



ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Zhixin XIE

Rationally connected threefolds with nef and bad anticanonical divisor

Article à paraître, mis en ligne le 17 mai 2024, 32 p.

Article mis à disposition par son auteur selon les termes de la licence
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE



<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/>



Les *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* sont membres du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte

www.centre-mersenne.org

e-ISSN : 1777-5310

RATIONALLY CONNECTED THREEFOLDS WITH NEF AND BAD ANTICANONICAL DIVISOR

by Zhixin XIE (*)

ABSTRACT. — Let X be a smooth complex projective rationally connected threefold with nef anticanonical divisor $-K_X$. We give a classification for the case when $-K_X$ is not semi-ample.

RÉSUMÉ. — Soit X une variété complexe projective lisse rationnellement connexe de dimension trois à fibré anticanonique $-K_X$ nef. On donne une classification dans le cas où $-K_X$ n'est pas semiample.

1. Introduction

Let X be a complex projective manifold. We say that X is a Fano manifold if the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample. The classification of three-dimensional Fano manifolds by Mori and Mukai (for $\rho > 1$, [20]) and by Iskovskih (for $\rho = 1$, [9, 10]) is one of the first achievements of the minimal model program with an impressive number of applications. Projective manifolds with nef anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ are a natural generalisation of Fano manifolds, and one hopes to similarly fulfil a complete classification for this class of manifolds. In [6], Cao and Höring showed a decomposition theorem for these manifolds: the universal cover \tilde{X} of X decomposes as a product

$$\tilde{X} \simeq \mathbb{C}^q \times \prod Y_j \times \prod S_k \times Z,$$

where Y_j are irreducible Calabi–Yau manifolds, S_k are irreducible hyperkähler manifolds, and Z is a rationally connected manifold.

Keywords: Minimal Model Program, rationally connected threefolds, anticanonical class.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14E30, 14M22.

(*) The author is supported by the IDEX UCA JEDI project (ANR-15-IDEX-01) and the MathIT project.

In view of this result, the most interesting case is when X is rationally connected: it is also the most difficult one. Before considering classification for this class of varieties, one should check whether in any given dimension there are only finitely many families of varieties for this class. This property is known under the name of boundedness (see Definition 2.1). Recently, Birkar, Di Cerbo and Svaldi proved in [4, Theorem 1.6] that birationally, there are only finitely many deformation families of projective rationally connected threefolds with ϵ -lc singularities and nef but not numerically trivial anticanonical divisor. Thus it is in principle possible to classify these varieties as has been done for Fano threefolds. If the anticanonical divisor is semi-ample, then there is a standard approach to the classification via a discussion of the anticanonical map and extremal contractions.

We call a nef divisor good if its Iitaka dimension and its numerical dimension are equal, otherwise we call it bad. By a result of Kawamata [13, Theorem 6.1], if $-K_X$ is good then it is semi-ample. In this paper we focus on the much more delicate case where $-K_X$ is nef but not semi-ample. Bauer and Peternell have shown in [3, Theorem 1] that this implies that the nef dimension (see Definition 2.3) $n(-K_X) = 3$, the numerical dimension $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ and the Iitaka dimension $\kappa(-K_X) = 1$ (in fact they showed that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) \geq 3$). It is thus natural to start the investigation with the base locus of the anticanonical system. We start by addressing the case in which the base locus has no divisorial part – a case that was not covered in [3, Proposition 7.2]. The first main theorem of this paper is the following effective classification result for this case and we will give some examples in the first part of the paper (Section 3):

THEOREM 1.1. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system has no fixed part. Then $-K_X \sim 2D$ where D is a Cartier divisor, and X is one of the following:*

- (1) $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a del Pezzo fibration with general fiber isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})$ with

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1),$$

and X is an element of the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(2) + 4F|$, where F is a general fiber of $\pi: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

- (2) $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth rational surface Y with $-K_Y$ nef, where \mathcal{E} is a nef rank 2 vector bundle with $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = -K_Y$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = K_Y^2$, given by an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{I}_Z is the ideal sheaf of a length- $c_2(\mathcal{E})$ subscheme Z of Y .

- (3) $X = \text{Bl}_p(Y)$ is the blow-up in a point p of a smooth almost del Pezzo threefold Y of degree 1 such that p is not the base point of $|\frac{1}{2}K_Y|$.

Conversely, let X be a variety that appears in one of the above cases with respectively the following conditions:

- (1) $X \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(2) + 4F|$ is a very general member;
- (2) the points of the finite set Z are in sufficiently general position on Y ;
- (3) the blown up point $p \in Y$ is sufficiently general.

Then $-K_X$ nef, not semi-ample and $-K_X \sim 2D$ where D is a Cartier divisor.

The class of varieties in case (3) of the above theorem also appeared in [18, Section 2] where an explicit and pathological example is constructed. For a complete classification list of smooth almost del Pezzo threefolds of degree 1, we refer to [11]. Hence the smooth threefolds with nef and not semi-ample anticanonical divisor whose base locus has no divisorial part are completely classified.

The second part of the paper (Section 4) deals with the case when the base locus has a divisorial part. It turns out, that after a sequence of flops, one can assume that the mobile part is always nef. We will show that the mobile part does not have base locus of codimension 2 and to be more precise:

THEOREM 1.2. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system has non-empty fixed part. Then there exists a finite sequence of flops $\psi: X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that the following holds:*

- X' is smooth,
- $-K_{X'}$ is nef,
- the mobile part $|B'|$ of $|-K_{X'}|$ is nef.

In this case, $B'^2 = 0$ and $|B'|$ is base-point-free. It induces a fibration $f: X' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Back to the problem of birational boundedness for the family of smooth projective rationally connected threefolds with nef (and not semi-ample)

anticanonical divisor, we deduce the boundedness from Theorem 1.1 (and Proposition 3.4) for the case when the anticanonical system has no fixed part. As for the case when there is a non-empty fixed part, the boundedness does not follow from Theorem 1.2. However we expect a complete classification for this case as in the previous one. In the case of Theorem 1.2, the structure of X' can be complicated. Examples of such X' which are not isomorphic to a product can be found when the general fiber of f is \mathbb{P}^2 blown up in 9 points such that the unique element in the anticanonical system is a smooth elliptic curve, we refer to the author's thesis [23].

We give now a sketch of proof of Theorem 1.2. The idea is to argue by contradiction and suppose that the mobile part of the anticanonical system has base locus of codimension two. We first give a description of the anticanonical system in this case:

PROPOSITION 1.3. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system has non-empty fixed part and that the mobile part $|B|$ is nef. If $B^2 \neq 0$, then*

$$|-K_X| = A + |2H|$$

where H is a prime divisor. In this case, let F be a general member in $|H|$. Then both A and F are \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over a smooth elliptic curve, such that their anticanonical divisors $-K_A$ (resp. $-K_F$) are nef and divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(A)$ (resp. $\text{NS}(F)$). Furthermore, both $A \cdot F$ and F^2 are smooth elliptic curves.

By running the minimal model program, with the classification of contractions by Mori for smooth threefolds [19], we show in this case:

PROPOSITION 1.4. — *In the setting of Proposition 1.3, there exists a finite sequence*

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} X_k \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k+1}} Y$$

with $k = 0$ or 1 , where X_i is a smooth threefold with $-K_{X_i}$ nef such that $|-K_{X_i}|$ has non-empty fixed part, φ_i is a blow-up along a smooth elliptic curve and Y is a smooth threefold with $-K_Y$ nef, $n(-K_Y) = 3$, $\nu(-K_Y) = 2$ such that $|-K_Y| = |2G|$ has no fixed part and a general member in $|G|$ is isomorphic to F .

The threefold Y is classified in Theorem 1.1. Comparing the general member of $|-K_Y|$ in Theorem 1.1 with the geometry of the surface F will then lead to a contradiction.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my supervisor Professor Andreas Höring for numerous discussions and constant support. I also heartily thank Professor Cinzia Casagrande for her careful proofreading and valuable comments. I thank the referee for suggestions to improve the exposition.

2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. — *A set of projective varieties \mathbb{X} is said to be bounded if there exists $\phi: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ a projective morphism of schemes of finite type such that each $X \in \mathbb{X}$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{X}_b for some closed point $b \in \mathfrak{B}$.*

Notation 2.2 ([16, Definition 2.1.3, Remark 2.3.17]). — Let X be a normal projective variety and D an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X . We denote by

- $\kappa(D)$ the Iitaka (Kodaira) dimension of D .
- $\nu(D) := \max\{n \mid D^n \neq 0\}$ the numerical dimension of D when D is nef.

THEOREM 2.3 ([2, Theorem 2.1]). — *Let L be a nef line bundle on a normal projective variety X . Then there exists an almost holomorphic dominant meromorphic map $f: X \dashrightarrow B$ with connected fibers such that*

- (1) *L is numerically trivial on all compact fibers F of f of dimension $\dim X - \dim B$*
- (2) *for a general point $x \in X$ and every irreducible curve C passing through x such that $\dim f(C) > 0$, we have $L \cdot C > 0$.*

The map f is unique up to birational equivalence of B . In particular $\dim B$ is an invariant of L and we set $n(L) := \dim B$, the nef dimension of L .

Note that the nef dimension of a nef line bundle L on X is maximal, i.e. $n(L) = \dim X$, if and only if the variety X is not covered by L -trivial curves. Moreover, we have the following inequalities which relate the above three invariants:

THEOREM 2.4 ([13, Proposition 2.2], [2, Proposition 2.8]). — *Let X be a smooth projective variety. Let L be a nef divisor on X . Then we have*

$$\kappa(L) \leq \nu(L) \leq n(L).$$

LEMMA 2.5. — *Let X be a normal projective \mathbb{Q} -factorial variety with $-K_X$ nef. Let D be an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor such that the pair (X, D) is log canonical. If D is not nef, then there exists a $(K_X + D)$ -negative extremal ray Γ such that $D \cdot \Gamma < 0$.*

Proof. — Suppose that there is no such extremal ray. Since D is not nef, there exists an irreducible curve $l \subset X$ such that $D \cdot l < 0$. Then we can write

$$l = \sum_i \lambda_i \Gamma_i + R,$$

where

- $\lambda_i \geq 0$;
- Γ_i are $(K_X + D)$ -negative extremal rays. By assumption they all satisfy $D \cdot \Gamma_i \geq 0$;
- $(K_X + D) \cdot R \geq 0$.

Therefore,

$$0 > D \cdot l = \sum_i \lambda_i D \cdot \Gamma_i + D \cdot R \geq D \cdot R,$$

i.e. $D \cdot R < 0$.

Since $(K_X + D) \cdot R \geq 0$, we have

$$K_X \cdot R \geq -D \cdot R > 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that $-K_X$ is nef. □

LEMMA 2.6. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold. Let D be a divisor with $\kappa(D) = 1$. Suppose that the linear system $|D|$ has no fixed part and the general member in $|D|$ is reducible. Then a general member in $|D|$ is linearly equivalent to mH , where H is a prime divisor and $m \geq 2$. Furthermore, $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 2$ and $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(H|_H)) = 1$.*

Proof. — Let $\phi: X \dashrightarrow C$ be the rational map determined by the linear system $|D|$. Then $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ as $\kappa(D) = 1$ and $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

Let $\mu: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a birational modification which resolves the base locus of $|D|$. Let F be a general fiber of the induced morphism $\tilde{\phi}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow C$. Since $|D|$ has no fixed part, the pushforward $\mu_*(F)$ is a general member of $|D|$. Furthermore, the general fiber F is not connected as the general member in $|D|$ is reducible.

Let $\tilde{\phi}': \tilde{X} \rightarrow C'$ be the Stein factorization of the morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\nu: C' \rightarrow C$. Then $\tilde{\phi}'$ has smooth connected general fiber and $C' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ as $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

For a point $p \in C$, we have

$$\nu^*(p) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)$$

with $m \geq 2$ the number of connected components of $\tilde{\phi}^*(p)$ and thus

$$\tilde{\phi}^*(p) = \tilde{\phi}'^*(\nu^*(p)) \simeq \tilde{\phi}'^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)).$$

Let F' be a general fiber of $\tilde{\phi}': \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $F \sim mF'$ and thus a general member in $|D|$ is linearly equivalent to mH where $H := \mu_*(F')$. Hence

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = 2.$$

Now the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(H|_H) \longrightarrow 0$$

gives $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(H|_H)) = 1$. □

From now onwards, X will denote a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$ and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$.

By [3, Theorem 2.1], the condition of $-K_X$ satisfying $n(-K_X) = 3$ and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ is equivalent to $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ and $\kappa(-K_X) = 1$. The latter one is more useful since it is in practice easier to compute the Iitaka dimension than the nef dimension.

Since X is rationally connected, we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$. Together with $K_X^3 = 0$, we deduce by Riemann–Roch theorem that $\chi(-K_X) = 3$. Moreover, as $-K_X$ is nef and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$, by Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem [12, Corollary], one has $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2K_X)) = 0$. Hence we deduce that

$$H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) = 0.$$

by Serre duality. Therefore,

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) \geq 3.$$

LEMMA 2.7. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$ and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Let $|B|$ be the mobile part of the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$ and D be a general member in $|B|$. Then D has at least two irreducible components.*

Proof. — We can write $|-K_X| = A + |B|$ with A the fixed part (which can be empty) and $|B|$ the mobile part. For a general member D of $|B|$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X - D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(-K_X|_D) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X - D)) = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A)) = 1,$$

together with $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) \geq 3$, we have $h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_X|_D)) \geq 2$.

Now suppose by contradiction that D is irreducible. Let $\nu: \bar{D} \rightarrow D$ be the normalization of the surface D . Then for the pullback of the Cartier divisor $-K_X|_D$, we have

$$h^0(\bar{D}, \nu^*(-K_X|_D)) \geq h^0(D, -K_X|_D) \geq 2.$$

Hence the linear system $|\nu^*(-K_X|_D)|$ on \bar{D} has a mobile part M . On the other hand, since $-K_X$ is nef and $(-K_X)^3 = 0$, one has $(-K_X)^2 \cdot D = 0$, i.e. $(-K_X|_D)^2 = 0$. Since $\nu^*(-K_X|_D)$ is nef and $\nu^*(-K_X|_D)^2 = (-K_X|_D)^2 = 0$, we deduce that

$$\nu^*(-K_X|_D) \cdot M = 0.$$

Therefore, \bar{D} is covered by $\nu^*(-K_X|_D)$ -trivial curves, from which we deduce that D is covered by $(-K_X)$ -trivial curves. As D moves, this contradicts the fact that $n(-K_X) = 3$. \square

Now Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 give the following:

COROLLARY 2.8. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$ and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Let $|B|$ be the mobile part of the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$. Then*

$$B \sim mH,$$

where $m \geq 2$ and H is a prime divisor such that $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(-K_X|_H)) = 1$, $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 2$ and $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(H|_H)) = 1$.

Proof. — It remains to show that $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(-K_X|_H)) = 1$. By contradiction, suppose that $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(-K_X|_H)) \geq 2$. In Lemma 2.7, we may repeat the same argument in the second part of the proof with H playing the role of D , then the argument following from the normalization of the surface leads to a contradiction. \square

LEMMA 2.9. — *Let X be a smooth projective threefold with $-K_X$ non-zero effective, divisible by two in $\text{Pic}(X)$ and $K_X^3 = 0$. Suppose that there exists an irreducible normal surface $H \in |-\frac{1}{2}K_X|$ such that $-K_H$ is nef, non-zero effective and not semi-ample. Then $-K_X$ is nef and not semi-ample, i.e. $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ and $\kappa(-K_X) = 1$.*

Proof. — We have $-K_X \sim 2H$. The adjunction formula gives $-K_H \sim H|_H$. We first show that H and thus $-K_X$ is nef. Indeed, it is enough to show that the restriction of H on itself is nef: let $C \subset H$ be an integral curve, then

$$H \cdot C = H|_H \cdot C = -K_H \cdot C \geq 0$$

as $-K_H$ is nef.

Now since there exists a non-zero effective divisor in $|-K_H|$, we deduce that $\nu(-K_X) = \nu(H) = 2$.

Since $-K_H$ is not semi-ample, we have $\kappa(H, -K_H) = 0$. Then for any $m \geq 1$,

$$h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(mH|_H)) = h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(-mK_H)) = 1.$$

Now the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X((m-1)H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mH) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(mH|_H) \longrightarrow 0$$

gives $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mH)) \leq h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X((m-1)H)) + 1$ and thus

$$\kappa(-K_X) = \kappa(H) = 1. \quad \square$$

3. Anticanonical system without fixed part

In this section, we consider the following setup:

SETUP 3.1. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with anticanonical bundle $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$ and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. We suppose that the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$ has no fixed part, so that by Corollary 2.8 we can write*

$$-K_X \sim mH$$

with $m \geq 2$ and H some prime divisor.

We may now run the minimal model program. Consider an extremal contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$.

3.1. Del Pezzo fibrations

PROPOSITION 3.2. — *In Setup 3.1, suppose that there exists an extremal contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with*

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1),$$

and $X \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(2) + 4F|$, where F is a general fiber of $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Proof. — We use notation from Setup 3.1. Since $-K_X \sim mH$ with $m \geq 2$, we deduce from the classification of Mori–Mukai [21, Section 3] that $m = 2$ or 3 .

Case 1. — If $m = 3$, then φ is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle and we can write $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 of rank 3. Denote the tautological line bundle by $\xi := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. Then the Grothendieck relation (see [8, Appendix A.3]) gives

$$\xi^3 - \xi^2 \cdot \varphi^*(c_1(\mathcal{E})) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (-K_X)^3 &= (3\xi + \varphi^*(-K_{\mathbb{P}^1} - c_1(\mathcal{E})))^3 \\ &= 27\xi^3 + 27\xi^2 \cdot \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) - c_1(\mathcal{E})) \\ &= 27\xi^2 \cdot \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)) \\ &= 54 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact that $K_X^3 = 0$.

Case 2. — If $m = 2$, then $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a quadric bundle with general fiber $F_X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and every fiber is a smooth quadric or a quadric cone in \mathbb{P}^3 . Define $\mathcal{E} := \varphi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(H))$ which is a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 of rank

$$r = h^0(F_X, H|_{F_X}) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)) = 4.$$

Now the morphism $\varphi^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(H)$ is surjective as it is the evaluation map on each fiber and the restriction of H on each fiber is base-point-free. Hence it gives an embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|_X$. Let $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\varphi = \pi|_X$.

We write $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$ with $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq a_4$. Denote the tautological line bundle by $\xi := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ and the general fiber of π by F . Since

$$K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} = -4\xi + \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^1} + c_1(\mathcal{E}))$$

and $K_X = -2\xi|_X$, we deduce from the adjunction formula that

$$X \in |2\xi + \alpha F|$$

with $\alpha = -c_1(\mathcal{E}) + 2$, because the morphism $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$ is injective (indeed $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and both ξ and F are non-trivial and linearly independent on X).

On the other hand, by the Grothendieck relation, we have

$$\xi^4 - \xi^3 \cdot \pi^*(c_1(\mathcal{E})) = 0.$$

Hence

$$0 = H^3 = (\xi|_X)^3 = \xi^3 \cdot (2\xi + \alpha F) = 2c_1(\mathcal{E}) + \alpha.$$

Therefore, $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = -2$ and $\alpha = 4$.

Since $h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{E}) = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 2$ by Corollary 2.8, there are the two following possibilities: either

$$a_1 = 1, a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = -1,$$

or

$$a_1 = a_2 = 0, a_3 = a_4 = -1.$$

Now suppose that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 3}$. Then

$$\text{Bs } |\xi| = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 3}) =: D_0 \text{ and } \xi = D_0 + F.$$

Since $H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi - X)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-\xi - 4F)) = 0$, we deduce from the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi - X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\xi|_X) \longrightarrow 0$$

that the restriction morphism $H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H))$ is injective, hence surjective as $h^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi)) = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H))$.

Therefore, when we restrict the base locus D_0 of $|\xi|$ to X , we have

$$D_0 \cap X \subset \text{Bs } |H|.$$

But this implies that the base locus of $|H|$ on X has a divisorial part, which contradicts the fact that $|H|$ is mobile on X . \square

Remark 3.3. — In the setting of Proposition 3.2, $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a quadric bundle with general fiber $F_X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $|-K_X| = |2H|$. Let D be a general member of $|H|$, then $\mathcal{O}_{F_X}(D|_{F_X}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)$. Hence a general fiber of $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is either isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 or two \mathbb{P}^1 's intersecting transversally at one point.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(1). — One direction follows from Proposition 3.2. It remains to prove the converse.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ and $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projection morphism. Denote the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ by ξ and a general fiber of π by F . Let X be a very general member in $|2\xi + 4F|$. Since $\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)$ is globally generated, a general member in $|2\xi + 4F|$ is smooth. As

$$K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} = -4\xi + \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^1} + c_1(\mathcal{E})),$$

the adjunction formula gives $K_X = -2\xi|_X$. Let $H := \xi|_X$, then $-K_X = 2H$.

Let $\mathcal{E}_0 := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ and $D_0 := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_0)$. Then $D_0 \in |\xi|$ and we have the projection morphism $\pi_0 := \pi|_{D_0}: D_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and the tautological line bundle $\xi_0 = \xi|_{D_0}$ associated to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_0)}(1)$. Let $H_0 := X \cap D_0$. Then $H_0 \in |H|$. Let $S_0 := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $S_0 \in |\xi_0|$.

Since $R^i\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(X - D_0)) &\simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)) \\ &= H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)^{\oplus 2}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(D_0, \mathcal{O}_{D_0}(H_0 - S_0)) &\simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{E}_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)) \\ &= H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)^{\oplus 2}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the evaluation maps

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(X)) \longrightarrow H^0(D_0, \mathcal{O}_{D_0}(X|_{D_0}))$$

and

$$H^0(D_0, \mathcal{O}_{D_0}(H_0)) \longrightarrow H^0(S_0, \mathcal{O}_{S_0}(H_0|_{S_0}))$$

are surjective. On the other hand, since $2\xi + 4F$ is globally generated, its restriction to D_0 (resp. to S_0) is globally generated. Hence by the surjectivity of the above evaluation maps, we deduce that $H_0 = X \cap D_0$ (resp. $C_0 := X \cap S_0$) is smooth for a general $X \in |2\xi + 4F|$.

Claim. — H (and thus $-K_X$) is nef.

Proof of the claim. — For any curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\xi \cdot C < 0$, we have $C \subset S_0$.

Denote the two ruling of S_0 by f_1 and f_2 , where $f_1 := F|_{S_0}$ and f_2 surjects to \mathbb{P}^1 by π . Then

$$\xi|_{S_0} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2})}(1) \sim -f_1 + f_2.$$

Therefore

$$X|_{S_0} \sim (2\xi + 4F)|_{S_0} \sim 2(-f_1 + f_2) + 4f_1 = 2(f_1 + f_2).$$

Now suppose by contradiction that there exists an integral curve $C \subset X$ such that $-K_X \cdot C < 0$. Then $\xi \cdot C = \xi|_X \cdot C < 0$ and thus $C \subset X \cap S_0$. But $C_0 = X \cap S_0$ is a smooth irreducible curve (it is a smooth elliptic curve), we deduce that

$$[C] = [2(f_1 + f_2)],$$

which implies that

$$\xi \cdot C = \xi|_{S_0} \cdot C = (-f_1 + f_2) \cdot 2(f_1 + f_2) = 0.$$

This contradicts the fact that $\xi \cdot C < 0$. Hence H is nef and this proves the claim. \square

By the adjunction formula, one has that $-K_{H_0} \sim H|_{H_0}$ is nef with $(-K_{H_0})^2 = 0$ and $C_0 \in |-K_{H_0}|$. Furthermore, π induces a fibration on H_0 over \mathbb{P}^1 with general fiber isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 .

Now let S be the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at 9 points in very general position such that $-K_S$ is nef, not semi-ample and the unique member $D \in |-K_S|$ is a smooth elliptic curve. Denote the blow-up by $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $h = \sigma^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ and C_i be a conic on S , i.e. a smooth rational curve such that $-K_S \cdot C_i = 2$ and $C_i^2 = 0$ (for example take C_i the strict transform of a general line through a blow-up point $p_i \in \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $C_i \sim h - e_i$ where e_i is the exceptional curve over p_i). Then the class of C_i induces a conic bundle $\tau : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Since $\tau : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a regular conic bundle, one has

$$R^i \tau_* (\mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$ and $\tau_*(\mathcal{O}_S(-K_S))$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 3 that we denote by \mathcal{V} . Therefore,

$$H^k(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{V}) \simeq H^k(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S))$$

for all $k \geq 0$ and thus $\chi(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{V}) = \chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)) = 1$. Now by the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch theorem, one has

$$\chi(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{V}) = \deg(\mathcal{V}) + 3$$

and thus $c_1(\mathcal{V}) = -2$.

Now since $h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{V}) = h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)) = 1$, we can write

$$\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$$

with $a, b < 0$. As $a + b = -2$, we deduce that $a = b = -1$. Therefore

$$S \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}) = D_0$$

and we have $S \in |(2\xi + 4F)|_{D_0}|$ by the adjunction formula. Hence by semicontinuity of cohomology, the surface $H_0 = X \cap D_0$ has nef and not semi-ample anticanonical divisor for a very general element $X \in |2\xi + 4F|$. Thus $-K_X$ is not semi-ample by Lemma 2.9. \square

3.2. Conic bundles

PROPOSITION 3.4. — *In Setup 3.1, suppose that there exists an extremal contraction $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ to a surface Y . Then $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle*

over Y with $-K_Y$ nef, \mathcal{E} is a nef rank 2 vector bundle with $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = -K_Y$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = K_Y^2$, given by an extension

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{I}_Z is the ideal sheaf of a length- $c_2(\mathcal{E})$ subscheme Z of Y . Furthermore, the set of such X forms a bounded family.

Proof. — We use notation from Setup 3.1. By the classification of Mori–Mukai [21, Section 3], φ is a conic bundle and Y is a smooth rational surface. Since $-K_X \sim mH$ with $m \geq 2$, we deduce from the classification that $m = 2$ and φ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. By [7, Proposition 3.1], the anticanonical bundle $-K_Y$ is nef. Let $d := (-K_Y)^2$, we have thus $0 \leq d \leq 9$ and Y is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, or \mathbb{F}_2 , or \mathbb{P}^2 blown up in $(9 - d)$ points.

We write $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{E} = \varphi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(H))$. Then $H = \xi := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. As $-K_X = 2H$ and

$$-K_X = \varphi^*(-K_Y - \det(\mathcal{E})) + 2\xi,$$

one has $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = \det(\mathcal{E}) = -K_Y$.

On the other hand, since $(-K_X)^3 = 0$, one has

$$0 = \xi^3 = c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) - c_2(\mathcal{E}),$$

from which we deduce $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = K_Y^2 = d$.

Claim. — \mathcal{E} has a section which vanishes in codimension at least 2.

Suppose by contradiction that every non-zero section in $H^0(Y, \mathcal{E})$ vanishes in codimension 1. Let $s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{E})$ be a non-zero section and H_s the element in $|H|$ associated to s . Let D be the one-dimensional components of the vanishing locus of s taken with multiplicity. Now consider the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-D)$. Then it has a non-zero section $s' \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{E}')$ which vanishes in codimension at least 2. We denote the element associated to s' in $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')}|$ by $H_{s'}$. Then one has an isomorphism $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')$ under which $H_{s'}$ corresponds to $H_s \otimes \varphi^*(-D)$. Hence there exists an effective divisor R on X (which corresponds to $H_{s'}$) such that

$$H_s = \varphi^*(D) + R.$$

Notice that R is non-zero as the restriction of H_s to a general fiber is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. Since this holds for every non-zero section $s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{E})$, it contradicts the fact that H is irreducible and reduced. This proves the claim.

Therefore, following [5, Section 4.1, pages 85–87], we have an exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where Z is the zero locus of a general section of \mathcal{E} with length $l(Z) = c_2(\mathcal{E}) = d$.

If $d = 0$, then we have $Z = \emptyset$ and (3.2) must split as

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y), \mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y)) \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0,$$

thus $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y)$. Consider the case when $d > 0$. For a fixed smooth rational surface Y such that $-K_Y$ is nef, Z is a finite subscheme of length $d = K_Y^2$ on Y . Hence it is parameterized by the Hilbert scheme $Y^{[d]}$. Furthermore, the extensions (3.2) are parameterized by the vector space $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y), \mathcal{O}_Y)$ of finite dimension. Therefore, the set of varieties $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ such that \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle of rank 2 over Y satisfying (3.2) forms a bounded family.

Now since the set of smooth rational surfaces Y with $-K_Y$ nef forms a bounded family (see [1, Section 6]), we deduce that the set of such $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ forms a bounded family as well. \square

Remark 3.5. — In the setting of Proposition 3.4, one has $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ where \mathcal{E} is a rank-two vector bundle on the surface Y and $-K_X = 2H$, where H is the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$.

Let D be a general member in $|H|$. Since \mathcal{E} is given by the short exact sequence (3.1), one has

$$D = \text{Bl}_Z(Y).$$

Example 3.6. — Let S be \mathbb{P}^2 blown up in 9 points in sufficiently general position such that $-K_S$ is nef and not semi-ample. Then there exists a unique element $D \in |-K_S|$. We have $\kappa(-K_S) = 0$ and $K_S^2 = 0$.

Now define $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)$ and $\pi: X := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S$. Thus \mathcal{E} is nef and $-K_X = 2\xi$, where $\xi := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$, is nef. Furthermore, we have $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = D$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-nK_X)) = h^0(S, \text{Sym}^{2n}(\mathcal{E})) = 2n + 1.$$

Hence $\kappa(-K_X) = 1$.

Now we consider the sections associated to π . Notice that for any extension $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ where L and Q are line bundles on S , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Q) = \xi - \pi^*(L).$$

Hence there are two types of sections: either it corresponds to the quotient $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S) \rightarrow 0$ and thus gives an element $D_1 \simeq S$ such that $D_1 \in |\xi|$, or it corresponds to the quotient $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0$ and thus gives an element $D_2 \simeq S$ such that $D_2 \in |\xi - \pi^*D|$. Therefore, there are two types of elements

in $|\xi|$: one of the form D_1 and the other of the form $D_2 + \pi^*D$, where D_1 and D_2 are two disjoint sections of π .

Since $D_1 \in |\xi|$ moves, D_1^2 is an effective 1-cycle. By the Grothendieck relation, one has $\xi^2 - \xi \cdot \pi^*c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. Hence $\xi^2 = D_1^2 = D_1 \cdot \pi^*D$ is a non-zero effective 1-cycle isomorphic to D . Furthermore,

$$\xi^3 = \xi \cdot (\pi^*D)^2 = 0,$$

as $D^2 = 0$. Therefore, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(2). — One direction follows from Proposition 3.4. It remains to prove the converse.

Let \mathcal{E} be a nef rank-two vector bundle on a smooth rational surface Y with nef anticanonical divisor such that $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = -K_Y$, $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = (-K_Y)^2$, fitting into a sequence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

where \mathcal{I}_Z is the ideal sheaf of $c_2(\mathcal{E})$ points in sufficiently general position. Let $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and ξ be the tautological line bundle. Let H be a general member in $|\xi|$. Then

$$-K_X \sim 2H$$

and $(-K_X)^3 = 8\xi^3 = 8(c_1(\mathcal{E})^2 - c_2(\mathcal{E})) = 0$. Furthermore, the sequence (3.3) gives

$$H \simeq \text{Bl}_Z(Y), \quad N_{H/X} = -K_H.$$

Since Y is a smooth rational surface with $-K_Y$ nef, Y is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, or \mathbb{F}_2 , or the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in $9 - (-K_Y)^2$ points in almost general position. Note that the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or \mathbb{F}_2 in a general point is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 blown up in 2 points (see [11, page 13]). Since $Z \subset Y$ is the subscheme of $(-K_Y)^2$ points in sufficiently general position, H is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 blown up in at most 9 points in sufficiently general position. Therefore, $-K_H$ is nef and not semi-ample. Hence $-K_X$ is nef and not semi-ample by Lemma 2.9. \square

3.3. Birational contractions

PROPOSITION 3.7. — *In Setup 3.1, suppose that there exists a birational extremal contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$. Then $-K_X$ is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(X)$, Y is a smooth almost del Pezzo threefold of degree 1 and φ is the blow-up of a point $p \in Y$. Furthermore, if we write $-K_Y \sim 2H_Y$, then $p \notin \text{Bs}|H_Y|$.*

Proof. — We use notation from Setup 3.1. Since $-K_X \sim mH$ with $m \geq 2$, by the classification of Mori–Mukai contractions on smooth threefolds [21, Section 3], one has $m = 2$ and φ is the blow-up of a smooth point p on Y with exceptional divisor $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathcal{O}_E(E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$. Hence $-K_Y$ is nef by [7, Proposition 3.3] and

$$(-K_Y)^3 = (-K_X)^3 + (2E)^3 = 8,$$

i.e. $-K_Y$ is big.

On the other hand,

$$-K_Y = \varphi_*(-K_X) = 2\varphi_*(H) =: 2H_Y$$

with $H_Y \in \text{Pic}(Y)$. Then H_Y is nef and big with $(H_Y)^3 = 1$. We conclude that Y is an almost del Pezzo threefold of degree 1 and the base scheme of $|H_Y|$ is one point by [11, Section 2].

If p is the base point of $|H_Y|$, then $\text{Bs } |H| = \emptyset$ since the base scheme of $|H_Y|$ is one point. This is absurd because $|-K_X|$ is not semi-ample. \square

Remark 3.8. — In the setting of Proposition 3.7, let $D \in |H|$ be a general member and E the exceptional divisor of φ , one has

$$K_E \sim (K_X + E)|_E \sim (-2D + E)|_E$$

by the adjunction formula.

Since D moves, $D \cdot E$ is an effective 1-cycle. We deduce from $N_{E/X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$ that $D \cdot E = l$, where l is a line on $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$.

On the surface D , one has

$$l^2 = (E|_D)^2 = D \cdot E^2 = D|_E \cdot E|_E = -1$$

and

$$K_D \cdot l = (K_X + D)|_D \cdot l = -D \cdot l = -1.$$

Hence l is a (-1) -curve on D .

Proof of Theorem 1.1(3). — One direction follows from Proposition 3.7. It remains to prove the converse.

Let Y be a smooth almost del Pezzo threefold of degree one. Then a general member in $|-\frac{1}{2}K_Y|$ is a smooth almost del Pezzo surface of degree one. Now fix a general member $H_Y \in |-\frac{1}{2}K_Y|$. Since H_Y is \mathbb{P}^2 blown up at 8 points in almost general position, by choosing a sufficiently general point $p \in H_Y \subset Y$, the blow-up $D := \text{Bl}_p H_Y$ of H_Y at p has nef and not semi-ample anticanonical divisor. Then let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the blow-up at p . We have

$$-K_X = 2(\varphi^*H_Y - E) =: 2H$$

and $D \in |H|$. Therefore, we deduce by Lemma 2.9 that $-K_X$ is nef and not semi-ample. \square

4. Anticanonical system with non-empty fixed part

We consider the case when the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$ has a non-empty fixed part, that is, we can write $|-K_X| = A + |B|$ with A the fixed part and $|B|$ the mobile part. By Corollary 2.8, we have $|B| = |mH|$ where $m \geq 2$ and H is some prime divisor.

PROPOSITION 4.1. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. If the anticanonical system*

$$|-K_X| = A + |mH|, \quad m \geq 2$$

has non-empty fixed part A , then there exists a finite sequence of flops $\psi: X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that X' is smooth with $-K_{X'}$ nef and $H' := \psi_(H)$ is nef.*

Proof. — Fix a general member $F \in |H|$. Since X is smooth, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, the pair $(X, \epsilon F)$ is log-canonical. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that if F is not nef, then there exists a $(K_X + \epsilon F)$ -negative extremal ray Γ such that $\epsilon F \cdot \Gamma < 0$. Let c_Γ be the contraction of the extremal ray Γ and l a contracted curve. Thus $F \cdot l < 0$, which implies $l \subset \text{Bs}(|H|)$. Since $|H|$ is mobile, it follows that c_Γ is small. This implies that $K_X \cdot l = 0$ since there is no flipping contraction for smooth threefolds. Hence there exists a flop of c_Γ and the flopped threefold X^+ is smooth by [14, Theorem 2.4].

By repeating the above argument and the termination of three-dimensional flops [15, Corollary 6.19], we deduce that there exists a sequence of flops $\psi: X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that $H' := \psi_*(H)$ is nef. \square

LEMMA 4.2. — *In the setting of Proposition 4.1, if H is nef, then*

$$A^3 = A^2 \cdot H = A \cdot H^2 = H^3 = 0.$$

Proof. — As $-K_X \sim A + mH$ is nef, one has $K_X^2 \cdot A \geq 0$ and $K_X^2 \cdot H \geq 0$. Then

$$0 = (-K_X)^3 = K_X^2 \cdot (A + mH)$$

gives $K_X^2 \cdot A = K_X^2 \cdot H = 0$. From this we further conclude that

$$0 = -K_X \cdot (A + mH) \cdot H = -K_X \cdot (A \cdot H + mH^2).$$

Since H moves, $A \cdot H$ and H^2 are effective cycles. This implies that

$$-K_X \cdot A \cdot H = -K_X \cdot H^2 = 0.$$

Hence, $A^2 \cdot H + mA \cdot H^2 = 0$ and $A \cdot H^2 + mH^3 = 0$. As H is nef, $A \cdot H$ and H^2 are effective cycles, we deduce that

$$A \cdot H^2 = H^3 = 0.$$

This implies $A^2 \cdot H = 0$. Together with $K_X^2 \cdot A = 0$, we conclude that $A^3 = 0$. \square

After performing possibly a sequence of flops, the mobile part $|B| = |mH|$ of the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$ becomes nef. In this case, either $B^2 = 0$ and we are in the case described in [3, Proposition 7.2], or B^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle which is the case we will study in the rest of the section.

4.1. Description of the anticanonical system

PROPOSITION 4.3. — *Consider as above a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system $|-K_X| = A + |mH|$, $m \geq 2$ has non-empty fixed part A , and H is nef such that H^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle. Let F be a general member of $|H|$. Then $-K_F$ is nef, effective and divisible by $r \geq 2$ in $\text{NS}(F)$. Furthermore, $\kappa(F, -K_F) = 0$, $K_F^2 = 0$ and F is not covered by $(-K_F)$ -trivial curves.*

Proof. — By the adjunction formula, we have

$$-K_F \sim -(K_X + F)|_F \sim A|_F + (m - 1)F|_F.$$

As F is nef, it suffices to show that $A|_F$ is nef: suppose that there exists an irreducible curve $l \subset F$ such that $A|_F \cdot l < 0$. Then l is an irreducible component of the effective cycle $C := A|_F$. On the other hand, F is nef and $F \cdot C = 0$ as $A \cdot F^2 = 0$, from which we deduce that $F \cdot l = 0$. Hence

$$-K_X \cdot l = A \cdot l + mF \cdot l = A \cdot l < 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that $-K_X$ is nef. Therefore, the restriction $A|_F$ is nef.

We note that $A|_F$ cannot be zero: since $-K_X$ is nef with numerical dimension two, the support of a divisor $D \in |-K_X|$ is connected in codimension one by [22, Lemma 2.3.9].

Now let $\nu: \tilde{F} \rightarrow F$ be a desingularisation of the surface F . Since $A|_F$ and $F|_F$ are nef Cartier divisors such that $A|_F \cdot F|_F = 0$, their pullbacks to the desingularisation \tilde{F} remain nef and orthogonal to each other. Let

$$V := \langle \nu^*(A|_F), \nu^*(F|_F) \rangle \subset \text{NS}(\tilde{F}).$$

Let H be an ample divisor on \tilde{F} , then $NS(\tilde{F}) = \mathbb{R}H \oplus (\mathbb{R}H)^\perp$. If $\dim V \geq 2$, then $\dim(V \cap (\mathbb{R}H)^\perp) \geq 1$. Hence there exists $v \in V \cap (\mathbb{R}H)^\perp$ which is non zero, and $v^2 < 0$ by the Hodge index theorem. But $v = \lambda\nu^*(A|_F) + \mu\nu^*(F|_F)$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies $v^2 \geq 0$. This is absurd. Hence $\dim V = 1$, i.e. $\nu^*(A|_F)$ and $\nu^*(F|_F)$ are non-zero and numerically proportional. Hence $-K_F$ is divisible by $r \geq 2$ with $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

The surface F is not covered by $(-K_F)$ -trivial curves: otherwise, F is covered by $(-K_X)$ -trivial curves as $-K_F \sim -K_X|_F - F|_F$ and $-K_F$ is numerically proportional to $F|_F$. As F moves in X , this implies that X is covered by $(-K_X)$ -trivial curves. This is absurd because $n(-K_X) = 3$.

Furthermore, as $A^2 \cdot F = A \cdot F^2 = F^3 = 0$, we have $K_F^2 = 0$.

It remains to show that $\kappa(F, -K_F) = 0$. Indeed, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$1 \leq h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(-nK_F)) \leq h^0(\tilde{F}, \nu^*(-nK_F)).$$

If $h^0(\tilde{F}, \nu^*(-nK_F)) \geq 2$ for some n , then the linear system $|\nu^*(-nK_F)|$ has some non-zero mobile part M on \tilde{F} , and $\nu^*(-K_F) \cdot M = 0$ as $(-K_F)^2 = 0$ and $-K_F$ is nef. Hence \tilde{F} is covered by $\nu^*(-K_F)$ -trivial curves, from which we deduce that F is covered by $(-K_F)$ -trivial curves. This is absurd. \square

In order to get a more precise description on the geometric structure of A and F , we need the two following lemmas:

LEMMA 4.4. — *Let S be a projective Gorenstein surface such that the anticanonical divisor $-K_S$ is of the following form:*

$$-K_S \sim D_1 + D_2,$$

where D_1 is effective, D_2 is a non-zero effective Cartier divisor which is nef and divisible by $r \geq 2$ in $\text{NS}(S)$.

Suppose that $D_2^2 = 0$ and that one of the following assertions holds:

- (1) S is not covered by D_2 -trivial curves;
- (2) D_2 contains a smooth curve of positive genus.

Then $D_1 = 0$, and S is normal with at most ADE singularities, i.e. canonical and Gorenstein singularities in dimension 2. Furthermore, the surface \tilde{S} obtained by the minimal resolution of S is relatively minimal.

We recall here that a smooth fibered surface is said to be relatively minimal if there is no (-1) -curves in any of its fibres.

Proof.

Special case. — Assume that S is smooth. Suppose by contradiction that D_1 is not zero. Since D_2 is divisible by $r \geq 2$, we put $rL := D_2$, with L nef and $L^2 = 0$. Then

$$-(K_S + rL) \equiv D_1$$

is effective. We deduce that the adjoint bundle $K_S + rL$ is not nef.

Now for every irreducible reduced curve $l \subset S$ such that $(K_S + rL) \cdot l < 0$, one has $K_S \cdot l < 0$ since L is nef. Then by the cone theorem, there exists a K_S -negative extremal ray R which is $(K_S + rL)$ -negative. We denote the contraction of the extremal ray R by $\phi: S \rightarrow Z$.

- If $\dim Z = 1$, then $\phi: S \rightarrow Z$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth algebraic curve Z . Let f be a fiber of ϕ , then $f^2 = 0$ and $(K_S + rL) \cdot f < 0$. Since $K_S \cdot f = -2$ by the adjunction formula, together with $L \cdot f \geq 0$ and $r \geq 2$, we have $L \cdot f = 0$.
- If Z is a point, then $S = \mathbb{P}^2$. But L is nef, not ample and not numerically trivial, this is absurd.

If ϕ is birational, let $l \in R$ be an integral contracted curve, then l is actually a (-1) -curve since we contract a K_S -negative extremal ray. Hence $L \cdot l = 0$ as $(K_S + rL) \cdot l < 0$ and L is nef.

Now we put $L' := \phi_*(L)$ and $D'_1 := \phi_*(D_1)$. Then

$$-K_Z \equiv rL' + D'_1.$$

Since $L \cdot l = 0$, we know by the contraction theorem that $L = \phi^*(L')$. Hence L' is nef and $L'^2 = 0$.

Notice that the two assertions in the lemma are preserved by the contraction ϕ . More precisely,

- (1) if Z is covered by $\phi_*(D_2)$ -trivial curves, then S is covered by D_2 -trivial curves as $L = \phi^*(L')$;
- (2) $\phi_*(D_2)$ contains a curve of positive genus, as ϕ does not contract any curve of positive genus.

Moreover, Z cannot be a minimal surface. Indeed if K_Z is nef, then

$$K_S + rL = \phi^*(K_Z) + C + rL$$

is pseudo-effective. Therefore, $K_S + rL$ is zero as it is anti-effective. This is absurd because D_1 is not zero.

Therefore, by running a $(K_S + rL)$ -minimal model program, we can suppose that S is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle as described in the first case above. Now we show that this will lead to a contradiction:

- (1) We first consider the case when S is not covered by D_2 -trivial curves: since $L \cdot f = 0$ for every fiber f of ϕ , the surface S is covered by L -trivial curves. Hence S is covered by D_2 -trivial curve, which is absurd.
- (2) For the case when D_2 contains a smooth curve of positive genus: since

$$D_2 \cdot f = rL \cdot f = 0$$

for a general fiber f of ϕ , D_2 is contained in some special fiber of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. This is absurd because D_2 contains a curve of positive genus.

Therefore, $D_1 = 0$. Furthermore, since $-K_S = D_2$ is divisible by $r \geq 2$, the surface S does not contain any (-1) -curve, i.e. S is relatively minimal.

General case. — Let $\nu: \bar{S} \rightarrow S$ be the normalization of S and $\mu: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ the minimal resolution of \bar{S} . We put $\pi := \nu \circ \mu: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$. Computing the anticanonical bundles we get

$$-K_{\bar{S}} = \nu^*(-K_S) + E_1$$

with some effective Weil divisor E_1 supported on the zero locus of the conductor ideal and

$$-K_{\tilde{S}} = \pi^*(-K_S) + \tilde{E}_1 + E_2$$

with \tilde{E}_1 the proper transform of E_1 in \tilde{S} and E_2 some effective divisor supported on the exceptional locus.

Now \tilde{S} is a smooth surface such that

$$-K_{\tilde{S}} \sim \tilde{D}_1 + \tilde{D}_2$$

with $\tilde{D}_1 := \tilde{E}_1 + E_2 + \pi^*(D_1)$ effective divisor, $\tilde{D}_2 := \pi^*(D_2)$ non-zero, effective, nef and divisible by $r \geq 2$.

Furthermore, one has $\tilde{D}_2^2 = D_2^2 = 0$ and \tilde{D}_2 satisfies one of the two assertions in the lemma if D_2 does:

- (1) if \tilde{S} is covered by $\pi^*(D_2)$ -trivial curves, then S is covered by D_2 -trivial curves;
- (2) $\pi^*(D_2)$ contains a smooth curve of positive genus which subjects to the one contained in D_2 .

Hence by the previous smooth case, we deduce that $\widetilde{D}_1 = 0$. This implies that $D_1 = 0$ and S is normal as it is Cohen–Macaulay, with at worst ADE singularities.

Let $\mu: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the minimal resolution of S . Then $-K_{\widetilde{S}} = \mu^*(-K_S) = \mu^*(D_2)$ is divisible by $r \geq 2$. Thus \widetilde{S} does not contain any (-1) -curve, i.e. \widetilde{S} is relatively minimal. \square

LEMMA 4.5 ([3, Proposition 1.5, Proposition 1.6]). — *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $-K_S$ nef and $\nu(-K_S) = 1$. Then S is one of the following:*

- (1) $n(-K_S) = 1$: S admits an elliptic fibration and $-K_S$ is semi-ample;
- (2) $n(-K_S) = 2$: we have $\kappa(-K_S) = 0$ and either
 - (a) S is \mathbb{P}^2 blown up in 9 points in sufficiently general position or
 - (b) $S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with \mathcal{E} a rank 2 vector bundle over an elliptic curve which is defined by an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0$$

with \mathcal{L} a line bundle of degree 0 and either

- (i) $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}$ and the extension is non-split or
- (ii) \mathcal{L} is not torsion.

The structure of the unique element D in $|-K_S|$ is as follows:

- (i) $D = 2C$ and C is a smooth elliptic curve.
- (ii) $D = C_1 + C_2$ where C_1 and C_2 are smooth elliptic curves which do not meet.

COROLLARY 4.6. — *In the setting of Lemma 4.4, the surface S is smooth. It is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth elliptic curve.*

Proof. — Let $\mu: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the minimal resolution of S . Then by Lemma 4.4 $-K_{\widetilde{S}} = \mu^*(-K_S)$ is non-zero, effective and nef. Hence \widetilde{S} is uniruled and thus it admits a Mori fibration. Furthermore, since \widetilde{S} is relatively minimal by Lemma 4.4, we deduce that \widetilde{S} is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth curve.

Now by the classification in Lemma 4.5, \widetilde{S} is either an elliptic fibration or a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth elliptic curve. In both of the two cases, we deduce that \widetilde{S} is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth elliptic curve and $S = \widetilde{S}$. \square

LEMMA 4.7. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system $|-K_X| = A + |mH|$, $m \geq 2$ has non-empty fixed part A , and H is nef such that H^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle. Let F be*

a general member of $|H|$. Then F is a smooth surface such that $-K_F$ is nef and divisible by 2 in $\text{NS}(F)$ with $\nu(-K_F) = 1$, $\kappa(-K_F) = 0$. More precisely, $F = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with \mathcal{E} a rank-2 vector bundle over an elliptic curve as described in the Lemma 4.5(2b). Furthermore, we have $m = 2$ and $A \cdot F$ is a smooth elliptic curve.

Proof. — By Proposition 4.3, we have that $-K_F$ is non-zero, effective, nef and divisible by $r \geq 2$. Furthermore, $(-K_F)^2 = 0$ and F is not covered by $(-K_F)$ -trivial curves. Hence we can apply Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 4.6 to obtain that the surface F is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth elliptic curve. Now since F is not covered by $(-K_F)$ -trivial curves, i.e. $n(-K_F) = 2$, we deduce from the classification in Lemma 4.5 that $F = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with \mathcal{E} a rank 2 vector bundle over an elliptic curve defined as in the case (B).

Since $-K_F \sim A|_F + (m-1)F|_F$, we deduce from the structure of the unique element in $|-K_F|$ that $m = 2$ and $A \cdot F$ is a smooth elliptic curve. \square

LEMMA 4.8. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system $|-K_X| = A + |mH|$, $m \geq 2$ has non-empty fixed part A , and H is nef such that H^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle. Then A is an irreducible reduced smooth surface such that $-K_A$ is nef and divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(A)$ with $\nu(-K_A) = 1$. More precisely, the surface A is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth elliptic curve.*

Proof. — Let F be a general member in $|H|$. As $A|_F$ is an irreducible reduced curve by Lemma 4.7, we can find a divisor A_1 which occurs in A with multiplicity one and the rest A' does not meet F . Since $m = 2$ and $A \cdot F$ is a smooth elliptic curve by the Lemma 4.7, the adjunction formula gives

$$-K_{A_1} \sim (A' + 2F)|_{A_1} \sim A'|_{A_1} + 2C_0,$$

where C_0 is a smooth elliptic curve and $A'|_{A_1}$ is an effective divisor on A_1 .

Moreover, since F is nef and $A \cdot F^2 = 0$, C_0 is nef and $C_0^2 = 0$ on A_1 .

Now we can apply Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 4.6 to the surface A_1 , which gives $A'|_{A_1} = 0$ and A_1 is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth elliptic curve. Moreover, the support of a divisor $D \in |-K_X|$ is connected in codimension one by [22, Lemma 2.3.9]. As A' does not meet F and $A'|_{A_1} = 0$, we obtain $A' = 0$. Thus $A = A_1$ and $-K_A \sim 2F|_A$. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.3. It follows from Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8. \square

4.2. Running the minimal model program

In this subsection, we consider the following setup:

SETUP 4.9. — *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system $|-K_X| = A + |mH|$, $m \geq 2$ has non-empty fixed part A , and H is nef such that H^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle.*

We remind the reader that in this setup, one has $|-K_X| = A + |2H|$, both A and a general member F in $|H|$ are \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over a smooth elliptic curve such that their anticanonical divisors are nef and divisible by two in $\text{Pic}(A)$ (resp. in $\text{NS}(F)$). Furthermore, both $A \cdot F$ and F^2 are smooth elliptic curves.

Consider an extremal contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$. Let R be the extremal ray contracted by φ . Recall that the length of an extremal ray R is defined by

$$l(R) = \min\{-K_X \cdot Z \mid Z \text{ rational curve on } X \text{ with class in } R\}.$$

Let l be a rational curve such that $[l] \in R$ and $-K_X \cdot l = l(R)$. In the birational case, we denote the exceptional divisor of φ by E .

4.2.1. Non-birational cases

In this part, we will show that the contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ cannot be of Mori fiber type.

Case $\dim Y = 1$. — In this case, $-K_X \cdot l = 1, 2$ or 3 . Recall that for an extremal contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, all the fibers are irreducible. Since A is the fixed part of $|-K_X|$, it cannot be a fiber of φ . As for H , since H^2 is a non-zero effective cycle, it cannot be a fiber of φ . We deduce that $A \cdot l > 0$ and $H \cdot l > 0$, as the Picard group of X is generated by a fiber of φ and another element which has positive intersection with l . Therefore, $-K_X \cdot l = 3$, $A \cdot l = H \cdot l = 1$ and φ is a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 .

Now we can write $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with \mathcal{E} a rank-3 vector bundle over $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$. After twisting \mathcal{E} by some line bundle, we can suppose that $\mathcal{E} = \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X(H)$ and $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. Since H is nef, the vector bundle \mathcal{E} is nef. From the fact that a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 is nef if and only if it is generated by its global sections, we deduce that \mathcal{E} is generated by its global sections. Therefore, $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is also generated by its global sections. Since $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 2$ by Corollary 2.8 and $H^2 \neq 0$, we have $\text{Bs } |H| \neq \emptyset$ which leads to a contradiction.

Case $\dim Y = 2$. — In this case, $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a conic bundle and we have $-K_X \cdot l = 1$ or 2 .

- (1) If $F \cdot l = 0$, then we have $F = \varphi^*(C)$ where C is an irreducible curve on Y . Hence F^2 an effective cycle contained in some fiber of φ . This is absurd because F^2 is a smooth elliptic curve.
- (2) If $F \cdot l = 1$, then φ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle and induces a birational morphism from F to Y . This is impossible since $q(F) = 1$ and $q(Y) = 0$.

4.2.2. Birational contractions

Since X is a smooth threefold, the contraction φ is divisorial.

Case $A \cdot l = 0$. — In this case, we have $F \cdot l = 1$ and $-K_X \cdot l = A \cdot l + 2F \cdot l = 2$. Hence φ is the blow-up of a smooth point on Y , with exceptional divisor $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $N_{E/X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$. Now the adjunction formula $K_E \sim (K_X + E)|_E$ gives

$$\mathcal{O}_E(A|_E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2F|_E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(2).$$

As $E \cdot F$ is a non-zero effective cycle, we deduce that $A \cdot E = 0$ and $E \cdot F = l$. On the other hand, we have

$$(E|_F)^2 = F \cdot E^2 = F|_E \cdot (-l) = -1.$$

Hence l is a (-1) -curve on the surface F , which contradicts the fact that F is relatively minimal.

Case $A \cdot l < 0$. — Since the contraction is divisorial, we have $E = A$ in this case. Since A is a ruled surface over a smooth elliptic curve, we know that l is a fiber of A and $F \cdot l = 1$. Therefore, φ is the blow-up of an elliptic curve and Y is smooth with $-K_Y$ nef by [7, Theorem 3.8]. Furthermore, as we contract the curves meeting F transversally, we conclude that $G := \varphi(F) \simeq F$. Since

$$-K_Y = \varphi_*(-K_X) = \varphi_*(A + 2F) = 2\varphi_*(F) = 2G,$$

we see that $|-K_Y| = |2G|$ is without fixed part.

We can compute the Kodaira dimension and the numerical dimension for $-K_Y$:

$$\kappa(-K_Y) = \kappa(\varphi^*(-K_Y)) = \kappa(-K_X + E),$$

and similarly for the numerical dimension we have

$$\nu(-K_Y) = \nu(-K_X + E).$$

On the other hand, since $E = A$, we have

$$\kappa(-K_X) \leq \kappa(-K_X + E) \leq \kappa(-2K_X) = \kappa(-K_X)$$

and similarly

$$\nu(-K_X) \leq \nu(-K_X + E) \leq \nu(-2K_X) = \nu(-K_X),$$

we deduce that $\kappa(-K_Y) = \kappa(-K_X) = 1$ and $\nu(-K_Y) = \nu(-K_X) = 2$.

Case $A \cdot l > 0$. — In this case, $F \cdot l = 0$ since otherwise $-K_X \cdot l > 2$ which is in contradiction to [21, Section 3]. Furthermore, $E \neq A$ and thus $A \cdot E$ is an effective cycle. We will show that the only possible case is when φ contract E to a smooth curve of positive genus.

By the classification of Mori–Mukai [21, Section 3], we are in one of the following cases:

- (1) If E is contracted to a point, then one of following cases occurs:
 - (a) $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $N_{E/X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$. In this case, we have $A \cdot l = 2$ and the adjunction formula $K_E \sim (K_X + E)|_E$ gives

$$\mathcal{O}_E(A|_E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2F|_E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(2).$$

As $A \cdot E$ is a non-zero effective cycle, we deduce that $F|_E = 0$ and $\mathcal{O}_E(A|_E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(2)$.

- (b) $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $N_{E/X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)$. In this case, we have $A \cdot l = 1$ and the adjunction formula gives

$$\mathcal{O}_E(A|_E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2F|_E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(1, 1).$$

As $A \cdot E$ is a non-zero effective cycle, we deduce that $F|_E = 0$ and $\mathcal{O}_E(A|_E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(1, 1)$.

- (c) E is a quadric cone in \mathbb{P}^3 with $N_{E/X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E$. In this case, we have $A \cdot l = 1$ and the adjunction formula gives

$$\mathcal{O}_E(A|_E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2F|_E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(2l).$$

But since $F|_E$ is Cartier, one cannot have $F|_E \sim l$ which is 2-Cartier. Hence $A|_E \sim 2l$, $F|_E = 0$.

- (d) $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $N_{E/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)$. In this case, we have $A \cdot l = 1$ and the adjunction formula gives

$$\mathcal{O}_E(A|_E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2F|_E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(1).$$

As $A \cdot E$ is a non-zero effective cycle, we deduce that $F|_E = 0$ and $\mathcal{O}_E(A|_E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(1)$.

We first show that E cannot be contracted to a point. Suppose that we are in one of the above cases, then $F \cdot E = 0$ and $A \cdot E$ is a non-zero effective cycle of rational curves. On the other hand, A is a ruled surface over an elliptic curve, which implies that $E|_A$ consists

of some fibers on A . But $F|_A$ is an elliptic curve which is a section, hence $E|_A \cdot F|_A > 0$. This contradicts the fact that $F \cdot E = 0$.

- (2) If φ contracts E to a smooth curve $C \subset Y$ of genus g , then $E \simeq \mathbb{P}(N_{C/Y}^*)$. Let $V = N_{C/Y}^* \otimes L$ with $L \in \text{Pic}(C)$ be the normalization of the conormal bundle [8, Chapter V, Proposition 2.8]. Then $N_{E/X} \equiv -C_1 + \mu l$ where C_1 is the minimal section satisfying $C_1^2 = c_1(V) =: -d$ and $\mu := \deg L$.

In this case, one has $-K_X \cdot l = 1$, $F \cdot l = 0$ and $A \cdot l = 1$. Hence $F|_E \equiv bl$ with $b \geq 0$ and the adjunction formula gives

$$-K_E \sim (A + 2F)|_E - E|_E,$$

i.e. $A|_E \equiv C_1 + (d + \mu + 2(1 - g - b))l$.

Since F (resp. A) is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth elliptic curve, we deduce that the effective cycle $F \cdot E$ (resp. $A \cdot E$) does not contain the curve l otherwise l moves on the surface F (resp. A). Therefore, $F \cdot E = 0$ and $A \cdot E \equiv C_1 + (d + \mu + 2(1 - g))l$ is a section of $\varphi|_E: E \rightarrow C$. In particular, $\varphi(F) \simeq F$ as $E \cdot F = 0$, and all the curves l meet A transversally in one point which implies that $\varphi|_A$ is an isomorphism.

Now by the same argument as in the case (1), we deduce that the integral curve $A \cdot E$ cannot be a rational curve. Hence C is of genus $g > 0$. By [7, Proposition 3.3], $-K_Y$ is again nef.

Hence we have the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 4.10. — *In Setup 4.9, let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be an extremal contraction. Then φ is the blow-up of a smooth curve C of positive genus in the smooth threefold Y with $-K_Y$ nef, $\kappa(-K_Y) = 1$, $\nu(-K_Y) = 2$. Let E be the exceptional divisor of φ . Then one of the following two cases occurs:*

- (1) $E = A$ and we have $|-K_Y| = |2G|$ with $G := \varphi(F) \simeq F$. Furthermore, the blown up curve C is a smooth elliptic curve contained in the base locus of $|G|$.
- (2) $E \neq A$ and $E \cdot F = 0$. We have $|-K_Y| = A_Y + |2F_Y|$ where $A_Y := \varphi(A) \simeq A$, $F_Y := \varphi(F) \simeq F$ and F_Y^2 is a smooth elliptic curve. In particular, Y satisfies again Setup 4.9.

Proof.

(1). — It remains to prove the last assumption of the first case. Since $|-K_X| = A + |2H|$, one has $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 2$ by Corollary 2.8.

Now consider the threefold Y , since the anticanonical system $|-K_Y| = |2G|$ has no fixed part and again $-K_Y$ is nef with $n(-K_Y) = 3$, $\nu(-K_Y) = 2$, one has $h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(G)) = 2$ by the Corollary 2.8.

Since F is the strict transform of G by φ , we deduce from

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(F)) = h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(G))$$

that the blown up elliptic curve C must be contained in the base locus of $|G|$.

(2). — Since $E \cdot F = 0$, we have $\varphi^*(F_Y) = F$. We deduce that F_Y is nef as $\varphi^*(F_Y) = F$ is nef.

We first show that $-K_Y$ is not semi-ample, which implies $\kappa(-K_Y) = 1$ and $\nu(-K_Y) = 2$.

Since F^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle and $E \cdot F = 0$, we deduce that $F_Y^2 = \varphi(F)^2$ is also a non-zero effective 1-cycle. Since F_Y moves, $A_Y \cdot F_Y$ is an effective 1-cycle. By the adjunction formula, we get

$$-K_{F_Y} \sim (-K_Y - F_Y)|_{F_Y} \sim (A_Y + F_Y)|_{F_Y}$$

and thus

$$-K_Y|_{F_Y} \sim -K_{F_Y} + F_Y|_{F_Y}$$

is a non-zero effective divisor on F_Y such that $-K_Y|_{F_Y} \leq -2K_{F_Y}$, i.e. $h^0(F_Y, \mathcal{O}_{F_Y}(-2K_{F_Y} - (-K_Y)|_{F_Y})) > 0$.

Suppose by contradiction that $-K_Y$ is semi-ample, then $|-mK_Y|$ is base-point-free for $m \gg 0$. Hence its restriction $|-mK_Y|_{F_Y}$ to F_Y is also base-point-free. On the other hand, since $F_Y \simeq F$, we have $\kappa(F_Y, -K_{F_Y}) = 0$. Hence

$$1 \leq h^0(F_Y, \mathcal{O}_{F_Y}(-mK_Y|_{F_Y})) \leq h^0(F_Y, \mathcal{O}_{F_Y}(-2mK_{F_Y})) = 1.$$

Therefore, the linear system $|-mK_Y|_{F_Y}$ is fixed, which contradicts the fact that $|-mK_Y|_{F_Y}$ is base-point-free.

Now we show that the anticanonical system $|-K_Y|$ has a fixed part. Since F_Y is mobile, it is then clear that A_Y is the fixed part of $|-K_Y|$.

Suppose by contradiction that $|-K_Y|$ has no fixed part, then $-K_Y$ has index two by the Theorem 1.1. As $-K_Y \sim A_Y + 2F_Y$, this implies $A_Y = 2L$ for some $L \in \text{Pic}(Y)$. Hence

$$-K_{F_Y} \sim (A_Y + F_Y)|_{F_Y} \sim (2L + F_Y)|_{F_Y}.$$

Since $F_Y \simeq F$, F_Y is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth elliptic curve such that $-K_{F_Y} \cdot f = 2$ where f is a fiber. Since F^2 is a smooth elliptic curve (a

section of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle F) and $E \cdot F = 0$, we deduce that F_Y^2 is also a smooth elliptic curve (a section of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle F_Y) and thus

$$F_Y|_{F_Y} \cdot f = 1.$$

This implies $2L|_{F_Y} \cdot f = 1$, which contradicts the fact that $L|_{F_Y}$ is a Cartier divisor. \square

Remark 4.11. — In the setting of Proposition 4.10(2), we deduce by the same proposition that there exists a finite sequence

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} X_k$$

where

- φ_i is a blow-up along a smooth curve C_i of positive genus;
- X_i satisfies again the setup 4.9;
- X_k has a birational extremal contraction which contracts the fixed part A_k of $|-K_{X_k}|$.

Furthermore, the curve C_i is contained in A_i , where A_i is the fixed part of $|-K_{X_i}|$ which is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth elliptic curve D_i . Then C_k is an elliptic curve and $k = 1$.

Proof. — For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let g_i be a fiber of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle A_i .

Since C_i has positive genus and it is contained in the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle A_i , it must be surjective to the curve D_i . Let α_i be the degree of C_i onto the elliptic curve D_i . Then g_i meets C_i at α_i point(s). Hence in X_{i-1} , we have

$$E_{i-1} \cdot g_{i-1} = \alpha_i$$

where E_{i-1} is the exceptional divisor of φ_i and g_{i-1} is the strict transform of g_i . Therefore,

$$-K_{X_{i-1}} \cdot g_{i-1} = \varphi_k^*(-K_{X_i}) \cdot g_{i-1} - E_{i-1} \cdot g_{i-1} = -K_{X_i} \cdot g_i - \alpha_i.$$

Since $-K_{X_{i-1}}$ is nef, we deduce that $-K_{X_i} \cdot g_i - \alpha_i \geq 0$ and thus $-K_{X_i} \cdot g_i \geq 1$.

For $i = k$, since A_k is the exceptional divisor of an extremal contraction, we have $-K_{X_k} \cdot g_k = 1$. Hence $\alpha_k = 1$ (which implies $C_k \simeq D_k$ is a smooth elliptic curve) and $-K_{X_{k-1}} \cdot g_{k-1} = 0$ (which implies $k = 1$). \square

Proof of Proposition 1.4. It follows from Proposition 4.10 and Remark 4.11. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $|B|$ be the mobile part of the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$. By Proposition 4.1, there exists a finite sequence of flops $\psi: X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that $-K_{X'}$ is nef and the mobile part $|B'|$ of $|-K_{X'}|$ is nef.

Now we consider the case when B is nef and suppose by contradiction that B^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle. Then by Proposition 1.4, there exists a finite sequence

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} X_k \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k+1}} Y$$

with $k = 0$ or 1 , where φ_i is a blow-up along a smooth elliptic curve and Y is one of the cases described in Theorem 1.1 with $|-K_Y| = |2G|$. Moreover, a general member $D \in |G|$ is isomorphic to F , where F is a general member in $|H|$. Hence D is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth elliptic curve as described in Lemma 4.5(2b).

On the other hand, D is in one of the following cases:

- (1) If Y is a del Pezzo fibration: $\phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, then by Remark 3.3, $\phi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a fibration on D with general fiber isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 or two \mathbb{P}^1 's intersecting at one point.
- (2) If $Y = \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth rational surface S , where \mathcal{E} is a nef rank-2 vector bundle on S given by an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S) \longrightarrow 0$$

with \mathcal{I}_Z the ideal sheaf of a length- $c_2(\mathcal{E})$ subscheme Z of S , then by Remark 3.5, $D = \text{Bl}_Z(S)$ is a rational surface.

- (3) If Y has a birational extremal contraction, then D contains a (-1) -curve by Remark 3.8.

Hence $D \not\cong F$, which gives a contradiction. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] V. ALEXEEV, “Boundedness and K^2 for log surfaces”, *Int. J. Math.* **5** (1994), no. 6, p. 779-810.
- [2] T. BAUER, F. CAMPANA, T. ECKL, S. KEBEKUS, T. PETERNELL, S. RAMS, T. SZEMBERG & L. WOTZLAW, “A reduction map for nef line bundles”, in *Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000)*, Springer, 2002, p. 27-36.
- [3] T. BAUER & T. PETERNELL, “Nef reduction and anticanonical bundles”, *Asian J. Math.* **8** (2004), no. 2, p. 315-352.
- [4] C. BIRKAR, G. DI CERBO & R. SVALDI, “Boundedness of elliptic Calabi–Yau varieties with a rational section”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.09769v1>, 2020.
- [5] V. BRÎNZĂNESCU, *Holomorphic vector bundles over compact complex surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1624, Springer, 1996, x+170 pages.
- [6] J. CAO & A. HÖRING, “A decomposition theorem for projective manifolds with nef anticanonical bundle”, *J. Algebr. Geom.* **28** (2019), no. 3, p. 567-597.
- [7] J.-P. DEMAILLY, T. PETERNELL & M. SCHNEIDER, “Kähler manifolds with numerically effective Ricci class”, *Compos. Math.* **89** (1993), no. 2, p. 217-240.
- [8] R. HARTSHORNE, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 52, Springer, 1977, xvi+496 pages.

- [9] V. A. ISKOVSKIĖ, “Fano threefolds. I”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **41** (1977), no. 3, p. 516-562, 717.
- [10] ———, “Fano threefolds. II”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **42** (1978), no. 3, p. 506-549.
- [11] P. JAHNKE & T. PETERNELL, “Almost del Pezzo manifolds”, *Adv. Geom.* **8** (2008), no. 3, p. 387-411.
- [12] Y. KAWAMATA, “A generalization of Kodaira–Ramanujam’s vanishing theorem”, *Math. Ann.* **261** (1982), no. 1, p. 43-46.
- [13] ———, “Pluricanonical systems on minimal algebraic varieties”, *Invent. Math.* **79** (1985), no. 3, p. 567-588.
- [14] J. KOLLÁR, “Flops”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **113** (1989), p. 15-36.
- [15] J. KOLLÁR & S. MORI, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, 1998, viii+254 pages.
- [16] R. LAZARSFELD, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge*, vol. 48, Springer, 2004, xviii+387 pages.
- [17] J. LERAY, J. LERAY & J.-L. LIONS, “Quelques résultats de Višik sur les problèmes elliptiques nonlinéaires par les méthodes de Minty–Browder”, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* **93** (1965), p. 97-107.
- [18] J. LESIEUTRE & J. C. OTTEM, “Curves disjoint from a nef divisor”, *Mich. Math. J.* **65** (2016), no. 2, p. 321-332.
- [19] S. MORI, “Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective”, *Ann. Math.* **116** (1982), no. 1, p. 133-176.
- [20] S. MORI & S. MUKAI, “Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$ ”, *Manuscr. Math.* **36** (1981), no. 2, p. 147-162.
- [21] ———, “On Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$ ”, in *Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981)*, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, vol. 1, North-Holland, 1983, p. 101-129.
- [22] I. R. SHAFAREVICH (ed.), *Algebraic geometry. V*, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 47, Springer, 1999, iv+247 pages.
- [23] Z. XIE, “Géométrie des diviseurs anticanoniques pour certaines variétés rationnellement connexes de petite dimension”, PhD Thesis, Université Côte d’Azur, 2021.

Manuscrit reçu le 27 août 2020,
révisé le 3 mars 2023,
accepté le 15 mai 2023.

Zhixin XIE
Universität des Saarlandes, Saarbrücken (Germany)
xie@math.uni-sb.de