



# ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Will SAWIN & Mark SHUSTERMAN

## **Hecke's Theorem on the Different for 3-Manifolds**

Article à paraître, mis en ligne le 26 janvier 2026, 10 p.

Article mis à disposition par ses auteurs selon les termes de la licence  
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION-NO DERIVS (CC-BY-ND) 3.0



<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/>



Les *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* sont membres du  
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte  
[www.centre-mersenne.org](http://www.centre-mersenne.org) e-ISSN : 1777-5310

# HECKE'S THEOREM ON THE DIFFERENT FOR 3-MANIFOLDS

by Will SAWIN & Mark SHUSTERMAN (\*)

---

ABSTRACT. — Hecke has shown that the different of an extension of number fields is a square in the ideal class group. We prove an analog for branched covers of closed 3-manifolds saying that the branch divisor is a square in the first homology group.

RÉSUMÉ. — Hecke a montré que la différente d'une extension de corps de nombres est un carré dans le groupe des classes d'idéaux. Nous prouvons un analogue pour les revêtements ramifiés de 3-variétés fermées en disant que le diviseur de ramification est un carré dans le premier groupe d'homologie.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $E/F$  be an extension of number fields, let  $\mathcal{O}_E$  be the ring of integers of  $E$ , and let  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)$  be the class group of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . One associates to the extension  $E/F$  the different  $\mathcal{D}_{E/F}$ , an ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , see [5, Chapter 3]. Hecke has shown that as an element of  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ , the different  $\mathcal{D}_{E/F}$  is a square, namely there exists an ideal class  $J \in \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)$  such that  $J^2 = \mathcal{D}_{E/F}$  in  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ . Hecke's proof uses a reciprocity formula for Gauss sums, see [1, 2] for a proof and a discussion of related results.

An analog of Hecke's theorem for finite separable extensions of fields of fractions of Dedekind domains fails in general, see [3]. However, there exists an analog in case  $E/F$  is a finite separable extension of function fields of curves over finite fields of odd characteristic, see [1]. Another geometric analog of Hecke's theorem, based on similarities between the inverse of the different and the canonical bundle on a curve, is the theory of theta characteristics.

---

*Keywords:* arithmetic topology, ramification divisor, hyperoctahedral group.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 57M27, 57M12, 11R29, 20J06, 55N10.

(\*) W. S. served as a Clay Research Fellow while working on this paper.

In this work we consider an analog of Hecke's theorem for 3-manifolds, as suggested by arithmetic topology. We refer to [4] for the analogy between rings of integers and primes on the one hand, and 3-manifolds and knots on the other hand. The analog of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  is a closed (not necessarily oriented) 3-manifold  $M$ . The map  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  is replaced by a cover  $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  branched over a link  $L \subset M$ , so  $\widetilde{M}$  is a closed 3-manifold and  $\pi^{-1}(M \setminus L)$  is a covering space of  $M \setminus L$ . The inverse image of  $L$  under  $\pi$  is a link  $\widetilde{L}$  in  $\widetilde{M}$ .

For a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$  we denote by  $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$  its ramification index, namely the largest positive integer  $e$  for which  $\mathfrak{p}^e$  contains  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_F$ . We view  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  as branched over the primes of  $\mathcal{O}_E$  that ramify, so  $\widetilde{L}$  is our analog for  $\mathcal{R}_{E/F} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E) : e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 1\}$ . The analogy is perhaps closest in case  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  is tamely ramified, namely  $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is coprime to  $|\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{p}|$  for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ . In this case the different of  $E/F$  is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{E/F} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{R}_{E/F}} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1}.$$

The prime ideals in  $\mathcal{R}_{E/F}$  are analogous to the components of the link  $\widetilde{L}$ . For each component  $\widetilde{K}$  of this link, let the ramification index  $e_{\widetilde{K}}$  be the number of times the image under  $\pi$  of a small loop around  $\widetilde{K}$  wraps around  $\pi(\widetilde{K})$ . An analog of  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)$  is  $H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z})$ , and a homology class is a square if and only if its image in

$$H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

vanishes. Our analogy of  $\mathcal{D}_{E/F}$ , or rather of its class in  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)/\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E)^2$ , is the branch divisor

$$\mathcal{D}_{\pi} = \sum_{\widetilde{K} \text{ a component of } \widetilde{L}} (e_{\widetilde{K}} - 1)[\widetilde{K}] \in H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

of  $\pi$ . Since we are working with  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients, it is not necessary to fix an orientation of  $\widetilde{K}$ , nor is the sign of  $e_{\widetilde{K}}$  significant.

**THEOREM 1.1.** — *Let  $\widetilde{M}$  and  $M$  be closed 3-manifolds, and let  $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be a cover branched over a link in  $M$ . Then the branch divisor  $\mathcal{D}_{\pi}$  represents the trivial class in  $H_1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .*

## 2. A central extension of the hyperoctahedral group

Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group. Recall the hyperoctahedral group

$$(2.1) \quad B_n = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n$$

where  $S_n$  acts on  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  by permuting the coordinates.

Let  $H_n$  be the group consisting of pairs  $(a, b) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with group law

$$(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = \left( a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{1,i} a_{2,j} \right).$$

A straightforward computation shows that this law is associative, and that the inverse of  $(a, b)$  is

$$\left( a, b + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \right).$$

Projection onto the first factor exhibits  $H_n$  as a central extension of  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  by  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we denote by  $e_i$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  unit vector in  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ , set  $x_i = (e_i, 0) \in H_n$ , and  $\epsilon = (0, 1) \in H_n$ . We denote the unit element  $(0, 0) \in H_n$  by 1. We can check that

$$(2.2) \quad x_i^2 = \epsilon^2 = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

that

$$(2.3) \quad x_i x_j = \epsilon x_j x_i, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad i \neq j,$$

and that

$$(2.4) \quad \epsilon x_i = x_i \epsilon, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Furthermore, the relations in (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4) among the generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n, \epsilon$  define the group  $H_n$  since using these relations every word in  $x_1, \dots, x_n, \epsilon$  can be brought to the form  $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k} \epsilon^\delta$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ .

We therefore have an action of  $S_n$  on  $H_n$  by automorphisms via

$$\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \sigma(\epsilon) = \epsilon, \quad \sigma \in S_n, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let  $G_n = H_n \rtimes S_n$  be the semidirect product defined using this action. Since  $\epsilon \in H_n$  is central and  $S_n$ -invariant, it lies in the center of  $G_n$ , so

$$G_n / \langle \epsilon \rangle = G_n / \{1, \epsilon\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n = B_n$$

where the last equality is by definition of  $B_n$  in Eq. (2.1). We see that  $G_n$  is a central extension of  $B_n$  by  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $\beta_n$  the class in  $H^2(B_n, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  corresponding to this extension.

Let  $\sigma, \tau \in B_n$  be two elements that commute, let  $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}$  be lifts to  $G_n$ , and define

$$\phi(\sigma, \tau) = [\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}] = \tilde{\sigma}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\tilde{\tau}^{-1} \in \langle \epsilon \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since  $G_n$  is a central extension of  $B_n$ , the above is indeed independent of the choice of lifts. As every element in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is its own inverse, we see that

$$(2.5) \quad \phi(\sigma, \tau) = [\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}] = [\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\sigma}]^{-1} = [\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\sigma}] = \phi(\tau, \sigma).$$

We denote by

$$C_{B_n}(\sigma) = \{\tau \in B_n : \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma\}$$

the centralizer of  $\sigma$  in  $B_n$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** — *For every  $\sigma \in B_n$  the map that sends  $\tau \in C_{B_n}(\sigma)$  to  $\phi(\sigma, \tau)$  is a homomorphism.*

*Proof.* — For every  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in C_{B_n}(\sigma)$  we have

$$\phi(\sigma, \tau_1\tau_2) = \tilde{\sigma}\tilde{\tau}_1\tilde{\tau}_2\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\tilde{\tau}_2^{-1}\tilde{\tau}_1^{-1}, \quad \phi(\sigma, \tau_1)\phi(\sigma, \tau_2) = \tilde{\sigma}\tilde{\tau}_1\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\tilde{\tau}_1^{-1}[\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}_2]$$

so after cancelling  $\tilde{\sigma}\tilde{\tau}_1$ , it remains to check that

$$\tilde{\tau}_2\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\tilde{\tau}_2^{-1}\tilde{\tau}_1^{-1} = \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\tilde{\tau}_1^{-1}[\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}_2].$$

After multiplying by  $\tilde{\sigma}$  from the left, we just need to check that  $[\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}_2]$  commutes with  $\tilde{\tau}_1$ . This is indeed the case because  $[\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}_2]$  lies in the central subgroup  $\{1, \epsilon\}$  of  $G_n$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.2.** — *For every  $\tau \in B_n$  the map that sends  $\sigma \in C_{B_n}(\tau)$  to  $\phi(\sigma, \tau)$  is a homomorphism.*

*Proof.* — For  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in C_{B_n}(\tau)$  we get from Eq. (2.5) and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\phi(\sigma_1\sigma_2, \tau) = \phi(\tau, \sigma_1\sigma_2) = \phi(\tau, \sigma_1)\phi(\tau, \sigma_2) = \phi(\sigma_1, \tau)\phi(\sigma_2, \tau)$$

as required.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** — *For a  $k$ -cycle  $\sigma = (i_1 \dots i_k) \in S_n \leq B_n$ , and*

$$\tau = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_k} \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \leq B_n$$

*we have  $\phi(\sigma, \tau) = \epsilon^{k-1}$ . For every  $\alpha \in S_n \leq B_n$  with  $\alpha(i_1) = i_1, \dots, \alpha(i_k) = i_k$  we have  $\phi(\alpha, \tau) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* — We take  $\tilde{\sigma} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ ,  $\tilde{\tau} = x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}$  and get that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\sigma, \tau) &= \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\tau}^{-1} \\ &= \sigma(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \cdot (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})^{-1} \\ &= x_{\sigma(i_1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(i_k)} \cdot (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})^{-1} \\ &= x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k} x_{i_1} \cdot (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})^{-1} \\ &= \epsilon^{k-1} x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} \cdot (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})^{-1} \\ &= \epsilon^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\alpha, \tau) &= \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\tau}^{-1} \\ &= \alpha(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \cdot (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})^{-1} \\ &= x_{\alpha(i_1)}, \dots, x_{\alpha(i_k)} \cdot (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})^{-1} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

COROLLARY 2.4. — Let  $\sigma \in S_n \leq B_n$  whose disjoint cycles are

$$C_1 = (i_{1,1}, \dots, i_{1,d_1}), \dots, C_j = (i_{j,1}, \dots, i_{j,d_j}), \quad \sum_{r=1}^j d_r = n,$$

and let  $\tau \in C_{B_n}(\sigma)$ . Then there exists a (unique) choice of  $\tau' \in C_{S_n}(\sigma)$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad \tau = \tau' v, \quad v = \sum_{r=1}^j \lambda_r (e_{i_{r,1}} + \dots + e_{i_{r,d_r}})$$

and

$$\phi(\sigma, \tau) = \epsilon^{\sum_{r=1}^j \lambda_r (d_r - 1)}.$$

*Proof.* — The ability to express  $\tau$  as in Eq. (2.6) is immediate from the definition of the group law in  $B_n$ . From Proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.2, and Proposition 2.3 we therefore get that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\sigma, \tau) &= \phi\left(\sigma, \tau' \cdot \sum_{r=1}^j \lambda_r (e_{i_{r,1}} + \dots + e_{i_{r,d_r}})\right) \\ &= \phi(\sigma, \tau') \cdot \prod_{r=1}^j \phi(\sigma, e_{i_{r,1}} + \dots + e_{i_{r,d_r}})^{\lambda_r} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [\sigma, \tau'] \cdot \prod_{r=1}^j \prod_{s=1}^j \phi(C_s, e_{i_{r,1}} + \cdots + e_{i_{r,d_r}})^{\lambda_r} \\
&= 1 \cdot \prod_{r=1}^j \epsilon^{\lambda_r(d_r-1)} \\
&= \epsilon^{\sum_{r=1}^j \lambda_r(d_r-1)}
\end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

We keep the notation of Corollary 2.4 and denote by  $O_1, \dots, O_z$  the orbits of the action by conjugation of the subgroup of  $S_n$  generated by  $\tau'$  on  $\{C_1, \dots, C_j\}$ . For  $1 \leq y \leq z$  we let  $I_y \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  be the set of all indices that appear in one of the cycles in  $O_y$ , and define the permutation  $\tau'_y \in S_n$  by

$$\tau'_y(i) = \begin{cases} \tau'(i) & i \in I_y \\ i & i \notin I_y. \end{cases}$$

We have a disjoint union

$$\bigcup_{y=1}^z I_y = \{1, \dots, n\}$$

hence  $\tau' = \tau'_1 \cdots \tau'_z$  and the permutations  $\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_z$  commute. We put

$$\tau_y = \tau'_y v_y, \quad v_y = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j \\ C_r \in O_y}} \lambda_r (e_{i_{r,1}} + \cdots + e_{i_{r,d_r}})$$

and get that

$$(2.7) \quad \tau = \tau'_1 v_1, \dots, \tau'_z v_z$$

where the factors  $\tau'_1 v_1, \dots, \tau'_z v_z$  commute.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

It suffices to show, for each  $\alpha \in H^1(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , that the pairing of the branch divisor  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  with  $\alpha$  vanishes, namely

$$\sum_{\widetilde{K} \text{ a component of } \widetilde{L}} (e_{\widetilde{K}} - 1) \langle [\widetilde{K}], \alpha \rangle = 0$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{K \text{ a component of } L} \sum_{\widetilde{K} \text{ a component of } \pi^{-1}(K)} (e_{\widetilde{K}} - 1) \langle [\widetilde{K}], \alpha \rangle = 0.$$

Associated to  $\alpha$  is a degree two covering space  $N \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ . Let  $n$  be the degree of  $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  which is locally constant away from  $L$ , thus constant. Away from  $L$ , we get that  $N$  is a degree 2 covering space of a degree  $n$  covering space, hence has monodromy group contained in the wreath product

$$S_2 \wr S_n = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr S_n = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n = B_n.$$

We thus have a map  $H^2(B_n, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M \setminus L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , and we denote by  $\gamma \in H^2(M \setminus L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  the image of  $\beta_n$ . Here  $\beta_n$  is the class in  $H^2(B_n, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  corresponding to the central extension

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_n \longrightarrow B_n \longrightarrow \{1\}$$

of  $B_n$  by  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Consider a tubular neighborhood  $Q$  of  $L$  and let  $S = \partial Q$  be its boundary, a union of tori. Each such torus  $T$  corresponds to a unique component  $\tilde{K}$  of  $L$  - the boundary of a tubular neighborhood of  $K$  is  $T$ . Since  $S$  bounds a 3-manifold in  $M \setminus L$ , i.e. the complement of the tubular neighborhood  $Q$ , our cohomology class  $\gamma$  integrates to 0 on  $S$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{T \text{ a component of } S} \int_T \gamma = 0.$$

It is therefore sufficient to prove that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_T \gamma = \sum_{\tilde{K} \text{ a component of } \pi^{-1}(K)} (e_{\tilde{K}} - 1) \langle [\tilde{K}], \alpha \rangle.$$

Since  $T$  is a torus, a covering of  $T$  with monodromy  $B_n$ , i.e. a homomorphism from  $\pi_1(T)$  to  $B_n$ , is given by a pair of elements  $m, \ell \in B_n$  that commute, where  $m$  represents a meridian and  $\ell$  represents a longitude. From the standard cell decomposition of the torus, we can see that

$$\int_T \gamma = \phi(m, \ell).$$

Since the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -covering  $N \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$  is unbranched over every component  $\tilde{K}$  of  $\pi^{-1}(K)$ , the monodromy of the meridian  $m$  does not swap the two components of the covering, and therefore  $m$  is (up to conjugation) contained in  $S_n \leq B_n$ .

We shall use here the notation of Corollary 2.4 and the paragraph following it for  $\sigma = m$  and  $\tau = \ell$ , in particular we write  $\ell = \ell'v$  as in (2.6). The components of  $\pi^{-1}(K)$  are naturally in bijection with the orbits of the action by conjugation of the subgroup of  $S_n$  generated by  $\ell'$  on the set of



disjoint cycles  $\{C_1, \dots, C_j\}$  of  $m$ . We denote by  $O_{\tilde{K}}$  the orbit corresponding to a component  $\tilde{K}$  of  $\pi^{-1}(K)$ . As in (2.7), we can write

$$\ell = \prod_{\tilde{K}} \ell_{\tilde{K}}, \quad \ell_{\tilde{K}} = \ell'_{\tilde{K}} v_{\tilde{K}}, \quad v_{\tilde{K}} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j \\ C_r \in O_{\tilde{K}}}} \lambda_r (e_{i_{r,1}} + \dots + e_{i_{r,d_r}}).$$

We denote the number of cycles in  $O_{\tilde{K}}$  by  $t(\tilde{K})$ , note that each such cycle is of length  $e_{\tilde{K}}$ , and set

$$d_{\tilde{K}} = \#\{1 \leq r \leq j : C_r \in O_{\tilde{K}}, \lambda_r = 1\}.$$

It follows from Corollary 2.4 that  $\phi(m, \ell_{\tilde{K}}) \equiv (e_{\tilde{K}} - 1)d_{\tilde{K}} \pmod{2}$ , so from Corollary 2.2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(m, \ell) &= \sum_{\tilde{K} \text{ a component of } \pi^{-1}(K)} \phi(m, \ell_{\tilde{K}}) \\ &\equiv \sum_{\tilde{K} \text{ a component of } \pi^{-1}(K)} (e_{\tilde{K}} - 1) d_{\tilde{K}} \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is therefore enough to show that  $d_{\tilde{K}} \equiv \langle [\tilde{K}], \alpha \rangle \pmod{2}$ .

Let  $C$  be a longitude curve in a tubular neighborhood of  $\tilde{K}$ . Then  $[C] = [\tilde{K}]$  as homology classes in  $H_1(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , so it suffices to show that  $d_{\tilde{K}} \equiv \langle [C], \alpha \rangle \pmod{2}$ . The projection of  $[C]$  to  $T$  is

$$a[m] + t(\tilde{K})[\ell]$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, the action of  $C$  on the covering space  $N \rightarrow M$  is given by  $m^a \ell^{t(\tilde{K})}$ . We have

$$m^a \ell^{t(\tilde{K})} = m^a (\ell' v)^{t(\tilde{K})} = m^a \ell'^{t(\tilde{K})} \cdot (v + \ell'(v) + \dots + \ell'^{t(\tilde{K})-1}(v)).$$

The pairing  $\langle [C], \alpha \rangle$  is nonzero if and only if the monodromy along  $C$  of the covering  $N \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  is nontrivial, which happens if and only if the action of  $m^a \ell'^{t(\tilde{K})}$  sends one branch of this covering to the other, and that occurs if and only if the  $k^{\text{th}}$  entry of  $v + \ell'(v) + \dots + \ell'^{t(\tilde{K})-1}(v)$  is nonzero for some (equivalently, every) index  $1 \leq k \leq n$  that belongs to one of the cycles in  $O_{\tilde{K}}$ . It is therefore sufficient to show that

$$d_{\tilde{K}} \equiv \left( v + \ell'(v) + \dots + \ell'^{t(\tilde{K})-1}(v) \right)_k \pmod{2}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( v + \ell'(v) + \dots + \ell'^{t(\tilde{K})-1}(v) \right)_k &= v_k + \ell'(v)_k + \dots + \ell'^{t(\tilde{K})-1}(v)_k \\ &= v_k + v_{\ell'^{-1}(k)} + \dots + v_{\ell'^{-t(\tilde{K})+1}(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, each of the  $t(\tilde{K})$  cycles in  $O_{\tilde{K}}$  contains exactly one of the  $t(\tilde{K})$  elements  $k, \ell'^{-1}(k), \dots, \ell'^{-t(\tilde{K})+1}(k)$ . Thus, from (2.6) we get that

$$v_k + v_{\ell'^{-1}(k)} + \dots + v_{\ell'^{-t(\tilde{K})+1}(k)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq j \\ C_r \in O_{\tilde{K}}}} \lambda_r \equiv d_{\tilde{K}} \pmod{2},$$

as desired.

#### 4. An alternative argument in the oriented case

We sketch here an alternative proof of Theorem 1.1 in case the manifolds  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  are orientable. Fix triangulations of  $M$  and of  $\tilde{M}$ . The skeleta of the barycentric subdivision represent the dual of the terms in the total Stiefel–Whitney class of  $M$  and of  $\tilde{M}$ . Since  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  are closed orientable 3-manifolds, they are parallelizable, so the aforementioned total Stiefel–Whitney classes are zero. Viewed as classes in  $H_1(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , the pullback under  $\pi$  of the 1-skeleton of our triangulation of  $M$ , and the 1-skeleton of our triangulation of  $\tilde{M}$  differ by  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  represents the trivial class in  $H_1(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  as required.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank an anonymous referee for the proof in the oriented case, and another referee for further helpful comments and suggestions.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. V. ARMITAGE, “On a Theorem of Hecke in Number Fields and Function Fields”, *Invent. Math.* **2** (1967), p. 238-246.
- [2] A. FRÖHLICH, “On parity problems”, in *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, 1978-1979*, CNRS Editions; Laboratoire de Théorie des Nombres, Talence, 1979, Exposé no. 21, 8 pages.
- [3] A. FRÖHLICH, J.-P. SERRE & J. T. J. TATE, “A different with an odd class”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **209** (1962), p. 6-7.
- [4] M. MORISHITA, *Knots and Primes. An introduction to arithmetic topology*, Universitext, Springer, 2012.
- [5] J.-P. SERRE, *Local Fields*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 67, Springer, 1979.

Manuscrit reçu le 20 juillet 2022,  
révisé le 13 décembre 2023,  
accepté le 5 février 2024.

Will SAWIN  
Princeton University,  
Fine Hall, 304 Washington Rd,  
Princeton NJ 08540 (USA)  
wsawin@math.princeton.edu  
Mark SHUSTERMAN  
Faculty of Mathematics  
And Computer Science,  
Weizmann Institute of Science,  
234 Herzl Street, Rehovot 76100 (Israel)  
mark.shusterman@weizmann.ac.il