

# ANNALES DE L'institut fourier

Tomas Fernandez Vidal, Daniel Galicer & Pablo Sevilla-Peris **Multipliers for Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series** Tome 75, n° 2 (2025), p. 541-577.

https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.3658

Article mis à disposition par ses auteurs selon les termes de la licence CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE [C] BY-ND http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/



Les Annales de l'Institut Fourier sont membres du Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte www.centre-mersenne.org e-ISSN : 1777-5310

# MULTIPLIERS FOR HARDY SPACES OF DIRICHLET SERIES

by Tomas FERNANDEZ VIDAL, Daniel GALICER & Pablo SEVILLA-PERIS (\*)

ABSTRACT. — We characterise the space of multipliers from the Hardy space of Dirichlet series  $\mathcal{H}_p$  into  $\mathcal{H}_q$  for every  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . For a fixed Dirichlet series, we also analyse some structural properties of its associated multiplication operator. In particular, we study the norm, the essential norm, and the spectrum for an operator of this kind. We exploit the existing natural identification of spaces of Dirichlet series with spaces of holomorphic functions in infinitely many variables and apply several methods from complex and harmonic analysis to obtain our results. As a byproduct we get analogous statements on such Hardy spaces of holomorphic functions.

RÉSUMÉ. — On charaterise l'espace de multiplicateurs de l'espace de Hardy de séries de Dirichlet  $\mathcal{H}_p$  en  $\mathcal{H}_q$  por tout  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Pour une série de Dirichlet fixée on analyse quelques proprietés estructurales de l'operateur de multiplication associé. Particularement on étude la norme, la norme essentielle et l'espectre d'un tell operateur. On utilise la identification naturell existant entre éspaces de séries de Dirichlet avec espaces de fonctions holomorphes en infinites variables, et on applique des méthodes de l'analyse complex et harmonique pur obtindre nous resultats. Comme consequence on trouve des resultats analogues pour telles espaces de fonctions holomorphes.

# 1. Introduction

A Dirichlet series is a formal expression of the type  $D = \sum a_n n^{-s}$  with  $(a_n)$  complex values and s a complex variable. These are one of the basic tools of analytic number theory (see e.g., [3, 27]) but, over the last two

 $K\!eywords:$  Multipliers, Spaces of Dirichlet series, Hardy spaces, Infinite dimensional analysis.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 30H10, 46G20, 30B50, 47A10.

<sup>(\*)</sup> First author supported by CONICET-PIP 11220200102336. Second author supported by PICT 2018-4250. Third author supported by grant PID2021-122126NB-C33 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by "ERDF A way of making Europe", and by GV Project AICO/2021/170.

decades, as a result of the work initiated in [17] and [19], they have been analyzed with techniques coming from harmonic and functional analysis (see e.g. [22] or [11] and the references therein). One of the key point in this analytic insight on Dirichlet series is the deep connection with power series in infinitely many variables. We will use this fruitful perspective to study multipliers for Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series. We begin by recalling some standard definitions of these spaces.

The natural regions of convergence of Dirichlet series are half-planes, and there they define holomorphic functions. To settle some notation, we consider the set  $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma} = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > \sigma\}$ , for  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ . With this, Queffélec [21] defined the space  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  as that consisting of Dirichlet series that define a bounded, holomorphic function on the half-plane  $\mathbb{C}_0$ . Endowed with the norm  $\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \coloneqq \sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_0} |\sum \frac{a_n}{n^s}| < \infty$  it becomes a Banach space, which together with the product

$$\left(\sum a_n n^{-s}\right) \cdot \left(\sum b_n b^{-s}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k \cdot j=n} a_k \cdot b_j\right) n^{-s}$$

results a Banach algebra.

The Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series  $\mathcal{H}_p$  were introduced by Hedenmalm, Lindqvist and Seip [17] for p = 2, and by Bayart [5] for the remaining cases in the range  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . A way to define these spaces is to consider first the following norm in the space of Dirichlet polynomials (i.e., all finite sums of the form  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n n^{-s}$ , with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ),

$$\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n n^{-s}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \coloneqq \lim_{R \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^{R} \left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n n^{-it}\right|^p \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and define  $\mathcal{H}_p$  as the completion of the Dirichlet polynomials under this norm. Each Dirichlet series in some  $\mathcal{H}_p$  (with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) converges on  $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ , and there it defines a holomorphic function.

The Hardy space  $\mathcal{H}_p$  with the function product is not an algebra for  $p < \infty$ . Namely, given two Dirichlet series  $D, E \in \mathcal{H}_p$ , it is not true, in general, that the product function  $D \cdot E$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Nevertheless, there are certain series D that verify that  $D \cdot E \in \mathcal{H}_p$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{H}_p$ . Such a Dirichlet series D is called a multiplier of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  and the mapping  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$ , given by  $M_D(E) = D \cdot E$ , is referred as its associated multiplication operator.

In [5] (see also [11, 17, 22]) it is proved that the multipliers of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  are precisely those Dirichlet series that belong to the Banach space  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ . Moreover,

for a multiplier D we have the following equality:

$$\|M_D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p} = \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty}.$$

Given  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , we propose to study the multipliers of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  to  $\mathcal{H}_q$ ; that is, we want to understand those Dirichlet series D which verify that  $D \cdot E \in \mathcal{H}_q$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{H}_p$ .

For this we use the relation that exists between the Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and the Hardy spaces of functions. The mentioned connection is given by the so-called Bohr lift  $\mathcal{L}$ , which identifies each Dirichlet series with a function (both in the polytorus and in the polydisc; see below for more details).

This identification allows us to relate the multipliers in spaces of Dirichlet series with those of function spaces. As consequence of our results, we obtain a complete characterization of  $\mathfrak{M}(p,q)$ , the space of multipliers of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  into  $\mathcal{H}_q$ . It turns out that this set coincides with the Hardy space  $\mathcal{H}_{pq/(p-q)}$ when  $1 \leq q and with the null space if <math>1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ . Precisely, for a multiplier  $D \in \mathfrak{M}(p,q)$  where  $1 \leq q we have the isometric$ correspondence

$$\|M_D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q} = \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_{pq/(p-q)}}.$$

Moreover, for certain values of p and q we study some structural properties of these multiplication operators. Inspired by some of the results obtained by Vukotić [28] and Demazeux [13] for spaces of holomorphic functions in one variable, we get the corresponding version in the Dirichlet space context. In particular, when considering endomorphisms (i.e., p = q), the essential norm and the operator norm of a given multiplication operator coincides if p > 1. In the remaining cases, that is p = q = 1 or  $1 \leq q , we compare the essential norm with the norm of the$ multiplier in different Hardy spaces.

We continue by studying the structure of the spectrum of the multiplication operators over  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Specifically, we consider the continuous spectrum, the residual spectrum and the approximate spectrum. For the latter, we use some necessary and sufficient conditions regarding the associated Bohr lifted function  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  (see definition below) for which the multiplication operator  $M_D: \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$  has closed range.

#### Acknowledgment

We would like to thank M.D. Contreras for drawing our attention to weak compactness in Remark 4.8, and the referee for their careful reading, and valuable comments that improved the paper.

### 2. Preliminaries on Hardy spaces

#### 2.1. Of holomorphic functions

We note by  $\mathbb{D}^N = \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \times \cdots$  the cartesian product of N copies of the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $\mathbb{D}_2^\infty$  the domain in  $\ell_2$  defined as  $\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{D}^\infty$  (for coherence in the notation we will sometimes write  $\mathbb{D}_2^N$  for  $\mathbb{D}^N$  also in the case  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ). We define  $\mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$  as consisting of all sequences  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n$  with  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  which are eventually null. In this case we denote  $\alpha! := \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_M!$  whenever  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_M, 0, 0, 0, \ldots)$ .

A function  $f : \mathbb{D}_2^{\infty} \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic if it is Fréchet differentiable at every  $z \in \mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}$ , that is, if there exists a continuous linear functional  $x^*$  on  $\ell_2$  such that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z) - x^*(h)}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we consider the Hardy spaces of holomorphic functions on the domain  $\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}$  defined by

$$\begin{split} H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty) &\coloneqq \left\{ f: \mathbb{D}_2^\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}: \ f \text{ is holomorphic and} \\ \|f\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)} &\coloneqq \sup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^M} |f(r\omega, 0)|^p \, \mathrm{d}\omega \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}. \end{split}$$

For  $p = \infty$ , the space  $H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty})$  denotes (as usual) the space of bounded holomorphic functions  $f : \mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{C}$  (endowed with the uniform norm). We point out that, according to [7, Theorem 11.2], this space is isometrically isomorphic to  $H_{\infty}(B_{c_{0}})$ , the space of bounded holomorphic functions on  $B_{c_{0}}$  (the open unit ball of the space of null sequences).

The definitions of the spaces  $H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)$  for finite N and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  are analogous (see [11, Chapters 13 and 15]).

For  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , each function  $f \in H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  defines a unique family of coefficients  $c_\alpha(f) = \frac{(\partial^\alpha f)(0)}{\alpha!}$  (the Cauchy coefficients) with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$  having always only finitely many non-null coordinates. For  $z \in \mathbb{D}_2^N$  one has the following monomial expansion [11, Theorem 13.2]

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} c_\alpha(f) \cdot z^\alpha,$$

with  $z^{\alpha} = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_M^{\alpha_M}$  whenever  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

Let us note that for each fixed  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  we have  $H_p(\mathbb{D}^N) \hookrightarrow H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$  by doing  $f \rightsquigarrow [z = (z_n)_n \in \mathbb{D}_2^\infty \rightsquigarrow f(z_1, \ldots, z_N)]$ . Conversely, given a function  $f \in H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$ , for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $f_N(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = f(z_1, \ldots, z_N, 0, 0, \ldots)$  for  $(z_1, \ldots, z_N) \in \mathbb{D}^N$ . It is well known that  $f_N \in H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)$ .

An important property for our purposes is the so-called Cole–Gamelin inequality (see [11, Remark 13.14 and Theorem 13.15]), which states that for every  $f \in H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}_2^N$  (for  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ ) we have

(2.1) 
$$|f(z)| \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 - |z_j|^2}\right)^{1/p} \|f\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)}$$

For functions of finitely many variable this inequality is optimal in the sense that if  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}^N$ , then there is a function  $f_z \in H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  given by

(2.2) 
$$f_z(u) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1-|z_j|^2}{(1-\overline{z}_j u_j)^2}\right)^{1/p},$$

such that  $||f_z||_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} = 1$  and  $|f_z(z)| = \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1-|z_j|^2}\right)^{1/p}$ .

## 2.2. On the polytorus

On  $\mathbb{T}^{\infty} = \{\omega = (\omega_n)_n : |\omega_n| = 1, \text{ for every } n\}$  consider the product of the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  (note that this is the Haar measure). For each  $F \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ , the  $\alpha$ -th Fourier coefficient of F is defined as

$$\widehat{F}(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} f(\omega) \cdot \omega^{-\alpha} \,\mathrm{d}\omega$$

where again  $\omega^{\alpha} = \omega_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \omega_M^{\alpha_M}$  if  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_M, 0, 0, 0, \ldots)$ . The Hardy space on the polytorus  $H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$  is the subspace of  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$  given by all the functions F such that  $\widehat{F}(\alpha) = 0$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})} - \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ . The definition of  $H_p(\mathbb{T}^N)$  for finite N is analogous (note that these are the classical Hardy spaces, see [23]). We have the canonical inclusion  $H_p(\mathbb{T}^N) \hookrightarrow H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$  by doing  $F \rightsquigarrow [\omega = (\omega_n)_n \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty} \rightsquigarrow F(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N)]$ .

Given  $N_1 < N_2 \leq \infty$  and  $F \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^{N_2})$ , then the function  $F_{N_1}$ , defined by  $F_{N_1}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N_2-N_1}} F(\omega, u) \, \mathrm{d}u$  for every  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{N_1}$ , belongs to  $H_p(\mathbb{T}^{N_1})$ . In this case, the Fourier coefficients of both functions coincide: that is, given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{N_1}$  then

$$\widehat{F}_{N_1}(\alpha) = \widehat{F}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{N_1}, 0, 0, \dots).$$

TOME 75 (2025), FASCICULE 2

Moreover,

$$||F||_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^{N_2})} \ge ||F_{N_1}||_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^{N_1})}$$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , there is an isometric isomorphism between the spaces  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  and  $H_p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . More precisely, given a function  $f \in H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  there is a unique function  $F \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^N)$  such that  $c_\alpha(f) = \widehat{F}(\alpha)$  for every  $\alpha$  in the corresponding indexing set and  $\|f\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} = \|F\|_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^N)}$ . If this is the case, we say that the functions f and F are associated. In particular, by the uniqueness of the coefficients,  $f_M$  and  $F_M$  are associated to each other for every  $1 \leq M \leq N$ . Even more, if  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$F(\omega) = \lim_{r \to 1^-} f(r\omega),$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^N$ .

We isolate the following important property which will be useful later.

Remark 2.1. — Let  $F \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ . If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $F_N \to F$  in  $H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$  (see e.g [11, Remark 5.8]). If  $p = \infty$ , the convergence is given in the  $w(L_\infty, L_1)$ -topology. In particular, for any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , there is a subsequence so that  $\lim_k F_{N_k}(\omega) = F(\omega)$  for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$  (note that the case  $p = \infty$  follows directly from the inclusion  $H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty) \subset H_2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ ).

### 2.3. Bohr transform

We previously mentioned the Hardy spaces of functions both on the polytorus and on the polydisc and the relationship between them based on their coefficients. This relation also exists with the Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and the isometric isomorphism that identifies them is the so-called Bohr transform. To define it, let us first consider  $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \ldots)$  the sequence of prime numbers. Then, given a natural number n, by the prime number decomposition, there are unique non-negative integer numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_M$ such that  $n = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_M^{\alpha_M}$ . Therefore, with the notation that we already defined, we have that  $n = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_M, 0, 0, \ldots)$ . Then, given  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the Bohr transform  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}}$  on  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}}(f) = \sum_n a_n n^{-s},$$

where  $a_n = c_{\alpha}(f)$  if and only if  $n = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha}$ . The Bohr transform is an isometric isomorphism between the spaces  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_p$  (see [11, Theorem 13.2]).

We denote by  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$  the set of all Dirichlet series  $\sum a_n n^{-s}$  that involve only the first N prime numbers; that is  $a_n = 0$  if  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  divides n for some i > N. We write  $\mathcal{H}_p^{(N)}$  for the space  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)} \cap \mathcal{H}_p$  (endowed with the norm in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ ). Note that the image of  $H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)$  (seen as a subspace of  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$  with the natural identification) through  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{D}_2^\infty}$  is exactly  $\mathcal{H}_p^{(N)}$ .

The inverse of the Bohr transform, which sends the space  $\mathcal{H}_p$  into the space  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$ , is called the *Bohr lift*, which we denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}_2^\infty}$ .

With the same idea, the Bohr transform  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}}$  on the polytorus for  $H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$  is defined; that is,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}}(F) = \sum_{n} a_n n^{-s},$$

where  $a_n = \widehat{F}(\alpha)$  if and only if  $n = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha}$ . It is an isometric isomorphism between the spaces  $H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Its inverse is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}}$ .

In order to keep the notation as clear as possible we will carefully use the following convention: we will use capital letters (e.g., F, G, or H) to denote functions defined on the polytorus  $\mathbb{T}^{\infty}$  and lowercase letters (e.g., f, g or h) to represent functions defined on the polydisc  $\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}$ . If f and Fare associated to each other (meaning that  $c_{\alpha}(f) = \widehat{F}(\alpha)$  for every  $\alpha$ ), we will sometimes write  $f \sim F$ . With the same idea, if a function f or F is associated through the Bohr transform to a Dirichlet series D, we will write  $f \sim D$  or  $F \sim D$ .

### 3. The space of multipliers

As we mentioned above, our main interest is to describe the multipliers of the Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series. Let us recall again that a holomorphic function  $\varphi$ , defined on  $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$  is a (p,q)-multiplier of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  if  $\varphi \cdot D \in \mathcal{H}_q$  for every  $D \in \mathcal{H}_p$ . We denote the set of all such functions by  $\mathfrak{M}(p,q)$ . Since the constant **1** function belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_p$  we have that, if  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}(p,q)$ , then necessarily  $\varphi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_q$  and it can be represented by a Dirichlet series. So, we will use that the multipliers of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  are precisely Dirichlet series. The set  $\mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q)$  is defined in the obvious way, replacing  $\mathcal{H}_p$  and  $\mathcal{H}_q$  by  $\mathcal{H}_p^{(N)}$ and  $\mathcal{H}_q^{(N)}$ . The same argument as above shows that  $\mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_q^{(N)}$ .

The set  $\mathfrak{M}(p,q)$  is clearly a vector space. Each Dirichlet series  $D \in \mathfrak{M}(p,q)$  induces a multiplication operator  $M_D$  from  $\mathcal{H}_p$  to  $\mathcal{H}_q$ , defined by  $M_D(E) = D \cdot E$ . By the continuity of the evaluation on each  $s \in \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$  (see e.g. [11, Corollary 13.3]), and the Closed Graph Theorem,  $M_D$  is continuous. Then, the expression

(3.1) 
$$\|D\|_{\mathfrak{M}(p,q)} \coloneqq \|M_D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q},$$

defines a norm on  $\mathfrak{M}(p,q)$ . Note that

$$(3.2) \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} = \|M_D(1)\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \leq \|M_D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q} \cdot \|1\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} = \|D\|_{\mathfrak{M}(p,q)}$$

and the inclusions that we presented above are continuous. A norm on  $\mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q)$  is defined analogously.

Clearly, if  $p_1 < p_2$  or  $q_1 < q_2$ , then

(3.3) 
$$\mathfrak{M}(p_1,q) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(p_2,q) \text{ and } \mathfrak{M}(p,q_2) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(p,q_1),$$

for fixed p and q.

Given a Dirichlet series  $D = \sum a_n n^{-s}$ , we denote by  $D_N$  the "restriction" to the first N primes (i.e., we consider those n's that involve, in its factorization, only the first N primes). Let us be more precise. If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $\operatorname{gpd}(n)$  for the greatest prime divisor of n. That is, if  $n = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_N^{\alpha_N}$  (with  $\alpha_N \neq 0$ ) is the prime decomposition of n, then  $\operatorname{gpd}(n) = \mathfrak{p}_N$ . With this notation,  $D_N := \sum_{\operatorname{gpd}(n) \leq \mathfrak{p}_N} a_n n^{-s}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. — Let  $D = \sum a_n n^{-s}$  be a Dirichlet series and  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Then  $D \in \mathfrak{M}(p,q)$  if and only if  $D_N \in \mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q)$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sup_N \|D_N\|_{\mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q)} < \infty$ .

Proof. — Let us begin by noting that, if n = jk, then clearly  $gpd(n) \leq \mathfrak{p}_N$  if and only if  $gpd(j) \leq \mathfrak{p}_N$  and  $gpd(k) \leq \mathfrak{p}_N$ . From this we deduce that, given any two Dirichlet series D and E, we have  $(DE)_N = D_N E_N$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Take some Dirichlet series D and suppose that  $D \in \mathfrak{M}(p,q)$ . Then, given  $E \in \mathcal{H}_p^{(N)}$  we have  $DE \in \mathcal{H}_q$ , and  $(DE)_N \in \mathcal{H}_q^{(N)}$ . But  $(DE)_N = D_N E_N = D_N E$  and, since E was arbitrary,  $D_N \in \mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q)$  for every N. On the other hand, if  $E \in \mathcal{H}_q$ , then  $E_N \in \mathcal{H}_q^{(N)}$  and  $||E_N||_{\mathcal{H}_q} \leq ||E||_{\mathcal{H}_q}$  (see [11, Corollary 13.9]). This gives  $||D_N||_{\mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q)} \leq ||D||_{\mathfrak{M}(p,q)}$  for every N.

Suppose now that D is such that  $D_N \in \mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q)$  for every N and  $\sup_N \|D_N\|_{\mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q)} < \infty$  (let us call it C). Then, for each  $E \in \mathcal{H}_p$  we have, by [11, Corollary 13.9],

$$||(DE)_N||_{\mathcal{H}_p} = ||D_N E_N||_{\mathcal{H}_p} \leq ||D_N||_{\mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q)} ||E_N||_{\mathcal{H}_p} \leq C ||E||_{\mathcal{H}_p}.$$

Since this holds for every N, it shows (again by [11, Corollary 13.9]) that  $DE \in \mathcal{H}_p$  and completes the proof.

We are going to exploit the connection between Dirichlet series and power series in infinitely many variables. This leads us to consider spaces of multipliers on Hardy spaces of functions. If U is either  $\mathbb{T}^N$  or  $\mathbb{D}_2^N$  (with  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ ) we consider the corresponding Hardy spaces  $H_p(U)$  (for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ), and say that a function f defined on U is a (p,q)-multiplier of  $H_p(U)$  if  $f \cdot g \in H_q(U)$  for every  $f \in H_p(U)$ . We denote the space of all such fuctions by  $\mathcal{M}_U(p,q)$ . The same argument as before with the constant **1** function shows that  $\mathcal{M}_U(p,q) \subseteq H_q(U)$ . Also, each multiplier defines a multiplication operator  $M : H_p(U) \to H_q(U)$  which, by the Closed Graph Theorem, is continuous, and the norm of the operator defines a norm on the space of multipliers, as in (3.1).

Our first step is to see that the identifications that we have just shown behave "well" with the multiplication, in the sense that whenever two pairs of functions are identified to each other, then so also are the products. Let us make a precise statement.

THEOREM 3.2. — Let  $D, E \in \mathcal{H}_1$ ,  $f, g \in H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$  and  $F, G \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ so that  $f \sim F \sim D$  and  $g \sim G \sim E$ . Then, the following are equivalent

- (1)  $DE \in \mathcal{H}_1$
- (2)  $fg \in H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$
- (3)  $FG \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$

and, in this case  $DE \sim fg \sim FG$ .

The equivalence between (2) and (3) is based in the case for finitely many variables.

PROPOSITION 3.3. — Fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $f, g \in H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)$  and  $F, G \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^N)$  so that  $f \sim F$  and  $g \sim G$ . Then, the following are equivalent

- (1)  $fg \in H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)$
- (2)  $FG \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^N)$

and, in this case,  $fg \sim FG$ .

Proof. — Let us suppose first that  $fg \in H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)$  and denote by  $H \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^N)$  the associated function. Then, since

$$F(\omega) = \lim_{r \to 1^-} f(r\omega)$$
, and  $G(\omega) = \lim_{r \to 1^-} g(r\omega)$ 

for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^N$ , we have

$$H(\omega) = \lim_{r \to 1^-} (fg)(r\omega) = F(\omega)G(\omega)$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^N$ . Therefore  $FG = H \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ , and this yields (2).

Let us conversely assume that  $FG \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ , and take the associated function  $h \in H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)$ . The product  $fg : \mathbb{D}^N \to \mathbb{C}$  is a holomorphic function and fg - h belongs to the Nevanlinna class  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{D}^N)$ , that is

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \log^+ |f(r\omega)g(r\omega) - h(r\omega)| \,\mathrm{d}\omega < \infty$$

TOME 75 (2025), FASCICULE 2

where  $\log^+(x) \coloneqq \max\{0, \log x\}$  (see [24, Section 3.3] for a complete account on this space). Consider  $H(\omega)$  defined for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^N$  as the radial limit of fg - h. Then by [24, Theorem 3.3.5] there are two possibilities: either  $\log |H| \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^N)$  or fg - h = 0 on  $\mathbb{D}^N$ . But, just as before, we have

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} f(r\omega)g(r\omega) = F(\omega)G(\omega) = \lim_{r \to 1^{-}} h(r\omega)$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^N$ , and then necessarily H = 0. Thus fg = h on  $\mathbb{D}^N$ , and  $fg \in H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)$ . This shows that (2) implies (1) and completes the proof.

For the general case we need the notion of the Nevanlinna class in the infinite dimensional framework. Given  $\mathbb{D}_1^{\infty} := \ell_1 \cap \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ , a function  $u : \mathbb{D}_1^{\infty} \to \mathbb{C}$  and 0 < r < 1, the mapping  $u_{[r]} : \mathbb{T}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{C}$  is defined by

$$u_{[r]}(\omega) = (r\omega_1, r^2\omega_2, r^3\omega_3, \ldots).$$

The Nevanlinna class on infinitely many variables, introduced recently in [16] and denoted by  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{D}_1^{\infty})$ , consists on those holomorphic functions  $u: \mathbb{D}_1^{\infty} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \log^+ |u_{[r]}(\omega)| \, \mathrm{d}\omega < \infty.$$

We can now prove the general case.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. — Let us show first that (1) implies (2). Suppose that  $D = \sum a_n n^{-s}, E = \sum b_n n^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_1$  are so that

$$\left(\sum a_n n^{-s}\right)\left(\sum b_n n^{-s}\right) = \sum c_n n^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_1.$$

Let  $h \in H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  be the holomorphic function associated to the product. Recall that, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$  and  $n = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

(3.4) 
$$c_{\alpha}(f) = a_n, c_{\alpha}(g) = b_n \text{ and } c_{\alpha}(h) = c_n = \sum_{jk=n} a_j b_k.$$

On the other hand, the function  $f \cdot g : \mathbb{D}_2^\infty \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic and a straightforward computation shows that

(3.5) 
$$c_{\alpha}(fg) = \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} c_{\beta}(f) c_{\gamma}(g) \,.$$

for every  $\alpha$ . Now, if  $jk = n = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ , then there are  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$  so that  $j = \mathfrak{p}^{\beta}, k = \mathfrak{p}^{\gamma}$  and  $\beta + \gamma = \alpha$ . This, together with (3.4) and (3.5) shows that  $c_{\alpha}(h) = c_{\alpha}(fg)$  for every  $\alpha$  and, therefore  $fg = h \in H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$ . This yields our claim.

Suppose now that  $fg \in H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  and take the corresponding Dirichlet series  $\sum a_n n^{-s}$ ,  $\sum b_n n^{-s}$ ,  $\sum c_n n^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_1$  (associated to f, g and fg respectively). The same argument as above shows that

$$c_n = c_\alpha(fg) = \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} c_\beta(f) c_\gamma(g) = \sum_{jk=n} a_j b_k$$

hence  $\left(\sum a_n n^{-s}\right)\left(\sum b_n n^{-s}\right) = \sum c_n n^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_1$ , showing that (2) implies (1).

Suppose now that  $fg \in H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  and let us see that (3) holds. Let  $H \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$  be the function associated to fg. Note first that  $f_N \sim F_N$ ,  $g_N \sim G_N$  and  $(fg)_N \sim H_N$  for every N. A straightforward computation shows that  $(fg)_N = f_N g_N$ , and then this product is in  $H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)$ . Then Proposition 3.3 yields  $f_N g_N \sim F_N G_N$ , therefore

$$\widehat{H}_N(\alpha) = (\widehat{F}_N \widehat{G}_N)(\alpha)$$

for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$  and, then,  $H_N = F_N G_N$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can find a subsequence in such a way that

$$\lim_{k} F_{N_{k}}(\omega) = F(\omega), \lim_{k} G_{N_{k}}(\omega) = G(\omega), \text{ and } \lim_{k} H_{N_{k}}(\omega) = H(\omega)$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$  (recall Remark 2.1). All this gives that  $F(\omega)G(\omega) = H(\omega)$  for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ . Hence  $FG = H \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$ , and our claim is proved.

Finally, if  $FG \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ , we denote by h its associated function in  $H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$ . By [16, Propostions 2.8 and 2.14] we know that  $H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$  is contained in the Nevanlinna class  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{D}_1^\infty)$ , therefore  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{D}_1^\infty)$  and hence, by definition,  $f \cdot g - h \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{D}_1^\infty)$ . On the other hand, [16, Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.11] tell us that, if  $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{D}_1^\infty)$ , then the radial limit  $u^*(\omega) = \lim_{r \to 1^-} u_{[r]}(\omega)$  exists for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$ . Even more, u = 0 if and only if  $u^*$  vanishes on some subset of  $\mathbb{T}^\infty$  with positive measure. The radial limit of f, g and h coincide a.e. with F, G and  $F \cdot G$  respectively (see [1, Theorem 1]). Since

$$(f \cdot g - h)^*(\omega) = \lim_{r \to 1^-} f_{[r]}(\omega) \cdot g_{[r]}(\omega) - h_{[r]}(\omega) = 0$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ , then  $f \cdot g = h$  on  $\mathbb{D}_1^{\infty}$ . Finally, since the set  $\mathbb{D}_1^{\infty}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}$ , by the continuity of the functions we have that  $f \cdot g \in H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$ .

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 we obtain the following.

PROPOSITION 3.4. — For every  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  we have

$$\mathfrak{M}(p,q) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{\infty}}(p,q) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}}(p,q),$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}^{(N)}(p,q) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}^N}(p,q) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^N}(p,q)$$

for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , by means of the Bohr transform.

Again (as in Proposition 3.1), being a multiplier can be characterized in terms of the restrictions (this follows immediately from Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4).

**Proposition 3.5.** 

- (1)  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty}}(p,q)$  if and only if  $f_{N} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_{2}^{N}}(p,q)$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sup_{N} \|M_{f_{N}}\| < \infty$ .
- (2)  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}}(p,q)$ , then,  $F_N \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^N}(p,q)$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sup_N \|M_{F_N}\| < \infty$ .

The following statement describes the spaces of multipliers, viewing them as Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series. A result of similar flavour for holomorphic functions in one variable appears in [26].

THEOREM 3.6. — The following assertions hold true

- (1)  $\mathfrak{M}(\infty, q) = \mathcal{H}_q$  isometrically.
- (2) If  $1 \leq q then <math>\mathfrak{M}(p,q) = \mathcal{H}_{pq/(p-q)}$  isometrically.
- (3) If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  then  $\mathfrak{M}(p,p) = \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  isometrically.
- (4) If  $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$  then  $\mathfrak{M}(p,q) = \{0\}$ .

The same equalities hold if we replace in each case  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathfrak{M}^{(N)}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$  (with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ) respectively.

*Proof.* — To get the result we use again the isometric identifications between the Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and both Hardy spaces of functions, and also between their multipliers given in Proposition 3.4. Depending on each case we will use the most convenient identification, jumping from one to the other without further notification.

(1). — We already noted that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^N}(\infty, q) \subset H_q(\mathbb{T}^N)$  with continuous inclusion (recall (3.2)). On the other hand, if  $D \in \mathcal{H}_q$  and  $E \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$  then  $D \cdot E$  a Dirichlet series in  $\mathcal{H}_q$ . Moreover,

$$\|M_D(E)\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \leq \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \|E\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty}.$$

This shows that  $||M_D||_{\mathfrak{M}(\infty,q)} \leq ||D||_{\mathcal{H}_q}$ , providing the isometric identification.

(2). — Suppose  $1 \leq q and take some <math>f \in H_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  and  $g \in H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$ , then  $f \cdot g$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}$ . Consider  $t = \frac{p}{p-q}$  and note

that t is the conjugate exponent of  $\frac{p}{q}$  in the sense that  $\frac{q}{p} + \frac{1}{t} = 1$ . Therefore given  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  and 0 < r < 1, by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{split} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^{M}} |f \cdot g(r\omega, 0)|^{q} \, \mathrm{d}\omega \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leqslant \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^{M}} |f(r\omega, 0)|^{qt} \, \mathrm{d}\omega \right)^{1/qt} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^{M}} |g(r\omega, 0)|^{qp/q} \, \mathrm{d}\omega \right)^{q/qp} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^{M}} |f(r\omega, 0)|^{qp/(p-q)} \, \mathrm{d}\omega \right)^{(p-q)/qp} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^{M}} |g(r\omega, 0)|^{p} \, \mathrm{d}\omega \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leqslant \|f\|_{H_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty})} \|g\|_{H_{p}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty})}. \end{split}$$

Since this holds for every  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  and 0 < r < 1, then  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty}}(p,q)$  and furthermore  $\|M_{f}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty}}(p,q)} \leq \|f\|_{H_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty})}$ . Thus  $H_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty}}(p,q)$ . The case for  $\mathbb{D}^{N}$  with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  follows with the same idea.

To check that the converse inclusion holds, take some  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^N}(p,q)$ (where  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ ) and consider the associated multiplication operator  $M_F : H_p(\mathbb{T}^N) \to H_q(\mathbb{T}^N)$  which, as we know, is continuous. Let us see that it can be extended to a continuous operator on  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . To see this, take a trigonometric polynomial Q, that is a finite sum of the form

$$Q(z) = \sum_{|\alpha_i| \leqslant k} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \,,$$

and note that

(3.6) 
$$Q = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{M} z_j^{-k}\right) \cdot P$$

where P is the polynomial defined as  $P \coloneqq \sum_{0 \leq \beta_i \leq 2k} b_\beta z^\beta$  and  $b_\beta = a_\alpha$ whenever  $\beta = \alpha + (k, \dots, k, 0)$ . Then,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |F \cdot Q(\omega)|^q \,\mathrm{d}\omega\right)^{1/q} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |F \cdot P(\omega)|^q \prod_{j=1}^M |\omega_j|^{-kq} \,\mathrm{d}\omega\right)^{1/q}$$
$$= \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |F \cdot P(\omega)|^q \,\mathrm{d}\omega\right)^{1/q}$$
$$\leqslant C ||P||_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^N)}$$
$$= C \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |P(\omega)|^p \prod_{j=1}^M |\omega_j|^{-kp} \,\mathrm{d}\omega\right)^{1/p}$$
$$= C ||Q||_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^N)}.$$

TOME 75 (2025), FASCICULE 2

553

Consider now an arbitrary  $H \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)$  and, using [11, Theorem 5.17] find a sequence of trigonometric polynomials  $(Q_n)_n$  such that  $Q_n \to H$  in  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$  and also a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}^N$  (taking a subsequence if necessary). We have

$$\|F \cdot Q_n - F \cdot Q_m\|_{H_q(\mathbb{T}^N)} = \|F \cdot (Q_n - Q_m)\|_{H_q(\mathbb{T}^N)} \leqslant C \|Q_n - Q_m\|_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^N)} \longrightarrow 0$$

which shows that  $(F \cdot Q_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L_q(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . Since  $F \cdot Q_n \to F \cdot H$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}^N$ , then this proves that  $F \cdot H \in L_q(\mathbb{T}^N)$  and  $F \cdot Q_n \to F \cdot H$  in  $L_q(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . Moreover,

$$\|F \cdot H\|_{H_q(\mathbb{T}^N)} = \lim \|F \cdot Q_n\|_{H_q(\mathbb{T}^N)} \leqslant C \lim \|Q_n\|_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^N)} = C \|H\|_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^N)},$$

and therefore the operator  $M_F : L_p(\mathbb{T}^N) \to L_q(\mathbb{T}^N)$  is well defined and bounded. In particular,  $|F|^q \cdot |H|^q \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^N)$  for every  $H \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ .

Now, consider  $H \in L_{p/q}(\mathbb{T}^N)$  then  $|H|^{1/q} \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)$  and  $|F|^q \cdot |H| \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^N)$  or, equivalently,  $|F|^q \cdot H \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . Hence

$$|F|^q \in L_{p/q}(\mathbb{T}^N)^* = L_{p/(p-q)}(\mathbb{T}^N),$$

and therefore  $F \in L_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . To finish the argument, since  $\widehat{F}(\alpha) = 0$ whenever  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^N \setminus \mathbb{N}_0^N$  then  $F \in H_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . We then conclude that

$$H_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{T}^N) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^N}(p,q).$$

In order to see the isometry, given  $F \in H_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{T}^N)$  and let  $G = |F|^r \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)$  with r = q/(p-q) and then  $F \cdot G \in L_q(\mathbb{T}^N)$ . Let  $Q_n$  be a sequence of trigonometric polynomials such that  $Q_n \to G$  in  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)$ , since  $M_F : L_p(\mathbb{T}^N) \to L_q(\mathbb{T}^N)$  is continuous then  $F \cdot Q_n = M_F(Q_n) \to F \cdot G$ . On the other hand, writing  $Q_n$  as (3.6) we have for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a polynomial  $P_n$  such that  $||F \cdot Q_n||_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^N)} = ||F \cdot P_n||_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^N)}$  and  $||Q_n||_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)} = ||P_n||_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)}$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{split} \|F \cdot G\|_{L_{q}(\mathbb{T}^{N})} &= \lim_{n} \|F \cdot Q_{n}\|_{L_{q}(\mathbb{T}^{N})} = \lim_{n} \|F \cdot P_{n}\|_{L_{q}(\mathbb{T}^{N})} \\ &\leq \lim_{n} \|M_{F}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^{N}}(p,q)} \|P_{n}\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{T}^{N})} \\ &= \lim_{n} \|M_{F}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^{N}}(p,q)} \|Q_{n}\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{T}^{N})} \\ &= \|M_{F}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^{N}}(p,q)} \|G\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{T}^{N})}. \end{split}$$

Now, since

$$\|F\|_{L_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{T}^N)}^{p/(p-q)} = \|F^{r+1}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^N)} = \|F \cdot G\|_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^N)}$$

and

$$\|F\|_{L_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{T}^N)}^{q/(p-q)} = \|F^r\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)} = \|G\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)}$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

then

$$|M_F||_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^N}(p,q)} \ge ||F||_{L_{pq/(p-q)}} = ||F||_{H_{pq/(p-q)}(\mathbb{T}^N)},$$

as we wanted to show.

(3). — It was proved in [5, Theorem 7].

We finish the proof by seeing that (4) holds. On one hand, the previous case and (3.3) immediately give the inclusion

$$\{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}^N}(p,q) \subseteq H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^N).$$

We now show that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N}(p,q) = \{0\}$  for any  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We consider in first place the case  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ , we fix  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N}(p,q)$  and  $M_f$  the associated multiplication operator from  $H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)$  to  $H_q(\mathbb{D}^N)$ . Now, given  $g \in H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$ , by (2.1) we have

(3.7)  
$$|f \cdot g(z)| \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 - |z_j|^2}\right)^{1/q} \|f \cdot g\|_{H_q(\mathbb{D}_2^N)}$$
$$\leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 - |z_j|^2}\right)^{1/q} C \|g\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)}.$$

Now since  $f \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  and

$$\|f\|_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)} = \lim_{r \to 1} \sup_{z \in r \mathbb{D}_2^N} |f(z)| = \lim_{r \to 1} \sup_{z \in r \mathbb{T}^N} |f(z)|,$$

then there is a sequence  $(u_n)_n \subseteq \mathbb{D}^N$  such that  $||u_n||_{\infty} \to 1$  and

$$(3.8) |f(u_n)| \longrightarrow ||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^N)}$$

For each  $u_n$  there is a non-zero function  $g_n \in H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)$  (recall (2.2)) such that

$$|g_n(u_n)| = \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - |u_n^j|^2}\right)^{1/p} ||g_n||_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)}$$

From this and (3.7) we get

$$|f(u_n)| \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1-|u_n^j|^2}\right)^{1/p} \|g_n\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)} \leqslant \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1-|u_n^j|^2}\right)^{1/q} C \|g_n\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)}.$$

Then,

$$|f(u_n)| \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1-|u_n^j|^2}\right)^{1/p-1/q} \leqslant C.$$

TOME 75 (2025), FASCICULE 2

Since 1/p - 1/q > 0 we have that  $\left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 - |u_n^j|^2}\right)^{1/p - 1/q} \to \infty$ , and then, by the previous inequality,  $|f(u_n)| \to 0$ . By (3.8) this shows that  $||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)} = 0$  and this gives the claim for  $q < \infty$ . Now if  $q = \infty$ , by noticing that  $H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$  is contained in  $H_t(\mathbb{D}^N)$  for every  $1 \leq p < t < \infty$  the result follows from the previous case. This concludes the proof for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

To prove that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty}}(p,q) = \{0\}$ , fix again  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty}}(p,q)$ . By Proposition 3.5, for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  the truncated function  $f_{N} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{D}_{2}^{N}}(p,q)$  and therefore, by what we have shown before, is the zero function. Now the proof follows using that  $(f_{N})_{N}$  converges pointwise to f.

#### 4. Multiplication operator

Given a multiplier  $D \in \mathfrak{M}(p,q)$ , we study in this section several properties of its associated multiplication operator  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q$ . In [28] Vukotić provides a very complete description of certain Toeplitz operators for Hardy spaces of holomorphic functions of one variable. In particular he studies the spectrum, the range and the essential norm of these operators. Bearing in mind the relation between the sets of multipliers that we proved above (Proposition 3.4), it is natural to ask whether similar properties hold when we look at the multiplication operators on the Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series.

In our first result we characterize which operators are indeed multiplication operators. These happen to be exactly those that commute with the monomials given by the prime numbers.

THEOREM 4.1. — Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . A bounded operator  $T : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q$ is a multiplication operator if and only if T commutes with the multiplication operators  $M_{\mathfrak{p}_i^{-s}}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The same holds if we replace in each case  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$  (with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and considering  $M_{\mathfrak{p}_i^{-s}}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq N$ .

Proof. — Suppose first that  $T : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q$  is a multiplication operator (that is,  $T = M_D$  for some Dirichlet series D) and for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathfrak{p}_i^{-s}$  be a monomial, then

$$T \circ M_{\mathfrak{p}^{-s}}(E) = D \cdot \mathfrak{p}_i^{-s} \cdot E = \mathfrak{p}_i^{-s} \cdot D \cdot E = M_{\mathfrak{p}^{-s}} \circ T(E).$$

That is, T commutes with  $M_{\mathfrak{p}^{-s}}$ .

For the converse, suppose now that  $T: \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q$  is a bounded operator that commutes with the multiplication operators  $M_{\mathfrak{p}^{-s}}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us see that  $T = M_D$  with D = T(1). Indeed, for each  $\mathfrak{p}_i^{-s}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that

$$\begin{split} T((\mathfrak{p}_i^k)^{-s}) &= T((\mathfrak{p}_i^{-s})^k) = T(M_{\mathfrak{p}_i^{-s}}^k(1)) = M_{\mathfrak{p}_i^{-s}}^k(T(1)) \\ &= (\mathfrak{p}_i^{-s})^k \cdot D = (\mathfrak{p}_i^k)^{-s} \cdot D, \end{split}$$

and then given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$  such that  $n = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k^{\alpha_k}$ 

$$T(n^{-s}) = T\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} (\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{\alpha_{i}})^{-s}\right) = T(M_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}^{-s}}^{\alpha_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ M_{\mathfrak{p}_{k}^{-s}}^{\alpha_{k}}(1))$$
$$= M_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}^{-s}}^{\alpha_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ M_{\mathfrak{p}_{k}^{-s}}^{\alpha_{k}}(T(1)) = (n^{-s}) \cdot D.$$

This implies that  $T(P) = P \cdot D$  for every Dirichlet polynomial P. Take now some  $E \in \mathcal{H}_p$  and choose a sequence of polynomials  $P_n$  that converges in norm to E if  $1 \leq p < \infty$  or weakly if  $p = \infty$  (see [11, Theorems 5.18 and 11.10]). In any case, if  $s \in \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ , the continuity of the evaluation at s(see again [11, Corollary 13.3]) yields  $P_n(s) \to E(s)$ . Since T is continuous, we have that

$$T(E) = \lim_{n} T(P_n) = \lim_{n} P_n \cdot D$$

(where the limit is in the weak topology if  $p = \infty$ ). Then for each  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ , we have

$$T(E)(s) = \lim_{n} P_n \cdot D(s) = E(s)D(s).$$

Therefore,  $T(E) = D \cdot E$  for every Dirichlet series E. In other words, T is equal to  $M_D$ , which concludes the proof.

Given a bounded operator  $T:E\to F$  the essential norm is defined as

$$||T||_{\text{ess}} = \inf\{||T - K|| : K : E \longrightarrow F \text{ compact}\}.$$

This norm tells us how far from being compact T is.

The following result shows a series of comparisons between essential norm of  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q$  and the norm of D, depending on p and q. In all cases, as a consequence, the operator is compact if and only if D = 0.

THEOREM 4.2.

(1) Let  $1 \leq q , <math>D \in \mathcal{H}_{pq/(p-q)}$  and  $M_D$  its associated multiplication operator from  $\mathcal{H}_p$  to  $\mathcal{H}_q$ . Then

$$||D||_{\mathcal{H}_q} \leq ||M_D||_{\text{ess}} \leq ||M_D|| = ||D||_{\mathcal{H}_{pq/(p-q)}}.$$

(2) Let  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{H}_q$  and  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_\infty \to \mathcal{H}_q$  the multiplication operator. Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \leqslant \|M_D\|_{\text{ess}} \leqslant \|M_D\| = \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q}.$$

In particular,  $M_D$  is compact if and only if D = 0. The same equalities hold if we replace  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$  (with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

We start with a lemma based on [6, Proposition 2] for Hardy spaces of holomorphic functions. We prove that weak-star convergence and uniformly convergence on half-planes are equivalent on Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series. We are going to use that  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is a dual space for every  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For 1 this is obvious because the space is reflexive. For <math>p = 1in [12, Theorem 7.3] it is shown, for Hardy spaces of vector valued Dirichlet series, that  $\mathcal{H}_1(X)$  is a dual space if and only if X has the Analytic Radon– Nikodym property. Since  $\mathbb{C}$  has the ARNP, this gives what we need. We include here an alternative proof in more elementary terms.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** — The space  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is a dual space.

Proof. — Denote by  $(B_{H_1}, \tau_0)$  the closed unit ball of  $H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$ , endowed with the topology  $\tau_0$  given by the uniform convergence on compact sets. Let us show that  $(B_{H_1}, \tau_0)$  is a compact set. Note first that, given a compact  $K \subseteq \ell_2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{j \ge j_0}^{\infty} |z_j|^2 < \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in K$  [14, Page 6]. Then, from the Cole–Gamelin inequality (2.1), the set

$$\{f(K): f \in B_{H_1}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

is bounded for each compact set K. By Montel's theorem (see e.g. [11, Theorem 15.50]),  $(B_{H_1}, \tau_0)$  is relatively compact. We now show that  $(B_{H_1}, \tau_0)$ is closed. Indeed, suppose now that  $(f_\alpha) \subset B_{H_1}$  is a net that converges to  $B_{H_1}$  uniformly on compact sets, then we obviously have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |f(r\omega, 0, 0, \ldots)| \, \mathrm{d}\omega$$
  
$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |f(r\omega, 0, 0, \ldots) - f_\alpha(r\omega, 0, 0, \ldots)| \, \mathrm{d}\omega + \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |f_\alpha(r\omega, 0, 0, \ldots)| \, \mathrm{d}\omega.$$

Since the first term tends to 0 and the second term is less than or equal to 1 for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and every 0 < r < 1, then the limit function f belongs to  $B_{H_1}$ . Thus,  $(B_{H_1}, \tau_0)$  is compact.

We consider now the set of functionals

$$\{ev_z: H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}: z \in \mathbb{D}_2^\infty\}.$$

Note that the weak topology  $w(H_1, E)$  is exactly the topology given by the pointwise convergence. Thus, since a priori  $\tau_0$  is clearly a stronger topology than  $w(H_1, E)$  we have that  $(B_{H_1}, w(H_1, E))$  is also compact. Since E separates points, by [18, Theorem 1],  $H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  is a dual space and hence, using the Bohr transform,  $\mathcal{H}_1$  also is a dual space.

LEMMA 4.4. — Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $(D_n) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_p$  then the following statements are equivalent

- (1)  $D_n \to 0$  in the weak-star topology.
- (2)  $D_n(s) \to 0$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$  and  $\|D_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \leq C$  for some C > 0.
- (3)  $D_n \to 0$  uniformly on each half-plane  $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}$  with  $\sigma > 1/2$  and  $||D_n||_{\mathcal{H}_p} \leq C$  for some C > 0.

*Proof.* — The implication (1) then (2) is verified by the continuity of the evaluations in the weak-star topology, and because the convergence in this topology implies that the sequence is bounded.

Let us see that (2) implies (3). Suppose not, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a subsequence  $(D_{n_j})_j$  and a half-plane  $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}$  with  $\sigma > 1/2$  such that  $\sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_{\sigma}} |D_{n_j}(s)| \ge \varepsilon$ . Since  $D_{n_j} = \sum_m a_m^{n_j} m^{-s}$  is uniformly bounded, by Montel's theorem for  $\mathcal{H}_p$  (see [10, Theorem 3.2]), there exists  $D = \sum_m a_m m^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_p$  such that

$$\sum_{m} \frac{a_{m}^{n_{j}}}{m^{\delta}} m^{-s} \longrightarrow \sum_{m} \frac{a_{m}}{m^{\delta}} m^{-s} \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_{p}$$

for every  $\delta > 0$ . Given  $s \in \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ , we write  $s = s_0 + \delta$  with  $\delta > 0$  and  $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ , to have

$$D_{n_j}(s) = \sum_m a_m^{n_j} m^{-(s_0+\delta)} = \sum_m \frac{a_m^{n_j}}{m^{\delta}} m^{-s_0}$$
$$\longrightarrow \sum_m \frac{a_m}{m^{\delta}} m^{-s_0} = D(s_0+\delta) = D(s).$$

We conclude that D = 0 and by the Cole–Gamelin inequality for Dirichlet series (see [11, Corollary 13.3]) we have

$$\varepsilon \leqslant \sup_{\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2 + \sigma} |D_{n_j}(s)| = \sup_{\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2 + \sigma/2} |D_{n_j}(s + \sigma/2)|$$
$$= \sup_{\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2 + \sigma/2} \left| \sum_m \frac{a_m^{n_j}}{m^{\sigma/2}} m^{-s} \right| \leqslant \zeta (2 \operatorname{Re} s)^{1/p} \left\| \sum_m \frac{a_m^{n_j}}{m^{\sigma/2}} m^{-s} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}$$
$$\leqslant \zeta (1 + \sigma)^{1/p} \left\| \sum_m \frac{a_m^{n_j}}{m^{\sigma/2}} m^{-s} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \longrightarrow 0,$$

for every  $\sigma > 0$ , which is a contradiction.

TOME 75 (2025), FASCICULE 2

To see that (3) implies (1), let  $B_{\mathcal{H}_p}$  denote the closed unit ball of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Since for each  $1 \leq p < \infty$  the space  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is a dual space, by Alaouglu's theorem,  $(B_{\mathcal{H}_p}, w^*)$  (i.e. endowed with the weak-star topology) is compact. On the other hand  $(B_{\mathcal{H}_p}, \tau_0)$  (that is, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets) is a Hausdorff topological space. If we show that the identity  $\mathrm{Id}: (B_{\mathcal{H}_p}, w^*) \to (B_{\mathcal{H}_p}, \tau_0)$  is continuous, then it is a homeomorphism and the proof is completed. To see this let us note first that  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is separable (note that the set of Dirichlet polynomials with rational coefficients is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ ) and then  $(B_{\mathcal{H}_p}, w^*)$  is metrizable (see [9, Theorem 5.1]). Hence it suffices to work with sequences. If a sequence  $(D_n)_n$  converges in  $w^*$  to some D, then in particular  $(D_n - D)_n w^*$ -converges to 0 and, by what we just have seen, it converges uniformly on compact sets. This shows that Id is continuous, as we wanted.

Now we prove Theorem 4.2. The arguments should be compared with [13, Propositions 4.3 and 5.5] where similar statements have been obtained for weighted composition operators for holomorphic functions of one complex variable.

#### Proof of Theorem 4.2.

(1). — By definition  $||M_D||_{\text{ess}} \leq ||M_D|| = ||D||_{\mathcal{H}_{pq/(p-q)}}$ . In order to see the lower bound, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  consider the monomial  $E_n = (2^n)^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_p$ . Clearly  $||E_n||_{\mathcal{H}_p} = 1$  for every n, and  $E_n(s) \to 0$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ . Then, by Lemma 4.4,  $E_n \to 0$  in the weak-star topology.

Take now some compact operator  $K : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q$  and note that, since  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is reflexive, we have  $K(E_n) \to 0$ , and hence

$$\|M_D - K\| \ge \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|M_D(E_n) - K(E_n)\|_{\mathcal{H}_q}$$
$$\ge \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|D \cdot E_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} - \|K(E_n)\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} = \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q}.$$

(2). — Let  $K : \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}_q$  be a compact operator, and take again  $E_n = (2^n)^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $||E_n||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} = 1$ , there exists a subsequence  $(E_{n_j})_j$  such that  $(K(E_{n_j}))_j$  converges in  $\mathcal{H}_q$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $j, l \ge m$  then

$$\|K(E_{n_i}) - K(E_{n_l})\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} < \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, if  $D = \sum a_k k^{-s}$  then  $D \cdot E_{n_l} = \sum a_k (k \cdot 2^{n_l})^{-s}$  and by [11, Proposition 11.20] the norm in  $\mathcal{H}_q$  of

$$(D \cdot E_{n_l})_{\delta} = \sum \frac{a_k}{(k \cdot 2^{n_l})^{\delta}} (k \cdot 2^{n_l})^{-s}$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

tends increasingly to  $\|D \cdot E_{n_l}\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} = \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q}$  when  $\delta \to 0$ . Fixed  $j \ge m$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{split} \|(D \cdot E_{n_j})_{\delta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} &\geq \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} - \varepsilon. \\ \text{Given that } \|\frac{E_{n_j} - E_{n_l}}{2}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} = 1 \text{ for every } j \neq l \text{ then} \\ \|M_D - K\| &\geq \left\| (M_D - K) \frac{E_{n_j} - E_{n_l}}{2} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|(D \cdot E_{n_j} - D \cdot E_{n_l})_{\delta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} - \frac{1}{2} \|K(E_{n_j}) - K(E_{n_l})\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \\ &> \frac{1}{2} (\|(D \cdot E_{n_j})_{\delta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} - \|(D \cdot E_{n_l})_{\delta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_q}) - \varepsilon/2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} - \frac{1}{2} \|(D \cdot E_{n_l})_{\delta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} - \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Finally, since

$$\begin{aligned} \| (D \cdot E_{n_l})_{\delta} \|_{\mathcal{H}_q} &\leq \| D_{\delta} \|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \| (E_{n_l})_{\delta} \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \\ &\leq \| D_{\delta} \|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \left\| \frac{(2^{n_l})^{-s}}{2^{n_l \delta}} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} = \| D_{\delta} \|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n_l \delta}} \,, \end{aligned}$$

and the latter tends to 0 as  $l \to \infty$ , we finally have

$$\|M_D - K\| \ge \frac{1}{2} \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q}.$$

In the case of endomorphism, that is p = q, we give the following bounds for the essential norms.

THEOREM 4.5. — Let  $D \in \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  and  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$  the associated multiplication operator.

(1) If 1 , then

$$|M_D||_{\text{ess}} = ||M_D|| = ||D||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}.$$

(2) If p = 1, then

$$||D||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} = ||M_D|| \ge ||M_D||_{\text{ess}} \ge \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}||D||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}, ||D||_{\mathcal{H}_1}\right\}.$$

In particular,  $M_D$  is compact if and only if D = 0. The same equalities hold if we replace  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ , with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The previous theorem will be a consequence of the Proposition 4.7 which we feel is independently interesting. For the proof we need the following technical lemma in the spirit of [6, Proposition 2]. Is relates weak-star convergence and uniform convergence on compact sets for Hardy spaces of holomorphic functions. It is a sort of "holomorphic version" of Lemma 4.4.

561

LEMMA 4.6. — Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $(f_n) \subseteq H_n(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  then the following statements are equivalent

- (1)  $f_n \to 0$  in the weak-star topology,
- (2)  $f_n(z) \to 0$  for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}_2^N$  and  $||f_n||_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} \leq C$  for some C > 0(3)  $f_n \to 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $\mathbb{D}_2^N$  and  $||f_n||_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} \leq C$  for some C > 0.

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  and  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  are proved with the same arguments used in Lemma 4.4. Let us see  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Suppose not, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a subsequence  $f_{n_i}$  and a compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}$  such that  $\|f_{n_i}\|_{H_{\infty}(K)} \ge \varepsilon$ . Since  $f_{n_i}$  is bounded, by Montel's theorem for  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$ (see [15, Theorem 2]), we can take a subsequence  $f_{n_{j_l}}$  and  $f \in H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$ such that  $f_{n_{i_i}} \to f$  uniformly on compact sets. But since it tends pointwise to zero, then f = 0 which is a contradiction.  $\square$ 

PROPOSITION 4.7. — Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $f \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty})$  and  $M_{f}$ :  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty) \to H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$  the multiplication operator. If p > 1 then

$$||M_f||_{\text{ess}} = ||M_f|| = ||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})}.$$

If p = 1 then

$$||M_f|| \ge ||M_f||_{\text{ess}} \ge \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})}, ||f||_{H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})}\right\}$$

In particular  $M_f: H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty) \to H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$  is compact if and only if f = 0. The same equalities hold if we replace  $\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}$  by  $\mathbb{D}^N$ , with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. — The inequality  $||M_f||_{ess} \leq ||M_f|| = ||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^N)}$  is already known for every  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . It is only left, then, to see that

$$(4.1) ||M_f|| \leqslant ||M_f||_{\text{ess}}.$$

We begin with the case  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume in first place that p > 1, and take a sequence  $(z^{(n)})_n \subseteq \mathbb{D}^N$ , with  $||z^{(n)}||_{\infty} \to 1$ , such that  $|f(z^{(n)})| \to 1$  $||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)}$ . Consider now the function given by

$$h_{z^{(n)}}(u) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1 - |z_j^{(n)}|^2}{(1 - \overline{z_j^{(n)}} u_j)^2}\right)^{1/p}$$

for  $u \in \mathbb{D}^N$ . Now, by the Cole–Gamelin inequality (2.1)

$$|f(z^{(n)})| = |f(z^{(n)}) \cdot h_{z^{(n)}}(z^{(n)})| \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 - |z_j^{(n)}|^2}\right)^{-1/p}$$
  
$$\leq ||f \cdot h_{z^{(n)}}||_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} \leq ||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^N)},$$

and then  $||f \cdot h_{z^{(n)}}||_{H_n(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} \to ||f||_{H_\infty(\mathbb{D}_2^N)}$ .

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Observe that  $||h_{z^{(n)}}||_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)} = 1$  and that  $h_{z^{(n)}}(u) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  for every  $u \in \mathbb{D}^N$ . Then, by Lemma 4.6,  $h_{z^{(n)}}$  tends to zero in the weak-star topology and then, since  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  is reflexive (recall that 1 ), $also in the weak topology. So, if K is a compact operator on <math>H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  then  $K(h_{z^{(n)}}) \to 0$  and therefore

$$\begin{split} \|M_f - K\| &\ge \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|f \cdot h_{z^{(n)}} - K(h_{z^{(n)}})\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} \\ &\ge \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|f \cdot h_{z^{(n)}}\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} - \|K(h_{z^{(n)}})\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} = \|f\|_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^N)}. \end{split}$$

Thus,  $||M_f - K|| \ge ||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^N)}$  for each compact operator K and hence  $||M_f||_{\text{ess}} \ge ||M_f||$  as we wanted to see.

The proof of the case p = 1 follows some ideas of Demazeux in [13, Theorem 2.2]. First of all, recall that the N-dimensional Féjer's Kernel is defined as

$$K_n^N(u) = \sum_{|\alpha_1|,\dots,|\alpha_N| \leqslant n} \prod_{j=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{|\alpha_j|}{n+1}\right) u^{\alpha},$$

for  $u \in \mathbb{D}_2^N$ . With this, the *n*-th Féjer polynomial with N variables of a function  $g \in H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  is obtained by convoluting g with the N-dimensional Féjer's Kernel, in other words

(4.2) 
$$\sigma_n^N g(u) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^N} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_N = 1}^n \sum_{|\alpha_j| \leqslant l_j} \widehat{g}(\alpha) u^{\alpha}.$$

It is well known (see e.g. [11, Lemmas 5.21 and 5.23]) that  $\sigma_n^N : H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^N) \to H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  is a contraction and  $\sigma_n^N g \to g$  on  $H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  when  $n \to \infty$  for all  $g \in H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$ . Let us see how  $R_n^N = I - \sigma_n^N$ , gives a first lower bound for the essential norm.

Let  $K: H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^N) \to H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  be a compact operator, since  $\|\sigma_n^N\| \leq 1$  then  $\|R_n^N\| \leq 2$  and hence

$$||M_f - K|| \ge \frac{1}{2} ||R_n^N \circ (M_f - K)|| \ge \frac{1}{2} ||R_n^N \circ M_f|| - \frac{1}{2} ||R_n^N \circ K||.$$

On the other side, since  $R_n^N \to 0$  pointwise,  $R_n^N$  tends to zero uniformly on compact sets of  $H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)$ . In particular on the compact set  $\overline{K(B_{H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)})}$ , and therefore  $||R_n^N \circ K|| \to 0$ . We conclude then that

$$\|M_f\|_{\text{ess}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|R_n^N \circ M_f\|.$$

Our aim now is to obtain a lower bound for the right-hand-side of the inequality. To get this, we are going to see that

(4.3) 
$$\|\sigma_n^N \circ M_f(h_z)\|_{H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ when } \|z\|_{\infty} \longrightarrow 1,$$

#### 564 Tomas FERNANDEZ VIDAL, Daniel GALICER & Pablo SEVILLA-PERIS

where  $h_z$  is again defined, for each fixed  $z \in \mathbb{D}^N$ , by

$$h_z(u) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1 - |z_j|^2}{(1 - \overline{z}_j u_j)^2}.$$

To see this, let us consider first, for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}^N$ , the function  $g_z(u) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{(1-\bar{z}_j u_j)^2}$ . This is clearly holomorphic and, hence, has a development as a Taylor series

$$g_z(u) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N} c_\alpha(g_z) u^\alpha$$

for  $u \in \mathbb{D}^N$ . Our first step is to see that the Taylor coefficients up to a fixed degree are bounded uniformly on z. Recall that  $c_{\alpha}(g_z) = \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} g(0)}{\partial u^{\alpha}}$  and, since

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} g_z(u)}{\partial u^{\alpha}} = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(\alpha_j + 1)!}{(1 - \overline{z_j} u_j)^{2 + \alpha_j}} (\overline{z_j})^{\alpha_j},$$

we have

$$c_{\alpha}(g_z) = \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} g_z(0)}{\partial u^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\alpha!} \prod_{j=1}^N (\alpha_j + 1)! (\overline{z_j})^{\alpha_j} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^N (\alpha_j + 1)\right) \overline{z}^{\alpha}.$$

Thus  $|c_{\alpha}(g_z)| \leq (M+1)^N$  whenever  $|\alpha| \leq M$ .

On the other hand, for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$  (note that  $h_z(u) = g_z(u) \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - |z_j|)$  for every u) we have

$$c_{\alpha}(f \cdot h_z) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} (1 - |z_j|^2)\right) \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} \widehat{f}(\beta) \widehat{g}_z(\gamma) \,.$$

Taking all these into account we finally have (recall (4.2)), for each fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\begin{split} \|\sigma_{n}^{N} \circ M_{f}(h_{z})\|_{H_{1}(\mathbb{D}^{N})} \\ &\leqslant \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} 1 - |z_{j}|^{2}\right) \frac{1}{(n+1)^{N}} \sum_{l_{1}, \dots, l_{N}=1}^{N} \sum_{|\alpha_{j}| \leqslant l_{j}} |\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \widehat{f}(\beta) \widehat{g}_{z}(\gamma)| \|u^{\alpha}\|_{H_{1}(\mathbb{D}^{N})} \\ &\leqslant \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} 1 - |z_{j}|^{2}\right) \frac{1}{(n+1)^{N}} \sum_{l_{1}, \dots, l_{N}=1}^{N} \sum_{|\alpha_{j}| \leqslant l_{j}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \|f\|_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^{N})} (N+1)^{N} \,, \end{split}$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

which immediately yields (4.3). Once we have this we can easily conclude the argument. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_n^N \circ M_f\| &= \|M_f - \sigma_n^N \circ M_f\| \\ &\geqslant \|M_f(h_z) - \sigma_n^N \circ M_f(h_z)\|_{H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)} \\ &\geqslant \|M_f(h_z)\|_{H_1(\mathbb{D}^N_2)} - \|\sigma_n^N \circ M_f(h_z)\|_{H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)}, \end{aligned}$$

and since the last term tends to zero if  $||z||_{\infty} \to 1$ , then

$$\|R_n^N \circ M_f\| \ge \limsup_{\|z\| \to 1} \|M_f(h_z)\|_{H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)} \ge \|f\|_{H_\infty(\mathbb{D}^N)} \,,$$

which finally gives

$$||M_f||_{\text{ess}} \ge \frac{1}{2} ||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} = \frac{1}{2} ||M_f||,$$

as we wanted.

To complete the proof we consider the case  $N = \infty$ . So, let us see that

(4.4) 
$$\|M_f\| \ge \|M_f\|_{\text{ess}} \ge C \|M_f\|,$$

where C = 1 if p > 1 and C = 1/2 if p = 1. Let  $K : H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}) \to H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  be a compact operator, and consider for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  the continuous operators  $\mathcal{I}_N : H_p(\mathbb{D}^N) \to H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  given by the inclusion and  $\mathcal{J}_N : H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}) \to H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)$  defined by  $\mathcal{J}(g)(u) = g(u_1, \ldots, u_N, 0) = g_N(u)$  then  $K_N = \mathcal{J}_N \circ K \circ \mathcal{I}_N : H_p(\mathbb{D}^N) \to H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)$  is compact. On the other side we have that  $\mathcal{J}_N \circ M_f \circ \mathcal{I}_N(g) = f_n \cdot g = M_{f_N}(g)$  for every g, furthermore given any operator  $T : H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}) \to H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  and defining  $T_N$  as before we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \sup_{\|g\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})} \leqslant 1} \|T(g)\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})} \geqslant \sup_{\|g\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)} \leqslant 1} \|T(g)\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})} \\ &\geqslant \sup_{\|g\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}^N)} \leqslant 1} \|T_M(g)\|_{H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} = \|T_N\|, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\|M_f - K\| \ge \|M_{f_N} - K_N\| \ge \|M_{f_N}\|_{\text{ess}} \ge C \|f_N\|_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^N)}.$$

Since  $||f_N||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^N)} \to ||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)}$  when  $N \to \infty$  we have (4.4).

We deal now with the case p = 1 and  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Fix  $1 < q < \infty$ and consider  $M_f^q : H_q(\mathbb{D}_2^N) \to H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  the restriction. If  $K : H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^N) \to H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  is compact then its restriction  $K^q : H_q(\mathbb{D}_2^N) \to H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  is also compact and then

$$\begin{split} \|M_{f} - K\|_{H_{1}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{N}) \to H_{1}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{N})} &= \sup_{\|g\|_{H_{1}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{N})} \leqslant 1} \|M_{f}(g) - K(g)\|_{H_{1}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{N})} \\ &\geqslant \sup_{\|g\|_{H_{q}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{N}) \leqslant 1}} \|M_{f}(g) - K(g)\|_{H_{1}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{N})} \\ &= \|M_{f}^{q} - K^{q}\|_{H_{q}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{N}) \to H_{1}(\mathbb{D}^{N})} \\ &\geqslant \|M_{f}^{q}\|_{\text{ess}} \geqslant \|f\|_{H_{1}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{N})}, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from a function version of Theorem 4.2(1) (via the Bohr transform). This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

We can now prove Theorem 4.5.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. — Since for every  $1 \leq p < \infty$  the Bohr lift  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N} : \mathcal{H}_p^{(N)} \to \mathcal{H}_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  and the Bohr transform  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N} : \mathcal{H}_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N) \to \mathcal{H}_p^{(N)}$  are isometries, then an operator  $K : \mathcal{H}_p^{(N)} \to \mathcal{H}_p^{(N)}$  is compact if and only if  $K_h = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N} \circ K \circ \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N} : H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N) \to H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  is a compact operator. On the other side  $f = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N}(D)$  hence  $M_f = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N} \circ M_D \circ \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N}$  and therefore

$$||M_D - K|| = ||\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N} \circ (M_f - K_h) \circ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}_2^N}|| = ||M_f - K_h||.$$

Now, Proposition 4.7 and the isometry of the Bohr transform completes the proof.  $\hfill \Box$ 

To finish this section, we emphasize that Lefèvre [20, Corollary 2,4] proved that, if  $D \in \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  and  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  is the associated multiplication operator, then

$$\|M_D\|_{\text{ess}} = \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}}.$$

Again, using the isometries of the Bohr transform and the Bohr lift, we get that if  $f \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty})$  and  $M_{f}: H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty}) \to H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_{2}^{\infty})$  is the associated multiplication operator, then

$$||M_f||_{\text{ess}} = ||f||_{H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})}.$$

This extends Proposition 4.7 for the case  $p = \infty$ .

Remark 4.8. — It is now natural to ask, for p = 1 and  $p = \infty$ , when is a multiplication operator weakly compact. On the one hand, by [20, Theorem 1.2], the operator  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  is weakly compact if and only if it is compact. Then, as a consequence of Theorem 4.5 with  $p = \infty$ we have that there is no weakly compact multiplication operator on  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ other than the trivial one.

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

The case p = 1 follows a different path. To begin with, we know from [8, Theorem 3.4] that a multiplication operator  $M_f$  on  $H_1(\mathbb{D})$  is weakly compact if and only if it is compact. This and [28, Theorem 5] show that this happens if and only if f = 0. Suppose now that  $f \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^2)$  defines a weakly compact multiplication operator on  $H_1(\mathbb{D}^2)$  and  $f(z_1, z_2) \neq 0$  for some  $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2$ . If we consider the inclusion  $i: H_1(\mathbb{D}) \to H_1(\mathbb{D}^2)$  given by (ih)(z,w) = h(z) and the operator  $\delta_{z_2} : H_1(\mathbb{D}^2) \to H_1(\mathbb{D})$  given by  $(\delta_{z_2}g)(z) = g(z, z_2)$ , it is straightforward to see that  $M_{\delta_{z_2}f}$ , the multiplication operator defined by  $\delta_{z_2} f$  (which is not 0), is  $\delta_{z_2} \circ M_f \circ i$  and, then, is weakly compact. This is a contradiction and shows that the trivial one is the only weakly compact multiplication operator on  $H_1(\mathbb{D}^2)$ . With the same argument we get that a multiplication operator  $M_f$  on  $H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)$  is weakly compact if and only if f = 0. Finally if f defines a weakly compact multiplication operator on  $H_1(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$ , then for each N the function  $f_N(z_1,\ldots,z_N) = f(z_1,\ldots,z_N,0,0,\ldots)$  defines a weakly compact multiplication operator on  $H_1(\mathbb{D}^N)$ , and this forces f to be 0. The isometries between the spaces again show that  $M_D$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is weakly compact if and only if D = 0.

### 5. Spectrum of Multiplication operators

In this section, we provide a characterization of the spectrum of the multiplication operator  $M_D$ , with respect to the image of its associated Dirichlet series in some specific half-planes. Let us first recall some definitions of the spectrum of an operator. We say that  $\lambda$  belongs to the spectrum of  $M_D$ , that we note  $\sigma(M_D)$ , if the operator  $M_D - \lambda I : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$  is not invertible. Now, a number  $\lambda$  can be in the spectrum for different reasons and according to these we can group them into the following subsets:

- If  $M_D \lambda I$  is not injective then  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(M_D)$ , the point spectrum.
- If  $M_D \lambda I$  is injective and  $\operatorname{Ran}(M_D \lambda I)$  is dense (but not closed) in  $\mathcal{H}_p$  then  $\lambda \in \sigma_c(M_D)$ , the continuous spectrum of  $M_D$ .
- If  $M_D \lambda I$  is injective and does not have dense range, then  $\lambda$  belongs to  $\sigma_r(M_D)$ , the residual spectrum.

We are also interested in the approximate spectrum, noted by  $\sigma_{ap}(M_D)$ , given by those values  $\lambda \in \sigma(M_D)$  for which there exist a unit sequence  $(E_n)_n \subseteq \mathcal{H}_p$  such that  $\|M_D(E_n) - \lambda E_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \to 0$ .

Vukotić, in [28, Theorem 7], proved that the spectrum of a multiplication operator, induced by the function f in the one dimensional disc, coincides

with  $f(\mathbb{D})$ . In the case of the continuous spectrum, the description is given from the outer functions in  $H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ . The notion of outer function can be extended to higher dimensions. If  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , a function  $f \in H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  is said to be outer if it satisfies

$$\log |f(0)| = \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \log |F(\omega)| \,\mathrm{d}\omega,$$

with  $f \sim F$ . A closed subspace S of  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$  is said to be invariant, if for every  $g \in S$  it is verified that  $z_i \cdot g \in S$  for every monomial. Finally, a function f is said to be cyclic, if the invariant subspace generated by f is exactly  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^N)$ . The mentioned characterization comes from the generalized Beurling's Theorem, which asserts that f is a cyclic vector if and only if fis an outer function. In several variables, there exist outer functions which fail to be cyclic (see [24, Theorem 4.4.8]). We give now the aforementioned characterization of the spectrum of a multiplication operator.

THEOREM 5.1. — Given  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $D \in \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  a non-zero Dirichlet series with associated multiplication operator  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$ . Then

- (1)  $M_D$  is onto if and only if there is some c > 0 such that  $|D(s)| \ge c$  for every  $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$ .
- (2)  $\sigma(M_D) = \overline{D(\mathbb{C}_0)}.$
- (3) If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

(5.1) 
$$\sigma_c(M_D) \subseteq \overline{D(\mathbb{C}_0)} \setminus D(\mathbb{C}_{\frac{1}{2}})$$

Even more, if  $\lambda \in \sigma_c(M_D)$  then  $f - \lambda = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty}}(D) - \lambda$  is an outer function in  $H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$ . In particular, if D is not constant, then  $D(\mathbb{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \subseteq \sigma_r(M_D)$ .

(4) If  $p = \infty$ , then  $\sigma_c(M_D) = \emptyset$ . In particular, if D is not constant, then  $\sigma_r(M_D) = \overline{D(\mathbb{C}_0)}$ .

The same holds if we replace in each case  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$  (with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Proof.

(1). — Because of the injectivity of  $M_D$ , and the Closed Graph Theorem, the mapping  $M_D$  is surjective if and only if  $M_D$  is invertible and this happens if and only if  $M_{D^{-1}}$  is well defined and continuous, but then  $D^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  and [22, Theorem 6.2.1] gives the conclusion.

(2). — Note that  $M_D - \lambda I = M_{D-\lambda}$ ; this and the previous result give that  $\lambda \notin \sigma(M_D)$  if and only if  $|D(s) - \lambda| > \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $s \in \mathbb{C}_0$ , and this happens if and only if  $\lambda \notin \overline{D(\mathbb{C}_0)}$ .

(3). — Take  $\lambda \in D(\mathbb{C}_{\frac{1}{2}})$  and let  $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}$  be such that  $D(s_0) = \lambda$ . Given  $E \in \mathcal{H}_p$ , we have

$$(M_D - \lambda I)(E)(s_0) = M_{D-\lambda}(E)(s_0) = (D(s_0) - \lambda)E(s_0) = 0.$$

Then the range of  $M_D - \lambda I$  is contained in the subspace  $\{E \in \mathcal{H}_p : E(s_0) = 0\}$ . By the continuity of the evaluation at  $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ , this subspace is closed and also proper (because 1 does not belong to it). Hence the range cannot be dense in  $\mathcal{H}_p$  and we have (5.1).

Now, since  $\sigma_c(M_D) = \sigma_c(M_f)$  then, if  $\lambda \in \sigma_c(M_D)$ , we have that  $M_{f-\lambda}(H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty))$  is dense in  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$ . Consider  $S(f-\lambda)$  the smallest closed subspace of  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$  such that  $z_i \cdot (f-\lambda) \in S(f-\lambda)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $\lambda \in \sigma_c(M_f)$  and note that

$$\{(f - \lambda) \cdot P : P \text{ polynomial}\} \subseteq S(f - \lambda) \subseteq H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$$

Since the polynomials are dense in  $H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$ , and  $S(f - \lambda)$  is closed, we obtain that  $S(f - \lambda) = H_p(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$ . Then  $f - \lambda$  is a cyclic vector in  $H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  and therefore the function  $f - \lambda \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_2^{\infty})$  is an outer function (see [16, Corollary 5.5]).

Finally, let us see that the last statement holds. If D is not constant then  $M_D - \lambda I$  is injective (i.e.  $\sigma_p(M_D) = \emptyset$ ), and therefore,  $\sigma_r(M_D) = \sigma(M_D) \setminus \sigma_c(M_D)$ . As a consequence,  $\sigma_r(M_D)$  must contain the set  $D(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ .

(4). — To see that  $\sigma_c(M_D) = \emptyset$ , take  $\lambda \in \sigma(M_D) = \overline{D(\mathbb{C}_0)}$  and some sequence in  $D(\mathbb{C}_0)$  such that  $s_n \to \lambda$ . Now, given  $E \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$  we have that  $(E(s_n))_n$  is bounded and, then,

$$\|1 - (M_D - \lambda I)(E)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \ge \limsup_{n \to \infty} |1 - (D(s_n) - \lambda)E(s_n)| = 1.$$

Hence the range of  $M_D - \lambda$  is not dense and therefore  $\lambda \notin \sigma_c(M_D)$ . Again, if D is not constant then  $\sigma_p(M_D) = \emptyset$  and so  $\sigma_r(M_D) = \overline{D(\mathbb{C}_0)}$ .

Note that a value  $\lambda$  belongs to the approximate spectrum of a multiplication operator  $M_D$  if and only if  $M_D - \lambda I = M_{D-\lambda}$  is not bounded from below. If D is not constant and equal to  $\lambda$  then,  $M_{D-\lambda}$  is injective. Therefore, being bounded from below is equivalent to having closed ranged. Thus, we need to understand when does this operator have closed range. We therefore devote some lines to discuss this property.

The range of the multiplication operators behaves very differently depending on whether or not it is an endomorphism. We see now that if  $p \neq q$  then multiplication operators never have closed range.

569

PROPOSITION 5.2. — Given  $1 \leq q and <math>D \in \mathcal{H}_t$ , with t =pq/(p-q) if  $p < \infty$  and t = q if  $p = \infty$ , then  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q$  does not have a closed range. The same holds if we replace  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$  (with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Proof. — Since  $M_D: \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q$  is injective, the range of  $M_D$  is closed if and only if there exists C > 0 such that  $C \|E\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \leq \|D \cdot E\|_{\mathcal{H}_q}$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{H}_p$ . Suppose that this is the case and choose some Dirichlet polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{H}_t$  such that  $||D - P||_{\mathcal{H}_t} < \frac{C}{2}$ . Given  $E \in \mathcal{H}_p$  we have

$$\begin{split} \|P \cdot E\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} &= \|D \cdot E - (D - P) \cdot E\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \\ &\geqslant \|D \cdot E\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} - \|(D - P) \cdot E\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \\ &\geqslant C\|E\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} - \|D - P\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}\|E\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \geqslant \frac{C}{2}\|E\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}. \end{split}$$

Then  $M_P: \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_q$  has closed range. Let now  $(Q_n)_n$  be a sequence of polynomials converging in  $\mathcal{H}_q$  but not in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , then

$$\frac{C}{2} \|Q_n - Q_m\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \leq \|P \cdot (Q_n - Q_m)\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \leq \|P\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \|Q_n - Q_m\|_{\mathcal{H}_q},$$
  
in is a contradiction.

which is a contradiction.

As we mentioned before, the behaviour of the range is very different when the operator is an endomorphism, that is, when p = q. Recently, in [2, Theorem 4.4], Antezana, Carando and Scotti have established a series of equivalences for certain Riesz systems in  $L_2(0,1)$ . Within the proof of this result, they also characterized those Dirichlet series  $D \in \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ , for which their associated multiplication operator  $M_D: \mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2$  have closed range. The proof also works for  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . In our aim to be as clear and complete as possible, we develop below the arguments giving all the necessary definitions.

A character is a function  $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$  that satisfies

- $\gamma(mn) = \gamma(m)\gamma(n)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- $|\gamma(n)| = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The set of all characters is denoted by  $\Xi$ . Given a Dirichlet series D = $\sum a_n n^{-s}$ , each character  $\gamma \in \Xi$  defines a new Dirichlet series by

(5.2) 
$$D^{\gamma}(s) = \sum a_n \gamma(n) n^{-s}.$$

Each character  $\gamma \in \Xi$  can be identified with an element  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ , taking  $\omega = (\gamma(\mathfrak{p}_1), \gamma(\mathfrak{p}_2), \ldots)$ , and then we can rewrite (5.2) as

$$D^{\omega}(s) = \sum a_n \omega(n)^{\alpha(n)} n^{-s},$$

being  $\alpha(n)$  such that  $n = \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha(n)}$ .

Note that if  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}}(D)(u) = F(u) \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$ , then by comparing coefficients we have that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}}(D^{\omega})(u) = F(\omega \cdot u) \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}).$$

By [11, Lemma 11.22], for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$  the limit

$$\lim_{\sigma \to 0} D^{\omega}(\sigma + it), \text{ exists for almost all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using [25, Theorem 2], we can choose a representative  $\widetilde{F} \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$  of F which satisfies

$$\widetilde{F}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \lim_{\sigma \to 0^+} D^{\omega}(\sigma) & \text{if the limit exists;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To see this, consider

$$A \coloneqq \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty} : \lim_{\sigma \to 0} D^{\omega}(\sigma) \text{ exists.} \right\},\$$

and let us see that |A| = 1. To that, take  $T_t : \mathbb{T}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$  the Kronecker flow defined by  $T_t(\omega) = (\mathfrak{p}^{-it}\omega)$ , and notice that  $T_t(\omega) \in A$  if and only if  $\lim_{\sigma \to 0} D^{T_t(\omega)}(\sigma)$  exists. Since

$$D^{T_t(\omega)}(\sigma) = \sum a_n (\mathfrak{p}^{-it}\omega)^{\alpha(n)} n^{-\sigma} = \sum a_n \omega^{\alpha(n)} n^{-(\sigma+it)} = D^{\omega}(\sigma+it),$$

then for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$  we have that  $T_t(\omega) \in A$  for almost all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Finally, since  $\chi_A \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$ , applying the Birkhoff Theorem for the Kronecker flow [22, Theorem 2.2.5], for  $\omega_0 = (1, 1, 1, ...)$  we have

$$|A| = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}} \chi_A(\omega) \, \mathrm{d}\omega = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^{R} \chi_A(T_t(\omega_0)) \, \mathrm{d}t = 1.$$

Then  $\widetilde{F} \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$ , and to see that  $\widetilde{F}$  is a representative of F it is enough to compare their Fourier coefficients (see again [25, Theorem 2]). From now to the end F is always  $\widetilde{F}$ .

Fixing the notation

$$D^{\omega}(it_0) = \lim_{\sigma \to 0} D^{\omega}(\sigma + it_0),$$

then taking  $t_0 = 0$ , we get

$$F(\omega) = D^{\omega}(0)$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ . Moreover, given  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

(5.3) 
$$D^{\omega}(it_0) = \lim_{\sigma \to 0^+} D^{\omega}(\sigma + it_0) = \lim_{\sigma \to 0^+} D^{T_{t_0}(\omega)}(\sigma) = F(T_{t_0}(\omega)).$$

From this identity one has the following.

TOME 75 (2025), FASCICULE 2

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** — The followings conditions are equivalent.

- (1) There exists  $\tilde{t}_0$  such that  $|D^{\omega}(\tilde{t}_0)| \ge \varepsilon$  for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ .
- (2) For all  $t_0$  there exists  $B_{t_0} \subset \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$  with total measure such that  $|D^{\omega}(it_0)| \ge \varepsilon$  for all  $\omega \in B_{t_0}$ .

*Proof.* — If (1) holds, let us define  $B_{\tilde{t}_0}$  as the set of total measure given by

$$B_{\tilde{t}_0} = \{ \omega \in \mathbb{T}^\infty : |D^\omega(i\tilde{t}_0)| \ge \varepsilon \}.$$

Now, take  $t_0$  and consider

$$B_{t_0} = \left\{ \mathfrak{p}^{-i(-t_0+\tilde{t}_0)} \cdot \omega : \ \omega \in B_{\tilde{t}_0} \right\},\,$$

which is clearly a total measure set. Take  $\omega' \in B_{t_0}$  and choose  $\omega \in B_{\tilde{t}_0}$ such that  $\omega' = \mathfrak{p}^{-i(-t_0+\tilde{t}_0)} \cdot \omega$ , then by (5.3) we have that

$$|D^{\omega'}(it_0)| = |F(T_{\tilde{t}_0}(\omega))| \ge \varepsilon,$$

and this gives (2). The converse implications holds trivially.

We now give an  $\mathcal{H}_p$ -version of [2, Theorem 4.4].

THEOREM 5.4. — Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $D \in \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) There exists m > 0 such that  $|F(\omega)| \ge m$  for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ ;
- (2) The operator  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$  has closed range;
- (3) There exists m > 0 such that for almost all  $(\gamma, t) \in \Xi \times \mathbb{R}$  we have

 $|D^{\gamma}(it)| \ge m.$ 

Even more, in that case,

$$\inf \left\{ \|M_D(E)\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} : E \in \mathcal{H}_p, \|E\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} = 1 \right\}$$
  
= essinf { $|F(\omega)| : \omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ } = essinf { $|D^{\gamma}(it)| : (\gamma, t) \in \Xi \times \mathbb{R}$ }.

Proof.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2).$  —  $M_D$  has closed range if and only if the range of  $M_F$  is closed. Because of the injectivity of  $M_F$  we have, by Open Mapping Theorem, that  $M_F$  has closed range if and only if there exists a positive constant m > 0 such that

$$\|M_F(G)\|_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} \ge m \|G\|_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)},$$

for every  $G \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ . If  $|F(\omega)| \ge m$  a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$ , then for  $G \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$  we have that

$$\|M_F(G)\|_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} = \|F \cdot G\|_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |FG(\omega)|^p \,\mathrm{d}\omega\right)^{1/p} \ge m\|G\|_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)}.$$

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1).$  — Let m > 0 be such that  $||M_F(G)||_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} \ge m ||G||_{H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)}$ for all  $G \in H_p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ . Let us consider

$$A = \{ \omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty} : |F(\omega)| < m \}.$$

Since  $\chi_A \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ , by the density of the trigonometric polynomials in  $L^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$  (see [11, Proposition 5.5]) there exist a sequence  $(P_k)_k$  of degree  $n_k$  in  $N_k$  variables (in z and  $\overline{z}$ ) such that

$$\lim_{k} P_k = \chi_A \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty).$$

Therefore

$$m^{p}|A| = m^{p} \|\chi_{A}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})}^{p} = m^{p} \lim_{k} \|P_{k}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})}^{p}$$
$$= m^{p} \lim_{k} \|z_{1}^{n_{k}} \cdots z_{N_{k}}^{n_{k}} P_{k}\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})}^{p}$$
$$\leq \liminf_{k} \|M_{F}(z_{1}^{n_{k}} \cdots z_{N_{k}}^{n_{k}} P_{k})\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})}^{p}$$
$$= \|F \cdot \chi_{A}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})}^{p} = \int_{A} |F(\omega)|^{p} d\omega.$$

Since  $|F(\omega)| < m$  for all  $\omega \in A$ , this implies that |A| = 0.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . — By the definition of F we have

$$m \leq |F(\omega)| = \lim_{\sigma \to 0^+} |D^{\omega}(\sigma)|$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ . Combining this with Proposition 5.3 we get that the *t*-sections of the set

$$C = \{ (\omega, t) \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty} \times \mathbb{R} : |D^{\omega}(it)| < \varepsilon \},\$$

have zero measure. As a corollary of Fubini's Theorem we get that C has measure zero. The converse  $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$  also follows from Fubini's Theorem. The last equality follows from the proven equivalences.

In the case of polynomials, using the continuity of the polynomials and Kronecker's Theorem (see e.g. [11, Proposition 3.4]), the condition of Theorem 5.4 is restricted to the image of the polynomial on the line of complex with zero real part. As a consequence, one can extend this characterization to the Dirichlet series belonging to  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_0)$ , that is the closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  given by the Dirichlet series that are uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{C}_0$ (see [4, Definition 2.1]).

COROLLARY 5.5. — Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  then

(1) Let  $P \in \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  be a Dirichlet polynomial. Then  $M_P : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$  has closed range if and only if there exists a constant m > 0 such that  $|P(it)| \ge m$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

574 Tomas FERNANDEZ VIDAL, Daniel GALICER & Pablo SEVILLA-PERIS

(2) Let  $D \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_0)$ , then  $M_D : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$  has closed range if and only if there exists a constant m > 0 such that  $|D(it)| \ge m$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Even more, in each case

$$\inf\{\|M_D(E)\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} : E \in \mathcal{H}_p, \ \|E\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} = 1\} = \inf\{|D(it)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Proof.

(1). — Let  $F = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}}(P)$  then, by Theorem 5.4,  $M_P$  has close range if and only if there exists a constant m > 0 such that  $|F(\omega)| \ge m$  a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ . Since  $F(\omega) = \sum a_{\alpha} \omega^{\alpha}$  is continuous and by Kronecker's Theorem

$$\{(\mathfrak{p}_1^{-it},\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_N^{-it},\omega) : t \in \mathbb{R}, \ \omega \in \mathbb{T}^\infty\}$$

is dense in  $\mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ , then  $M_P$  has closed range if and only if

$$|F(\mathfrak{p}_1^{-it},\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_N^{-it},\omega)| \ge m$$
 for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^{\infty}$ .

The result is concluded from the fact that

$$F(\mathfrak{p}_1^{-it},\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_N^{-it},\omega)=\sum a_\alpha\mathfrak{p}_1^{-it\alpha_1}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_N^{-it\alpha_N}=\sum a_nn^{-it}=P(it).$$

(2). — Since D is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{C}_0$  then D admits a uniformly continuous extension to the half-plane  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s \ge 0\}$ . By [4, Theorem 2.3], D is the uniform limit on  $\mathbb{C}_0$  of a sequence of Dirichlet polynomials  $P_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$  be the closed subspace of  $H_\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$  given by the Bohr transform of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_0)$ . If  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}^\infty}(D) = F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ , since it is the uniform limit of polynomials, then F is continuous. Then, given  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have that

$$|F(\mathfrak{p}^{-it})| = \lim_{n} |\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}^{\infty}}(P_n)(\mathfrak{p}^{-it})| = \lim_{n} |P_n(it)| = |D(it)|.$$

Again, this together with Theorem 5.4, Kronecker's Theorem and the continuity of F give the conclusion.

For what was said above, in the non trivial case, a value  $\lambda$  belongs to the approximate spectrum of  $M_D$  if and only if the range of  $M_{D-\lambda}$  is not closed. Then, Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 5.5 give us a characterization of the approximate spectrum. For this, we need the definition of the essential range of the function  $[(\gamma, t) \rightsquigarrow D^{\gamma}(it)]$ . That is,

$$\Big\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}: \text{ for all } \varepsilon>0, \ \mu\big\{(\gamma,t):|D^{\gamma}(it)-\lambda|<\varepsilon\big\}>0\Big\},\$$

where  $\mu$  stands for the Haar measure in  $\Xi \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Theorem 5.6. — Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ 

(1) If 
$$D \in \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$$
, then  $\sigma_{ap}(M_D) = \operatorname{essran}[(\gamma, t) \rightsquigarrow D^{\gamma}(it)]$ .

(2) If  $D \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_0)$ , then  $\sigma_{ap}(M_D) = \overline{\{D(it) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}}$ .

Proof.

(1). — A value  $\lambda$  belongs to  $\sigma_{ap}(M_D)$  if and only if the range of  $M_{D-\lambda}$  is not closed; and by Theorem 5.4, if and only if

$$\operatorname{essinf}\{|D^{\gamma}(it) - \lambda| : (\gamma, t) \in \Xi \times \mathbb{R}\} = \operatorname{essinf}\{|(D - \lambda)^{\gamma}(it)| : (\gamma, t) \in \Xi \times \mathbb{R}\} = 0,$$

but that is equivalent to say that the measure of  $\{|D^{\gamma}(it) - \lambda| < \varepsilon : (\gamma, t) \in \Xi \times \mathbb{R}\}$  is bigger than zero for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In other words,  $\lambda$  belongs to the essential range of  $[(\gamma, t) \rightsquigarrow D^{\gamma}(it)]$ .

(2). — Following the same arguments used in 1 and using Corollary 5.5 we have that  $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(M_D)$  if and only if  $\inf\{|D(it) - \lambda| : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = 0$ , if and only if  $\lambda \in \overline{\{D(it) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}}$ .

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- A. ALEMAN, J.-F. OLSEN & E. SAKSMAN, "Fatou and brothers Riesz theorems in the infinite-dimensional polydisc", J. Anal. Math. 137 (2019), no. 1, p. 429-447.
- [2] J. ANTEZANA, D. CARANDO & M. SCOTTI, "Splitting the Riesz basis condition for systems of dilated functions through Dirichlet series", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 507 (2022), no. 1, article no. 125733 (20 pages).
- [3] T. M. APOSTOL, Introduction to analytic number theory, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 1976, xii+338 pages.
- [4] R. M. ARON, F. BAYART, P. M. GAUTHIER, M. MAESTRE & V. NESTORIDIS, "Dirichlet approximation and universal Dirichlet series", Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 145 (2017), no. 10, p. 4449-4464.
- [5] F. BAYART, "Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and their composition operators", Monatsh. Math. 136 (2002), no. 3, p. 203-236.
- [6] L. BROWN & A. L. SHIELDS, "Cyclic vectors in the Dirichlet space", Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 285 (1984), no. 1, p. 269-303.
- [7] B. J. COLE & T. W. GAMELIN, "Representing measures and Hardy spaces for the infinite polydisk algebra", Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 53 (1986), no. 1, p. 112-142.
- [8] M. D. CONTRERAS & A. G. HERNÁNDEZ-DÍAZ, "Weighted composition operators on Hardy spaces", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 263 (2001), no. 1, p. 224-233.
- [9] J. B. CONWAY, A course in functional analysis, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 96, Springer, 1990, xvi+399 pages.
- [10] A. DEFANT, T. FERNÁNDEZ VIDAL, I. SCHOOLMANN & P. SEVILLA-PERIS, "Fréchet spaces of general Dirichlet series", Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM 115 (2021), no. 3, article no. 138 (34 pages).

- [11] A. DEFANT, D. GARCÍA, M. MAESTRE & P. SEVILLA-PERIS, Dirichlet Series and Holomorphic Functions in High Dimensions, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 37, Cambridge University Press, 2019, xxvii+680 pages.
- [12] A. DEFANT & A. PÉREZ, "Hardy spaces of vector-valued Dirichlet series", Stud. Math. 243 (2018), no. 1, p. 53-78.
- [13] R. DEMAZEUX, "Essential norms of weighted composition operators between Hardy spaces  $H^p$  and  $H^q$  for  $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ ", Stud. Math. **206** (2011), no. 3, p. 191-209.
- [14] J. DIESTEL, Sequences and series in Banach spaces, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 92, Springer, 1984, xii+261 pages.
- [15] T. FERNÁNDEZ VIDAL, D. GALICER & P. SEVILLA-PERIS, "A Montel-type theorem for Hardy spaces of holomorphic functions", *Mediterr. J. Math.* **19** (2022), no. 5, article no. 209 (13 pages).
- [16] K. GUO & J. NI, "Dirichlet series and the Nevanlinna class in infinitely many variables", https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.01993, 2022.
- [17] H. HEDENMALM, P. LINDQVIST & K. SEIP, "A Hilbert space of Dirichlet series and systems of dilated functions in L<sup>2</sup>(0, 1)", Duke Math. J. 86 (1997), no. 1, p. 1-37.
- [18] S. KAIJSER, "A note on dual Banach spaces", Math. Scand. 41 (1977), no. 2, p. 325-330.
- [19] S. V. KONYAGIN & H. QUEFFÉLEC, "The translation <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> in the theory of Dirichlet series", Real Anal. Exch. 27 (2001), no. 1, p. 155-175.
- [20] P. LEFÈVRE, "Essential norms of weighted composition operators on the space H<sup>∞</sup> of Dirichlet series", Stud. Math. **191** (2009), no. 1, p. 57-66.
- [21] H. QUEFFÉLEC, "H. Bohr's vision of ordinary Dirichlet series; old and new results", J. Anal. 3 (1995), p. 43-60.
- [22] H. QUEFFÉLEC & M. QUEFFÉLEC, Diophantine approximation and Dirichlet series, second ed., Texts and Readings in Mathematics, vol. 80, Hindustan Book Agency; Springer, 2020, xvii+287 pages.
- [23] W. RUDIN, Fourier analysis on groups, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 12, Interscience Publishers, 1962, ix+285 pages.
- [24] , Function theory in polydiscs, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1969, vii+188 pages.
- [25] E. SAKSMAN & K. SEIP, "Integral means and boundary limits of Dirichlet series", Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 41 (2009), no. 3, p. 411-422.
- [26] M. STESSIN & K. ZHU, "Generalized factorization in Hardy spaces and the commutant of Toeplitz operators", Can. J. Math. 55 (2003), no. 2, p. 379-400.
- [27] G. TENENBAUM, Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory. Translated from the second French edition (1995) by C. B. Thomas, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 46, Cambridge University Press, 1995, translated from the second French edition (1995) by C. B. Thomas, xvi+448 pages.
- [28] D. VUKOTIĆ, "Analytic Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space H<sup>p</sup>: a survey", Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 10 (2003), no. 1, p. 101-113.

Manuscrit reçu le 13 mai 2022, révisé le 6 mars 2023, accepté le 16 mai 2023.

Tomas FERNANDEZ VIDAL Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Cs. Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires and IMAS-CONICET, Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón I (C1428EGA) C.A.B.A. (Argentina) tfvidal@dm.uba.ar

Daniel GALICER Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Cs. Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires and IMAS-CONICET, Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón I (C1428EGA) C.A.B.A. (Argentina) dgalicer@dm.uba.ar

Pablo SEVILLA-PERIS Institut Universitari de Matemàtica Pura i Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Cmno Vera s/n 46022 València (Spain) psevilla@mat.upv.es