



ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Rudolf TANGE


**Corrigendum: On the first restricted cohomology of a
reductive Lie algebra and its Borel subalgebras**

Tome 70, n° 1 (2020), p. 169-170.

http://aif.centre-mersenne.org/item/AIF_2020__70_1_169_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2020,

Certains droits réservés.



Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence

CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/>



**CORRIGENDUM: ON THE FIRST RESTRICTED
COHOMOLOGY OF A REDUCTIVE LIE ALGEBRA
AND ITS BOREL SUBALGEBRAS**

Annales de l'institut Fourier, vol. 69 (2019), n°3, 1295–1308

by Rudolf TANGE

ABSTRACT. — We correct a mistake in the proof of the results for the cohomology of the coordinate rings of the groups G and B .

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous corrigeons une erreur dans la preuve des résultats pour la cohomologie des anneaux de coordonnées des groupes G et B .

All references below to results where the source isn't mentioned are to [2].

(1). — The second reference to Lemma 1.2(2) in the proof of Lemma 1.3(1) should be to Lemma 1.2(1).

(2). — The “local version of Lemma 1.3” mentioned after the proof of Lemma 1.3 and in the proof of Theorem 3.1 would need the ordinary Nakayama Lemma which only holds for finitely generated modules, so we cannot use this local version in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Instead we can use the following version of Lemma 1.3.

LEMMA. — Let S be a Noetherian commutative ring, let R be a Noetherian subring of S which is a domain such that $R \cap \mathfrak{n} \in \text{Maxspec}(R)$ for all $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{Maxspec}(S)$, and let N be a finitely generated S -module which is flat over R .

- (i) Let M be an S -submodule of N with $(\mathfrak{m}N) \cap M \subseteq \mathfrak{m}M$ for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}(R)$. Then N/M is a torsion-free R -module.
- (ii) Let M be an S -module, let $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ be an S -linear map. Assume that for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Maxspec}(R)$ the canonical map $M \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M$ maps $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ onto the kernel of the induced R/\mathfrak{m} -linear map $\bar{\varphi} : M/\mathfrak{m}M \rightarrow N/\mathfrak{m}N$. Then $N/\text{Im}(\varphi)$ is a torsion-free R -module.

Keywords: Cohomology, Frobenius kernel, reductive group.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20G05, 20G10.

Proof.

(i). — It is enough to show that $(N/M)_{\mathfrak{n}} = N_{\mathfrak{n}}/M_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -torsion-free, where $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n} \cap R$, for all $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{Maxspec}(S)$. Now $N_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is flat over R by the associativity of the tensor product, and since it is an $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module, it is also flat over $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Furthermore, $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{m}}N_{\mathfrak{n}}) \cap M_{\mathfrak{n}} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{m}}M_{\mathfrak{n}}$. So we may assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local Noetherian domain, and (S, \mathfrak{n}) a local Noetherian R -algebra with $\mathfrak{m}S \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$.

The assumption on M means that the R/\mathfrak{m} -module map $M/\mathfrak{m}M \rightarrow N/\mathfrak{m}N$ is injective. Since $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, N) = 0$ we get that $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, N/M) = 0$. By the local criterion for flatness (see e.g. [1, Thm. 6.8]) this means that N/M is a flat R -module. So it is torsion-free by [1, Cor. 6.3].

(ii). — Follows from (i) as in the proof of Lemma 1.3. \square

Now we can correct the proof of Theorem 3.1 as follows.

Let $R = k[G]^G$ be as in that proof. To show that $H^1(G_1, k[G])$ is R -torsion-free it suffices to show that the cokernel of $\varphi = f \mapsto (x \mapsto x \cdot f) : k[G] \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{g}, k[G])$ is R -torsion-free. This follows by applying the second assertion of the above lemma with $S = k[G]^{\mathfrak{g}}$. The point is that $k[G]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ contains $(R$ and) the p -th powers, so $\text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{g}, k[G])$ is a finitely generated $k[G]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. The proofs of Theorem 3.2 and 4.2(2) are corrected similarly. We take $(R, S) = (k[B]^B, k[B]^b)$ for Theorem 3.2 and $(R, S) = (k[G]^G, k[G]^{G_r})$ and $(R, S) = (k[B]^B, k[B]^{B_r})$ for the two statements in Theorem 4.2(2).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. EISENBUD, *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer, 1995, xvi+785 pages.
- [2] R. TANGE, “On the first restricted cohomology of a reductive Lie algebra and its Borel subalgebras”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **69** (2019), no. 3, p. 1295-1308.

Manuscrit reçu le 4 octobre 2019,
révisé le 20 novembre 2019,
accepté le 20 décembre 2019.

Rudolf TANGE
University of Leeds
School of Mathematics
LS2 9JT, Leeds (UK)
R.H.Tange@leeds.ac.uk