55}$ of the basic representation of the exceptional Lie group E_7 .

Conversely, a Legendrian variety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ which is homogeneous under $\mathrm{Aut}(Z)$ is isomorphic to one of the subadjoint varieties (e.g. [2, Theorem 5.11]).

Bryant's method shows that any compact Riemann surface can be realized as a Legendrian curve in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Examples of nonhomogeneous nonsingular Legendrian varieties of dimension bigger than 1 have been discovered in [3, 4, 5, 17]. Especially, Buczyński found several examples with $\dim \mathrm{Aut}(Z) > 0$.

A major motivation for studying nonsingular Legendrian varieties has been its potential application in the classification problem of Fano contact manifolds, all of which are expected to be homogeneous. One approach to the classification problem of Fano contact manifolds is to use their variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point, which is known to be a nonsingular Legendrian variety by [15, Theorem 1.1]. The Main Theorem

of [20] says that if the variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point of a Fano contact manifold is isomorphic to a subadjoint variety, then the Fano contact manifold is homogeneous. From this perspective, it is worthwhile to characterize subadjoint varieties among nonsingular Legendrian varieties in terms of suitable geometric conditions.

In this paper, we give a couple of such characterizations. The first one is in terms of the fundamental forms.

THEOREM 1.2. — *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ be a nonsingular Legendrian variety of dimension $n \geq 2$. For a general point $z \in Z$, if the third fundamental form $\text{III}_{Z,z}$ of Z at z is isomorphic to that of a subadjoint variety, then $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ is isomorphic to the subadjoint variety.*

Each subadjoint variety $Z^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{P}V^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Hermitian symmetric space. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is of exceptional type, Theorem 1.2 follows from [14, Theorem 1]. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is of classical type, we exploit the geometry lines on the Legendrian variety to prove Theorem 1.2.

Our next result is in terms of linear automorphisms of $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$.

THEOREM 1.3. — *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ be a nonsingular Legendrian variety of dimension $n \geq 2$, different from a linear subspace $\mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$. For a general point $z \in Z$, let $\text{Aut}(Z; z) \subset \text{PGL}(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$ be the group of linear automorphism of Z fixing $z \in Z$ and let $\iota_z: \text{Aut}(Z; z) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_z Z)$ be the isotropy representation on the tangent space at z . Then $\dim \text{Ker}(\iota_z) \neq 0$ if and only if Z is a subadjoint variety.*

Roughly speaking, Theorem 1.3 says that subadjoint varieties are the only nonsingular Legendrian varieties that admit symmetries of higher order. The proof of Theorem 1.3 uses Theorem 1.2 and a result from [11] which characterizes the third fundamental forms of the subadjoint varieties. The main issue is how to obtain the required property of the third fundamental form from the assumption $\dim \text{Ker}(\iota_z) \neq 0$. The key result is Theorem 5.4, which gives an explicit description of the Lie algebra of $\text{Ker}(\iota_z)$. Theorem 5.4 is of independent interest and seems to be new even for subadjoint varieties. As Theorem 5.4 works for a large class of singular Legendrian varieties as well, we expect that it would be useful in the study of the symmetries of Legendrian varieties.

We remark that there is another characterization of subadjoint varieties in terms of contact prolongations of $\text{Aut}(Z)$, proved in [12]. Contact prolongations are completely different from the prolongations of the infinitesimal automorphisms of cubic forms used below in Section 4, and the two methodologies are not directly related.

Let us give a rough outline of the paper. In Section 2, we review some basic properties of Legendrian varieties. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 3. In Section 4, we recall a result from [11] on prolongations of cubic forms, with some supplement. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 5.4 and obtain Theorem 1.3 as a consequence.

2. Fundamental forms of Legendrian varieties

Here we collect some results related to fundamental forms of Legendrian varieties. Some of them are proved in [17] by the method of moving frames. Here, we work out the computation in local coordinates, instead of moving frames.

Notation 2.1. — Let V be a vector space. Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ be a projective subvariety and let $\hat{Z} \subset V$ be its affine cone. For a nonsingular point $z \in Z$, we denote by

$$\Pi_{Z,z} \in \text{Hom}(\text{Sym}^2 T_z Z, T_z \mathbb{P}V / T_z Z)$$

the second fundamental form (see [6, p. 94]) and by

$$\text{III}_{Z,z} \in \text{Hom}(\text{Sym}^3 T_z Z, T_z \mathbb{P}V / \text{Im}(\Pi_{Z,z}))$$

the third fundamental form (see [6, p. 126]) of Z at z . They are given by the 2-jets (resp. 3-jets) of the restrictions to Z of elements of $H^0(\mathbb{P}V, \mathcal{O}(1)) = V^*$ which vanish to the second (resp. third) order at z . See [14, Section 2] for details.

We use the following definition of Legendrian varieties, which is equivalent to the one in Section 1.

DEFINITION 2.2. — *Let (V, σ) be a symplectic vector space of dimension $2n + 2$, $n \geq 1$. The symplectic form σ induces a natural $\mathcal{O}(2)$ -valued 1-form θ on the projective space $\mathbb{P}V$ which defines a contact distribution D on $\mathbb{P}V$*

$$0 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow T\mathbb{P}V \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{O}(2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

More precisely, at each point $z \in \mathbb{P}V$, the hyperplane $D_z \subset T_z \mathbb{P}V = \text{Hom}(\hat{z}, V/\hat{z})$ is defined as $\text{Hom}(\hat{z}, \hat{z}^{\perp\sigma}/\hat{z})$ where

$$\hat{z}^{\perp\sigma} := \{w \in V \mid \sigma(w, \hat{z}) = 0\}.$$

The distribution D of rank $2n$ is a contact distribution in the sense that $d\theta$ induces on D_z a symplectic form for each $z \in \mathbb{P}V$. A projective subvariety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ of dimension n is a Legendrian variety if the tangent space $T_z Z \subset T_z \mathbb{P}V$ at any nonsingular point $z \in Z$ is contained in D_z .

We use the following coordinate system adapted to a Legendrian variety.

LEMMA 2.3. — *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ be a Legendrian variety and let $z \in Z$ be a nonsingular point. Then we can choose an inhomogeneous coordinate system $(x^1, \dots, x^n, x^{n+1}, \dots, x^{2n}, x^{2n+1})$ on an affine open subset in $\mathbb{P}V$ such that:*

- (1) $z = (x^1 = \dots = x^{2n+1} = 0)$;
- (2) the n -dimensional linear space $(x^{n+1} = \dots = x^{2n+1} = 0)$ is tangent to Z at z ;
- (3) the contact form θ is proportional to

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (x^{n+k} dx^k - x^k dx^{n+k}) - dx^{2n+1}.$$

Furthermore, in a Euclidean neighborhood U of z in $\mathbb{P}V$, choose coordinates $(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ on $U \cap Z$ and holomorphic functions $F^1, \dots, F^n, E$ on $U \cap Z$ satisfying

$$F^1(0) = \dots = F^n(0) = E(0) = 0$$

such that the submanifold $Z \cap U$ of U is given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x^k &= y^k & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ x^{n+k} &= F^k(y^1, \dots, y^n) & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ \text{and } x^{2n+1} &= E(y^1, \dots, y^n). \end{aligned}$$

Then, shrinking U if necessary, we can find a holomorphic function F on $Z \cap U$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad F^k = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^k} \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial E(0)}{\partial y^i} = 0 = \frac{\partial^2 F(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^k} \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i, k \leq n,$$

$$(2.3) \quad -\frac{\partial^N E}{\partial y^{i_1} \dots \partial y^{i_N}} = (N-2) \frac{\partial^N F}{\partial y^{i_1} \dots \partial y^{i_N}} + \sum_{k=1}^n y^k \frac{\partial^{N+1} F}{\partial y^k \partial y^{i_1} \dots \partial y^{i_N}}$$

for each integer $N \geq 1$, and

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial^2 E(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^k} = 0 \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i, k \leq n.$$

Proof. — The existence of an inhomogeneous coordinate system

$$(x^1, \dots, x^n, x^{n+1}, \dots, x^{2n}, x^{2n+1})$$

satisfying (1), (2) and (3) is well-known: they arise from a choice of symplectic basis of V with respect to σ . Let us verify (2.1)–(2.4).

Since Z is Legendrian, the pull-back of θ to Z must vanish:

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n (F^k dy^k - y^k dF^k) - dE = 0.$$

Taking derivative of (2.5), we have $\sum_{k=1}^n dF^k \wedge dy^k = 0$. By Poincaré's lemma, there exists a holomorphic function F in a small neighborhood of z in Z such that $F^k = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^k}$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, verifying (2.1). Since dE and dF^k vanish at z by (2), we have (2.2). Putting (2.1) into (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} dE &= \sum_{k=1}^n (F^k dy^k - y^k dF^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^k} dy^k - y^k \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^i \partial y^k} dy^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^k} - \sum_{i=1}^n y^i \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^i \partial y^k} \right) dy^k, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.3) for $N = 1$. Taking higher derivatives successively, we obtain (2.3) for all $N \geq 2$. Finally, (2.4) follows from (2.3). $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.4. — *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ be a Legendrian variety and let $z \in Z$ be a nonsingular point. Then:*

- (a) $\text{Im}(\Pi_{Z,z}) \subset D_z/T_z Z$;
- (b) $\text{Im}(\Pi_{Z,z}) = D_z/T_z Z$ if and only if the second fundamental form $\Pi_{Z,z}$ is nondegenerate in the sense that its null space

$$\text{Null}(\Pi_{Z,z}) := \{v \in T_z Z \mid \Pi_{Z,z}(v, w) \text{ for all } w \in T_z Z\}$$

is zero.

Moreover, if there exists a nonsingular point $z \in Z$ satisfying the two equivalent conditions of (b):

- (c) the third fundamental form $\text{III}_{Z,z}$ of Z at z is, up to a nonzero scalar multiple, given by a single cubic form $f^z \in \text{Sym}^3 T_z^* Z$, which satisfies

$$f^z \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} \right) = \frac{\partial^3 E(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^k}$$

for all $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ in terms of the coordinates in Lemma 2.3;

- (d) the second fundamental form $\Pi_{Z,z}$ is, up to a nonzero scalar multiple, given by the n -dimensional system of quadratic forms on $T_z Z$

$$\{f^z(v, \cdot, \cdot) \in \text{Sym}^2 T_z^* Z \mid v \in T_z Z\}$$

obtained by the contraction of the cubic form f^z in (c);

(e) Z is linearly nondegenerate in $\mathbb{P}V$, namely, it is not contained in any hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}V$.

Proof. — In terms of the local coordinates in Lemma 2.3, elements of $H^0(\mathbb{P}V, \mathcal{O}(1))$ whose restrictions to Z vanish to the second order at z are spanned by $F^k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ and E . Thus $\Pi_{Z,z}$ is given by the $n+1$ quadratic forms

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F^k(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} dy^i \cdot dy^j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 E(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} dy^i \cdot dy^j.$$

But the latter vanishes by (2.4). By Lemma 2.3(3), this implies (a).

To prove (b), note that $\text{Im}(\Pi_{Z,z}) = D_z/T_z Z$ if and only if the n quadratic forms

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F^k(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} dy^i \cdot dy^j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n$$

are linearly independent. But

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^n c^k \frac{\partial^2 F^k(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} dy^i \cdot dy^j = 0$$

for some $c^k \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq k \leq n$, exactly when $\sum_{k=1}^n c^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} \in T_z Z$ is in $\text{Null}(\Pi_{Z,z})$. This proves (b).

If $\text{Im}(\Pi_{Z,z}) = D_z/T_z Z$, then by Lemma 2.3, the third fundamental form corresponds to the cubic form f^z given by

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^3 E(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^k} dy^i \cdot dy^j \cdot dy^k.$$

This proves (c).

By (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F^k(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} dy^i \cdot dy^j = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^3 E(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^k} dy^i \cdot dy^j.$$

The left-hand side is $\Pi_{Z,z}$ and the right-hand side is the contraction of $-f^z$ by $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$. This proves (d).

Finally, in the setting of (c) and (d), the third fundamental form $\text{III}_{z,z}$ is nonzero, which implies (e). $\square$

Now we look at nonsingular Legendrian varieties. We say that $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ is nonlinear if it is not isomorphic to a linear subspace $\mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. — *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ be a nonsingular and nonlinear Legendrian variety.*

- (i) *A general point $z \in Z$ satisfies $\text{Im}(\Pi_{Z,z}) = D_z/T_zZ$.*
- (ii) *For a general point $z \in Z$, let*

$$\text{Bs}(\Pi_{Z,z}) := \{v \in T_zZ \mid \Pi_{Z,z}(v, v) = 0\}$$

be the base locus of $\Pi_{Z,z}$. Then it is exactly the set of tangent vectors to lines on Z passing through z .

- (iii) *The dual variety $Z^* \subset \mathbb{P}V^*$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}V^*$.*

Proof. — If Z is nonsingular and not a linear subspace, then its Gauss map is birational (see [6, Corollary on p. 124]), which implies that $\Pi_{Z,z}$ is nondegenerate at a general point $z \in Z$ (see [6, Proposition on p. 111]). Thus (i) is a consequence of Proposition 2.4(b).

(ii) is [17, Theorem 16].

As noted in [17, Proposition 17], the dual variety Z^* is isomorphic to the tangent variety $\text{Tan}(Z) \subset \mathbb{P}V$ via the isomorphism $V^* \cong V$ induced by the symplectic form σ . Thus to prove (iii), it suffices to show that $\dim \text{Tan}(Z) = 2 \cdot \dim Z$. But if $\dim \text{Tan}(Z) < 2 \cdot \dim Z$, then $\text{Tan}(Z) = \text{Sec}(Z)$ by [6, Corollary in p. 123], which implies that Z has a degenerate secant variety. Then the third fundamental form of Z at a general point vanishes identically by [6, Theorem in p. 135], a contradiction to Proposition 2.4(c) and (d). $\square$

DEFINITION 2.6. — *A cubic form $f \in \text{Sym}^3 W^*$ on a vector space W has nonzero Hessian if for some $w \in W$, the quadratic form $f(w, \cdot, \cdot) \in \text{Sym}^2 W^*$ is nondegenerate, namely,*

$$\{u \in W \mid f(w, u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in W\} = 0.$$

From Proposition 2.5, we deduce the following.

PROPOSITION 2.7. — *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ and $z \in Z$ be as in Proposition 2.5. Write $W = T_zZ$. Then:*

- (i) *the cubic form f^z in Proposition 2.4(c) has nonzero Hessian;*
- (ii) *for the cubic hypersurface $Y^z \subset \mathbb{P}W$ determined by the cubic form f^z , its (set-theoretical) singular locus $\text{Sing}(Y^z) \subset \mathbb{P}W$ of Y^z is nonsingular.*

Proof. — By Proposition 2.5(iii), the dual variety of Z is a hypersurface on $\mathbb{P}V^*$, which implies that the system of quadratic forms $\Pi_{Z,z}$ at a general point $z \in Z$ contains a nondegenerate quadratic form by [6, Proposition in p. 112]. By Proposition 2.4(d), this implies that for a general $w \in T_zZ$,

the contraction $f^z(w, \cdot, \cdot) \in \text{Sym}^2 T_z^* Z$ is a nondegenerate quadratic form. Thus f^z has nonzero Hessian, proving (i).

The affine cone in W of $\text{Sing}(Y^z) \subset \mathbb{P}W$ is

$$\{v \in W \mid f^z(v, v, u) = 0 \text{ for all } u \in W\}.$$

By Proposition 2.4(d), this is exactly the base locus $\text{Bs}(\Pi_{Z,z})$ in Proposition 2.5(ii). Recall the general fact that for a nonsingular projective variety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$, the variety $C_z \subset \mathbb{P}T_z Z$ consisting of tangent directions to lines on Z through z is nonsingular for a general $z \in Z$ (e.g. by [9, Proposition 1.5]). In our setting, this C_z is exactly $\text{Sing}(Y^z)$ by Proposition 2.5(ii). This implies (ii). $\square$

3. Characterizing subadjoint varieties by their third fundamental forms

From Definition 1.1, the subadjoint variety associated to a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a homogeneous Legendrian variety $Z^\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{P}V^\mathfrak{g}$ in a symplectic vector space $V^\mathfrak{g}$ determined by $\mathfrak{g}$. It is well-known that their fundamental forms can be described as follows (see [17, Corollary 26] and the references therein).

PROPOSITION 3.1. — *The third fundamental form of a subadjoint variety $Z^\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{P}V^\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to the determinant of a semisimple Jordan algebra of rank 3. For each $\mathfrak{g}$, the corresponding cubic hypersurface $Y^\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{P}W^\mathfrak{g}$, where $W^\mathfrak{g}$ denotes $T_z Z^\mathfrak{g}$ for a point $z \in Z^\mathfrak{g}$, can be described as follows.*

- (i) For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_7$, the cubic form is isomorphic to $s^2 t$ in two variables (s, t) . The singular locus of $Y^\mathfrak{g}$ is a single point in $\mathbb{P}W^\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.
- (ii) For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_8$, the cubic hypersurface $Y^\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is the union of three lines intersecting at three points in $\mathbb{P}W^\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. Three intersection points, which are not collinear, are the singular locus of $Y^\mathfrak{g}$.
- (iii) For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{n+5}$, $n \geq 4$, the cubic hypersurface $Y^\mathfrak{g}$ is the union of a hyperplane and a quadric hypersurface with an isolated singularity outside the hyperplane.
- (iv) For $\mathfrak{g}$ of type G_2 , the cubic form is isomorphic to s^3 in one variable (s) . The hypersurface $Y^\mathfrak{g}$ is empty.
- (v) For $\mathfrak{g}$ of type F_4, E_6, E_7 or E_8 , the cubic hypersurface is the secant of the following four Severi varieties:

$$v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^5, \quad \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^8, \quad \text{Gr}(2, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{14} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^{26}.$$

We reformulate Theorem 1.2 as follows.

THEOREM 3.2. — *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ be a nonsingular and nonlinear Legendrian variety of dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $Y^z \subset \mathbb{P}T_z Z$ be the cubic hypersurface defined by $\text{III}_{Z,z}$ at a general point $z \in Z$. If $Y^z \subset \mathbb{P}T_z Z$ is isomorphic to $Y^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{P}W^{\mathfrak{g}}$ in Proposition 3.1, then $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ is isomorphic to $Z^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{P}V^{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Proof. — Since $\dim Z = n \geq 2$, we can ignore $\mathfrak{g}$ of type G_2 , the case (iv) of Proposition 3.1. By the assumption, we have $\dim Z = \dim Z^{\mathfrak{g}}$ for some $\mathfrak{g}$ in Proposition 3.1

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is in the case (v) of Proposition 3.1, the list in Definition 1.1 shows that the subadjoint variety is the minimal embedding of an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space, different from projective space or the hyperquadric. Since $\text{II}_{Z,z}$ is determined by $\text{III}_{Z,z}$, the projective variety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ has the same fundamental forms as those of the subadjoint variety $Z^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Thus $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ is isomorphic to $Z^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{P}V^{\mathfrak{g}}$ by the characterization of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces by their fundamental forms in [14, Theorem 1].

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is in the case (i) of Proposition 3.1, the Legendrian variety Z is a surface and the singular locus of the cubic defined by $\text{III}_{Z,z}$ is a single point. By Proposition 2.5(i), there exists a unique line through a general point of Z . Since Z is a nonsingular surface, this implies that Z is a ruled surface. Thus $Z \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^1$ by [17, Proposition 8].

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is in the cases (ii) or (iii) of Proposition 3.1, we have lines covering Z with $(n-3)$ -dimensional nontrivial deformations fixing a general point $z \in Z$ corresponding to the $(n-3)$ -dimensional component of the singular locus of $Y^{\mathfrak{g}}$ (two points in the case (ii) with $n=3$), which is isomorphic to the quadric hypersurface $\mathbb{Q}^{n-3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$. Projective varieties with such a large family of lines have been classified in [18, Theorem 1.4]. Since the $(n-3)$ -dimensional family of lines through a general point $z \in Z$ is isomorphic to the quadric hypersurface $\mathbb{Q}^{n-3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$, we see that Z is the hyperquadric fibration (1.4.3.f) of [18], namely, there is a surjective morphism $\psi: Z \rightarrow B$ to a curve B whose general fiber is a quadric hypersurface. Furthermore, the singular locus of $Y^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has one additional isolated point. Thus we can use the next lemma to complete the proof. $\square$

LEMMA 3.3. — *Let $\mathbb{Q}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the nonsingular quadric hypersurface of dimension $n-1 \geq 1$. Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ be a linearly nondegenerate nonsingular projective variety of dimension n equipped with a morphism $\psi: Z \rightarrow B$ to a projective curve B satisfying the following properties.*

- (a) For a general point $z \in Z$, there exists a linear subspace $\mathbb{P}_z^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ such that the fiber $Q_z := \psi^{-1}(\psi(z))$ of ψ through z is contained in $\mathbb{P}_z^n \cap Z$ and the inclusion $Q_z \subset \mathbb{P}_z^n$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.
- (b) For a general point $z \in Z$, there exists a unique line $\ell_z \subset Z$ transversal to Q_z .

Then Z is isomorphic to the Segre embedding

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1}) = \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}.$$

Proof. — Because $\ell_z \cap Q_z = z$ by (a), the morphism ψ sends ℓ_z isomorphically to B . Let $\mathcal{L}^\circ$ be the subset of the Grassmannian of lines on $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ parameterizing the lines

$$\{\ell_z \subset Z \mid \text{general } z \in Z\}$$

given in (b). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{L}^\circ$ in the Grassmannian. Then all members of $\mathcal{L}$ are lines in Z and must be sent isomorphically to B by ψ . So they are transversal to fibers of ψ . It follows that all fibers of ψ must be nonsingular. A general ℓ_z in (b) must have trivial normal bundle in Z , otherwise there would be nontrivial deformations of ℓ_z in Z fixing z , violating the uniqueness in (b). Thus we can find an algebraic subset $E \subset Z$ of codimension at least 2, such that members of $\mathcal{L}$ determine a foliation of rank 1 on $Z \setminus E$, which is transversal to the fibration ψ . It follows that this foliation is defined everywhere on Z inducing a splitting of the tangent bundle of TZ (see [19, Proposition 5]). This implies that $Z \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$. Since Z is linearly nondegenerate, it must be the Segre embedding. $\square$

COROLLARY 3.4. — *In Proposition 2.7, the cubic hypersurface Y^z is irreducible and reduced, unless Z is isomorphic to the subadjoint variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ associated with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{n+5}$.*

Proof. — If the cubic hypersurface is not reduced, the reduction (the underlying reduced hypersurface) must be a hyperplane. This is a contradiction to Proposition 2.7(i). So the cubic hypersurface is reduced. Furthermore, if it is not irreducible, then it must be isomorphic to (ii) or (iii) in Proposition 3.1. Thus Corollary 3.4 follows from Theorem 3.2. $\square$

4. Prolongations of infinitesimal automorphisms of cubic hypersurfaces

In this section, we recall the main result of [11] with some supplement to fix a minor gap in the argument.

DEFINITION 4.1. — Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}W$ be an irreducible variety and let $\hat{S} \subset W$ be the corresponding affine cone in the vector space W . For a nonsingular point $s \in \hat{S}$, denote by $T_s \hat{S} \subset W$ the affine tangent space at s .

- (i) The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}(\hat{S}) \subset \text{End}(W)$ of infinitesimal automorphisms of $\hat{S} \subset W$ consists of endomorphisms $\varphi \in \text{End}(W)$ satisfying $\varphi(s) \in T_s \hat{S}$ for any nonsingular point $s \in \hat{S}$. This is the Lie algebra of the linear automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\hat{S}) \subset \text{GL}(W)$ of the affine cone, which is the inverse image of the linear automorphism group $\text{Aut}(S) \subset \text{PGL}(W)$ of $S \subset \mathbb{P}V$ under the projection $\text{GL}(W) \rightarrow \text{PGL}(W)$.
- (ii) For an element $A \in \text{Hom}(\text{Sym}^2 W, W)$, denote by $A_{uv} = A_{vu} \in W$ its value at $u, v \in W$. Then A is a prolongation of $\mathfrak{aut}(\hat{S})$ if for each $w \in W$, the endomorphism $A_w \in \text{End}(W)$ defined by $A_w(u) := A_{wu}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{aut}(\hat{S})$. The vector space of all prolongations of $\mathfrak{aut}(\hat{S})$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{aut}(\hat{S})^{(1)}$.

The following is [11, Theorem 2.1], which is an easy consequence of the classification in [8] of all linearly nondegenerate nonsingular subvariety $S \subset \mathbb{P}W$ with $\mathfrak{aut}(\hat{S})^{(1)} \neq 0$.

THEOREM 4.2. — Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}W$ be a linearly nondegenerate nonsingular subvariety with $\mathfrak{aut}(\hat{S})^{(1)} \neq 0$. If the secant variety $\text{Sec}(S) \subset \mathbb{P}W$ is a hypersurface, then $S \subset \mathbb{P}V$ is one of the following four Severi varieties:

$$v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^5, \quad \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^8, \quad \text{Gr}(2, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{14} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^{26}.$$

The following elementary fact is from [11, Lemma 3.6].

LEMMA 4.3. — Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}W$ be an irreducible reduced cubic hypersurface defined by a cubic form $f \in \text{Sym}^3 W^*$ on a vector space W . Then there exists a linear functional $\chi: \mathfrak{aut}(\hat{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}(\hat{Y}) \subset \text{End}(W)$ consists of endomorphisms $\varphi \in \text{End}(W)$ satisfying

$$f(\varphi(u), v, w) + f(u, \varphi(v), w) + f(u, v, \varphi(w)) = \chi(\varphi) f(u, v, w)$$

for all $u, v, w \in W$.

DEFINITION 4.4. — Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}W$ be an irreducible reduced cubic hypersurface defined by a cubic form $f \in \text{Sym}^3 W^*$ on a vector space W .

- (i) For $u, v \in W$, let $f_{uv} \in W^*$ be the linear functional defined by $f_{uv}(w) = f(u, v, w)$ for all $w \in W$.
- (ii) For $A \in \mathfrak{aut}(\hat{Y})^{(1)}$, let $\chi^A \in W^*$ be the linear functional defined by $\chi^A(u) = \chi(A_u)$ where $\chi: \mathfrak{aut}(\hat{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is from Lemma 4.3.

- (iii) For a complex number $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\Xi_Y^a \subset \mathbf{aut}(\widehat{Y})^{(1)}$ be the subspace consisting of $A \in \mathbf{aut}(\widehat{Y})^{(1)}$ that satisfies

$$A_{uv} = a\chi^A(u)v + a\chi^A(v)u + h^A(f_{uv})$$

for some $h^A \in \mathrm{Hom}(W^*, W)$ and all $u, v \in W$.

The following is the main result in this section and is exactly [11, Theorem 1.6].

THEOREM 4.5. — *Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}W$ be an irreducible cubic hypersurface with nonzero Hessian. Let $\mathrm{Sing}(Y) \subset Y$ be the singular locus of Y . Assume that:*

- (a) *the singular locus $\mathrm{Sing}(Y)$ is nonsingular;*
- (b) *$\Xi_Y^a \neq 0$ for some $a \neq \frac{1}{4}$.*

Then $\mathrm{Sing}(Y)$ is one of the four Severi varieties (in Theorem 4.2) and Y is the secant variety $\mathrm{Sec}(\mathrm{Sing}(Y))$ of the Severi variety.

There is a minor gap in the proof of Theorem 4.5 in [11]. Let us explain this. The key ingredients of the proof of Theorem 4.5 are Theorem 4.2 and the following, which is [11, Theorem 5.1].

THEOREM 4.6. — *Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}W$ be an irreducible cubic hypersurface defined by a cubic form $f \in \mathrm{Sym}^3 W^*$ with nonzero Hessian. Assume that $\Xi_Y^a \neq 0$ for some $a \neq \frac{1}{4}$. Then $Y = \mathrm{Sec}(\mathrm{Sing}(Y))$.*

Yewon Jeong has pointed out that the proof of [11, Theorem 5.1] (that is, Theorem 4.6 above) requires $a \neq 0$ at the last line in p. 41 of [11]. To fill this gap, we give a proof of Theorem 4.6 here, when $a = 0$. We use the following two lemmas.

The following lemma is from [11, Lemma 3.4(4) and Definition 3.5].

LEMMA 4.7. — *Suppose that $f \in \mathrm{Sym}^3 W^*$ has nonzero Hessian. Then:*

- (i) *the homomorphism $f_u: W \rightarrow W^*$ defined by $f_u(w) = f_{uw}$ is an isomorphism for a general $u \in W$;*
- (ii) *for any dense open subset $U \subset W$,*

$$\bigcap_{u \in U} \mathrm{Ker}(f_{uu}) = 0,$$

where $\mathrm{Ker}(f_{uu}) \subset W$ is the hyperplane given by $f_{uu} \in W^$.*

In the next lemma, (i) is a reformulation of [11, Proposition 5.6] and (ii) is (C1) in p. 41 of [11].

LEMMA 4.8. — *For an irreducible cubic hypersurface $Y \subset \mathbb{P}W$ with nonzero Hessian, if $Y \neq \text{Sec}(\text{Sing}(Y))$ and $\Xi_Y^a \neq 0$ for some $a \neq \frac{1}{4}$, then there exists a nonzero $A \in \Xi_Y^a$ such that:*

- (i) *the one-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{C} \cdot A \subset \Xi_Y^a$ is invariant under the connected Lie group $\text{Aut}^\circ(\widehat{Y}) \subset \text{GL}(W)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}(\widehat{Y}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(W) = \text{End}(W)$;*
- (ii) *for a general point $w \in \widehat{Y}$, the element $h^A(f_{ww})$ is contained in $\Gamma_w \setminus B_w$, where*

$$\Gamma_w = \{v \in W \mid f_{vw} \in \mathbb{C} \cdot f_{ww} \subset W^*\}$$

is the Gauss fiber of $\widehat{Y}$ through w (by [11, Proposition 4.2]) and $B_w = \Gamma_w \cap \text{Ker}(\chi^A)$ (from [11, Proposition 5.3]).

Proof of Theorem 4.6 when $a = 0$. We may assume the setting of Lemma 4.8 and derive a contradiction. Let $A \in \Xi_Y^a$ with $a = 0$ be as in Lemma 4.8. Then

$$(4.1) \quad A_{uv} = h^A(f_{uv}) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in W.$$

Lemma 4.8(ii) implies

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Im}(h^A) \not\subset \text{Ker}(\chi^A).$$

Since $f_u: W \rightarrow W^*$ is an isomorphism for a general $u \in W$ by Lemma 4.7, (4.1) implies

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Im}(A_u) = \text{Im}(h^A) \quad \text{for all general } u \in W.$$

Lemma 4.3 with $\varphi = A_w$ gives

$$3f_{uu}(A_u(w)) = 3f(A_{uw}, u, u) = 3f(A_w(u), u, u) = \chi^A(w) f(u, u, u)$$

for any $u, w \in W$. This shows $A_u(\text{Ker}(\chi^A)) \subset \text{Ker}(f_{uu})$. Moreover, if $u \notin \widehat{Y}$, then $\text{Ker}(\chi^A) = A_u^{-1}(\text{Ker}(f_{uu}))$. Together with (4.3), we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad A_u(\text{Ker}(\chi^A)) = \text{Im}(A_u) \cap \text{Ker}(f_{uu}) = \text{Im}(h^A) \cap \text{Ker}(f_{uu})$$

for all general $u \in W$. Note that

$$A_u(\text{Ker}(\chi^A)) \subset \text{Ker}(\chi^A)$$

by the condition (i) of Lemma 4.8 and $A_u \in \mathfrak{aut}(\widehat{Y})$. Thus

$$(4.5) \quad A_u(\text{Ker}(\chi^A)) \subset \text{Im}(h^A) \cap \text{Ker}(\chi^A).$$

By (4.2), the right-hand side of (4.5) is a hyperplane in $\text{Im}(h^A)$ containing (4.4). Consequently,

$$\text{Im}(h^A) \cap \text{Ker}(\chi^A) = \text{Im}(h^A) \cap \text{Ker}(f_{uu})$$

for all general $u \in W$. It follows that $\text{Im}(h^A) \cap \text{Ker}(\chi^A)$ is contained in the intersection of $\text{Ker}(f_{uu})$ for all general $u \in W$, which is zero by Lemma 4.7. Consequently, the dimension of $\text{Im}(h^A)$ is at most one. Then Lemma 4.8(ii) says that $\hat{Y}$ has only one Gauss fiber, a contradiction. $\square$

5. Jets of contact vector fields tangent to Legendrian varieties

In this section, we will study contact vector fields on $\mathbb{P}V$, namely, vector fields which generate 1-parameter families of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}V$ preserving the contact structure $D \subset T\mathbb{P}V$. We recall the following general result on contact vector fields on contact manifolds, from [16, Theorem 7.1 in Chapter I].

THEOREM 5.1. — *Let M be a complex manifold with a contact structure $D \subset TM$ and the contact line bundle $L = TM/D$. Denote by $\mathfrak{aut}(M, D)$ the Lie algebra of contact vector fields on M . Then the quotient homomorphism $\mathfrak{aut}(M, D) \subset H^0(M, TM) \rightarrow H^0(M, L)$, induces a linear isomorphism $\phi: \mathfrak{aut}(M, D) \cong H^0(M, L)$.*

PROPOSITION 5.2. — *Let (V, σ) be a symplectic vector space with $\dim V = 2n + 2$ and let $D \subset T\mathbb{P}V$ be the contact structure determined by σ with the line bundle $L = T\mathbb{P}V/D \cong \mathcal{O}(2)$ from Definition 2.2. The isomorphism ϕ in Theorem 5.1 between the space of contact vector fields on $\mathbb{P}V$ and $\text{Sym}^2 V^* = H^0(\mathbb{P}V, L)$ can be explicitly given in linear coordinates as follows. Choose an affine cell $O \subset \mathbb{P}V$ equipped with an inhomogeneous coordinate system $(x^1, \dots, x^n, x^{n+1}, \dots, x^{2n}, x^{2n+1})$ such that a contact form is given by*

$$\theta = \sum_{k=1}^n (x^{n+k} dx^k - x^k dx^{n+k}) - dx^{2n+1}$$

as in Lemma 2.3. An element $Q \in \text{Sym}^2 V^*$ can be written as a polynomial $q(x^1, \dots, x^{2n+1})$ of degree at most 2. Then the corresponding contact vector field $\vec{Q}$ under the isomorphism ϕ of Theorem 5.1 can be written on O as

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{Q}|_O &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{2n+1}} x^k \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(-\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{2n+1}} x^{n+k} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+k}} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^k} x^k + \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{n+k}} x^{n+k} \right) - q \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. — It is straightforward to check (see also [10, Theorem 2.2]) that the above expression $\vec{Q}|_O$ satisfies

$$\theta(\vec{Q}|_O) = q \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Lie}_{\vec{Q}|_O} \theta = -\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{2n+1}} \theta.$$

So it is a contact vector field. It remains to check that this expression $\vec{Q}|_O$ gives a holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{P}V$. Using Euler's formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} x^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} h(x^1, \dots, x^{2n+1}) = r h(x^1, \dots, x^{2n+1})$$

for any homogeneous polynomial h of degree r , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^k} x^k + \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{n+k}} x^{n+k} \right) - q = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{2n+1}} x^{2n+1} + b(x)$$

for some polynomial $b(x) = b(x^1, \dots, x^{2n+1})$ of degree at most 1. Thus the expression of $\vec{Q}|_O$ is reduced to

$$(5.1) \quad b_0(x) \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} x^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} b_k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$$

for some polynomials $b_k(x)$, $0 \leq k \leq 2n+1$, of degree at most 1. It is easy to check that a vector field of the form (5.1) on O can be extended to a holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{P}V$. In fact, choose another inhomogeneous coordinate system $(y^1, \dots, y^{2n+1})$ on an affine cell $O' \subset \mathbb{P}V$ satisfying

$$x^1 = \frac{1}{y^1}, \quad x^2 = \frac{y^2}{y^1}, \dots, \quad x^{2n+1} = \frac{y^{2n+1}}{y^1}.$$

From

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} = -y^1 \left(y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \dots + y^{2n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{2n+1}} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq 2n+1,$$

we see that (5.1) remains holomorphic in the coordinate system $(y^1, \dots, y^{2n+1})$. Hence, it is holomorphic on $O \cup O'$. Since the complement $\mathbb{P}V \setminus (O \cup O')$ has codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}V$, we can extend (5.1) to a holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{P}V$. $\square$

PROPOSITION 5.3. — *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ be a Legendrian variety and let $z \in Z$ be a nonsingular point satisfying the condition in Proposition 2.4(b). Write $W = T_z Z$ and let $f^z \in \text{Sym}^3 W^*$ be the nonzero cubic form in Proposition 2.4(c). Let $\vec{Q}$ be a contact vector field on $\mathbb{P}V$ that is tangent to Z and write $\vec{Q}|_Z$ for the vector field on Z given by the restriction. Assume that:*

- (a) the cubic hypersurface $Y^z \subset \mathbb{P}W$ defined by f^z is irreducible and reduced;
- (b) the vector field $\vec{Q}|_Z$ vanishes to the second order at z , namely, its linear part at z vanishes.

Then the 2-jet of $\vec{Q}|_Z$ at z determines an element $A \in \text{Hom}(\text{Sym}^2 W, W)$, which belongs to $\text{aut}(\widehat{Y}^z)^{(1)}$.

Proof. — The 1-parameter family of automorphisms of Z generated by $\vec{Q}$ preserve the closure of the subset

$$\bigcup_{\text{general } z \in Z} Y^z \subset \mathbb{P}TZ.$$

Thus the 2-jet of $\vec{Q}|_Z$ belongs to $\text{aut}(\widehat{Y}^z)^{(1)}$ by [13, Proposition 1.2.1] (see also [7, Proposition 5.9]). $\square$

The main result of this section is the following.

THEOREM 5.4. — Assume the setting of Proposition 5.3.

- (i) The 2-jet $A \in \text{aut}(\widehat{Y})^{(1)}$ of $\vec{Q}|_Z$ at z belongs to $\Xi_Y^{\frac{1}{2}}$, namely,

$$A_{uv} = \frac{1}{2}\chi^A(u)v + \frac{1}{2}\chi^A(v)u + h(f_{uv}^z) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in W$$

for some $h \in \text{Hom}(W^*, W)$.

- (ii) Assume furthermore that f^z has nonzero Hessian. If $A = 0$, namely, if the vector field $\vec{Q}|_Z$ vanishes to the third order at z , then $\vec{Q} = 0$ on $\mathbb{P}V$.

Proof. — Let us use the coordinates from Lemma 2.3. Let $q(x^1, \dots, x^{2n+1})$ be the polynomial of degree at most 2 in Proposition 5.2, corresponding to the vector field $\vec{Q}$. From the expression of $\vec{Q}|_O$ given in Proposition 5.2, the vanishing of $\vec{Q}$ at z implies

$$(5.2) \quad q(0) = \frac{\partial q(0)}{\partial x^k} = \frac{\partial q(0)}{\partial x^{n+k}} = 0$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Let us write

$$\vec{Q}|_{U \cap Z} = \sum_{k=1}^n B^k(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$$

for some holomorphic functions $B^k(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ defined on $U \cap Z$. Then

$$B^k = \vec{Q}|_{U \cap Z}(y^k) = \vec{Q}(x^k)|_{U \cap Z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{2n+1}} x^k \right) \Big|_{U \cap Z}.$$

Applying chain rule and (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \frac{\partial B^k}{\partial y^m} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{2n+1}} x^k \right) \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^m} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+j}} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{2n+1}} x^k \right) \frac{\partial x^{n+j}}{\partial y^m} \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2n+1}} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{2n+1}} x^k \right) \frac{\partial x^{2n+1}}{\partial y^m} \\
&= \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^m \partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^m \partial x^{2n+1}} x^k - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{2n+1}} \delta_{km} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^{n+j} \partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^{n+j} \partial x^{2n+1}} x^k \right) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^m \partial y^j} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^{2n+1} \partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial (x^{n+1})^2} x^k \right) \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

By our assumption that the vector field $\tilde{Q}|_Z$ vanishes to the second order at z ,

$$\frac{\partial B^k(0)}{\partial y^m} = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k, m \leq n.$$

By (2.2), this implies

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{\partial^2 q(0)}{\partial x^m \partial x^{n+k}} = \frac{\partial q(0)}{\partial x^{2n+1}} \delta_{km} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k, m \leq n.$$

Taking derivative one more time, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \frac{\partial^2 B^k}{\partial y^\ell \partial y^m} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell} \left(\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^m \partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^m \partial x^{2n+1}} x^k - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{2n+1}} \delta_{km} \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell} \left(\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^{n+j} \partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^{n+j} \partial x^{2n+1}} x^k \right) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^m \partial y^j} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^{n+j} \partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^{n+j} \partial x^{2n+1}} x^k \right) \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial y^\ell \partial y^m \partial y^j} \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell} \left(\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^{2n+1} \partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial (x^{n+1})^2} x^k \right) \cdot \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^m} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x^{2n+1} \partial x^{n+k}} - \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial (x^{n+1})^2} x^k \right) \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial y^\ell \partial y^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

When we evaluate this at the point $z = (y^1 = \dots = y^n = 0)$, the second, the fourth and the fifth lines of the right-hand side vanish by (2.2) and (2.4). Since q is a polynomial of degree at most 2 in $x^1, \dots, x^{2n+1}$, its third-order

derivatives in $x^1, \dots, x^{2n+1}$ must vanish identically. Thus we can write, using (2.3),

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{\partial^2 B^k(0)}{\partial y^\ell \partial y^m} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 q(0)}{\partial x^{2n+1} \partial x^m} \delta_{k\ell} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 q(0)}{\partial x^{2n+1} \partial x^\ell} \delta_{km} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 q(0)}{\partial x^{n+j} \partial x^{n+k}} \frac{\partial^3 E(0)}{\partial y^j \partial y^\ell \partial y^m}.$$

Define $\nu \in W^*$ by

$$(5.5) \quad \nu\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right) := -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 q(0)}{\partial x^{2n+1} \partial x^i}$$

and $h \in \text{Hom}(W^*, W)$ by

$$(5.6) \quad h(dy^i) := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 q(0)}{\partial x^{n+i} \partial x^{n+k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}.$$

Then by Proposition 2.4(c) and (5.4), the 2-jet $A \in \text{Hom}(\text{Sym}^2 W, W)$ of $\vec{Q}|_Z$ at z determined by

$$A_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^m}} := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 B^k(0)}{\partial y^\ell \partial y^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}$$

satisfies

$$(5.7) \quad A_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^m}} = \nu\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^m} + \nu(v) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell} + h(f_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^m}}^z)$$

for all $1 \leq \ell, m \leq n$. To prove (i), it remains to show that

$$(5.8) \quad \nu = \frac{1}{2} \chi^A.$$

By (5.2) and (5.3), the polynomial q must be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} q(x^1, \dots, x^{2n+1}) &= ax^{2n+1} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij} x^i x^j + \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ij} x^{n+i} x^{n+j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x^i x^{2n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i x^{n+i} x^{2n+1} \\ &\quad + a \sum_{i=1}^n x^i x^{n+i} + g(x^{n+1})^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some complex numbers a , $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$, $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$, d_i , e_i and g . Then (5.5) and (5.6) become

$$(5.9) \quad \nu\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}d_i \quad \text{and} \quad h(dy^i) = -\sum_{k=1}^n c_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}.$$

Let us write $A_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^m}} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{\ell m}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$ and $f_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}}^z = f_{ijk}$. Then (5.7) and (5.9) give

$$A_{\ell m}^k = -\frac{1}{2}d_m \delta_\ell^k - \frac{1}{2}d_\ell \delta_m^k - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{jk} f_{\ell m j}.$$

Consequently,

$$A_{ii}^k = -d_i \delta_i^k - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{jk} f_{jii}$$

for all $1 \leq i, k \leq n$, which gives

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ii}^k f_{kii} = -d_i f_{iii} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{jk} f_{kii} f_{jii}.$$

Lemma 4.3 and $A \in \text{aut}(\hat{Y})^{(1)}$ imply

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n (A_{mi}^\ell f_{\ell jk} + A_{mj}^\ell f_{i\ell k} + A_{mk}^\ell f_{ij\ell}) = \chi^A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^m} \right) f_{ijk}.$$

Setting $i = j = k = m$, we obtain

$$3 \sum_{\ell=1}^n A_{ii}^\ell f_{\ell ii} = \chi^A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right) f_{iii}.$$

By (5.10), this equation becomes

$$(5.11) \quad \chi^A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right) f_{iii} = -3d_i f_{iii} - 3 \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{jk} f_{kii} f_{jii}$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}(y)$ be the holomorphic function $q|_{U \cap Z}$. By putting the equations of Z in Lemma 2.3 into $q(x^1, \dots, x^{2n+1})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(y^1, \dots, y^n) &:= aE + \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij} y^i y^j + \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ij} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i y^i E + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i} E + a \sum_{i=1}^n y^i \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i} + gE^2. \end{aligned}$$

From the relation of Q and $\vec{Q}$ in Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.2, the inclusion $TZ \subset D|_Z$ implies that Z is contained in the zero set of q . Thus the holomorphic function $\mathcal{Q}(y)$ must be identically zero. From (2.2), (2.4) and $\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{Q}(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} = 0$, we obtain $b_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Using (2.2), (2.4) and

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^k \partial y^\ell \partial y^m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y^i \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i} \right) = 3 \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial y^k \partial y^\ell \partial y^m} + \sum_{i=1}^n y^i \frac{\partial^4 F}{\partial y^i \partial y^k \partial y^\ell \partial y^m},$$

we have

$$0 = \frac{\partial^3 \mathcal{Q}(0)}{\partial y^k \partial y^\ell \partial y^m} = a \frac{\partial^3 E(0)}{\partial y^k \partial y^\ell \partial y^m} + 3a \frac{\partial^3 F(0)}{\partial y^k \partial y^\ell \partial y^m}$$

for all $1 \leq k, \ell, m \leq n$. Combining this with (2.3) and $f^z \neq 0$, we obtain $a = 0$. So we are left with

$$(5.12) \quad \mathcal{Q}(y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ij} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^j} + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i y^i E + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i} E + gE^2.$$

By (2.2) and (2.4), if we take the fourth derivative with respect to y^k of the left-hand side of (5.12) and evaluate it at $y = 0$, we are left with

$$\frac{\partial^4 \mathcal{Q}(0)}{\partial (y^k)^4} = 6 \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ij} \frac{\partial^3 E(0)}{\partial y^i \partial y^k \partial y^k} \frac{\partial^3 E(0)}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^k} + 4d_k \frac{\partial^3 E(0)}{\partial y^k \partial y^k \partial y^k} = 0.$$

In other words,

$$3 \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{jk} f_{kii} f_{jii} + 2d_i f_{iii} = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Combining it with (5.11), we have $\chi^A(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}) = -d_i = 2\nu(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i})$. This verifies (5.8), proving (i).

For (ii), recall that [11, Proposition 3.7] says that the association $A \mapsto \chi^A$ is injective if f has nonzero Hessian. Thus $A = 0$ implies $\chi^A = 0 = h$. By (5.9), we have $d_i = 0$ and $c_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Thus (5.12) yields

$$\mathcal{Q}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^k} E + gE^2 = 0.$$

Since $E(y)$ is not identically zero, we must have $\sum_{k=1}^n e_k \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^k} + gE = 0$. This means that Z satisfies the linear equation $\sum_{k=1}^n e_k x^{n+k} + gx^{2n+1} = 0$. Since Z is linearly nondegenerate by Proposition 2.4(e), we must have $e_k = g = 0$. Thus $q = 0$, proving (ii). $\square$

The following is [3, Theorem 2] (see also [12, Proposition 3] for a simple proof).

PROPOSITION 5.5. — *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ be a nonsingular and nonlinear Legendrian variety. Then the image of $\mathbf{aut}(\widehat{Z}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ in $H^0(\mathbb{P}V, T\mathbb{P}V)$ is contained in $\mathbf{aut}(\mathbb{P}V, D) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2 V^*$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. — Assume that $\dim \mathrm{Ker}(\iota_z) \neq 0$. By Proposition 5.5, we have a contact vector field $\tilde{Q}$ tangent to Z vanishing to the second order at z . If the cubic hypersurface $Y^z \subset \mathbb{P}T_z(Z)$ defined by the third fundamental form is reducible, we know that $Z \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ by Corollary 3.4. Thus we may assume that Y^z is irreducible. Since f^z has nonzero Hessian by Proposition 2.7, Theorem 5.4 gives $\Xi_{Y^z}^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq 0$. As $\mathrm{Sing}(Y^z)$ is nonsingular by Proposition 2.7, we see that Y^z is the secant variety of a Severi variety by Theorem 4.5. It follows that Z is a subadjoint variety by Theorem 3.2.

Conversely, if Z is a subadjoint variety, the embedding $Z \subset \mathbb{P}V$ is equivariant, namely, all vector fields on Z come from $\mathbf{aut}(\widehat{Z})$. As Z is a Hermitian symmetric space, it is well-known (e.g. [14, Section 3]) that for any given $z \in Z$, there exists a nonzero vector field on Z vanishing to the second order at z . It follows that $\dim \mathrm{Ker}(\iota_z) \neq 0$. $\square$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] R. BRYANT, “Conformal and minimal immersions of compact surfaces into the 4-sphere”, *J. Differ. Geom.* **17** (1982), p. 455-473.
- [2] J. BUCZYŃSKI, “Legendrian subvarieties of projective space”, *Geom. Dedicata* **118** (2006), p. 87-103.
- [3] ———, “Toric Legendrian subvarieties”, *Transform. Groups* **12** (2007), p. 631-646.
- [4] ———, “Hyperplane sections of Legendrian subvarieties”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **15** (2008), p. 623-629.
- [5] ———, “Some quasihomogeneous Legendrian varieties”, *Adv. Geom.* **9** (2009), p. 257-277.
- [6] G. FISCHER & J. PIONTKOWSKI, *Ruled varieties*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Vieweg & Sohn, 2001.
- [7] B. FU & J.-M. HWANG, “Classification of non-degenerate projective varieties with non-zero prolongation and applications to target rigidity”, *Invent. Math.* **189** (2012), p. 457-513.
- [8] ———, “Special birational transformations of type (2,1)”, *J. Algebr. Geom.* **27** (2018), p. 55-89.
- [9] J.-M. HWANG, “Geometry of minimal rational curves on Fano manifolds”, in *School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry. Trieste, 2000* (J. P. Demailly, L. Goettsche & R. Lazarsfeld, eds.), ICTP Lecture Notes, Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, 2001, p. 335–393.
- [10] ———, “Rigidity properties of holomorphic Legendrian singularities”, *Épjournal de Géom. Algèbr.*, *EPIGA* **3** (2019), article no. 18 (18 pages).
- [11] ———, “Prolongations of infinitesimal automorphisms of cubic hypersurfaces with nonzero Hessian”, *Acta Math. Vietnam.* **45** (2020), p. 27-47.

- [12] ———, “Legendrian cone structures and contact prolongations”, in *Geometry, Lie theory and applications — The Abel Symposium 2019* (S. r. Hervik, B. Kruglikov, I. Markina & D. The, eds.), Abel Symposia, vol. 16, Springer, 2022, p. 131-145.
- [13] J.-M. HWANG & N. MOK, “Prolongation of infinitesimal linear automorphisms of projective varieties and rigidity of rational homogeneous spaces of Picard number 1 under Kaehler deformation”, *Invent. Math.* **160** (2005), p. 591-645.
- [14] J.-M. HWANG & K. YAMAGUCHI, “Characterization of Hermitian symmetric spaces by fundamental forms”, *Duke Math. J.* **120** (2003), p. 621-634.
- [15] S. KEBEKUS, “Lines on complex contact manifolds II”, *Compos. Math.* **141** (2005), p. 227-252.
- [16] S. KOBAYASHI, *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 70, Springer, 1972.
- [17] J. M. LANDSBERG & L. MANIVEL, “Legendrian varieties”, *Asian J. Math.* **11** (2007), p. 341-359.
- [18] A. LANTERI & M. PALLESCHI, “Projective manifolds containing many rational curves”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **36** (1987), p. 857-865.
- [19] Q. LI, “Deformation of the product of complex Fano manifolds”, *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique* **356** (2018), p. 538-541.
- [20] N. MOK, “Recognizing certain rational homogeneous manifolds of Picard number 1 from their varieties of minimal rational tangents”, in *Third International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians. Part 1, 2* (K.-S. Lau, Z.-P. Xin & S.-T. Yau, eds.), AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 42, American Mathematical Society, 2008, p. 41-61.

Manuscrit reçu le 28 mars 2023,
révisé le 19 mars 2024,
accepté le 12 avril 2024.

Jun-Muk HWANG
Center for Complex Geometry,
Institute for Basic Science (IBS),
Daejeon 34126 (Republic of Korea)
jmhwang@ibs.re.kr