



ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Ariel BARTON, STEVE HOFMANN & SVITLANA MAYBORODA

Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values of solutions to higher order elliptic equations

Tome 69, n° 4 (2019), p. 1627-1678.

http://aif.centre-mersenne.org/item/AIF_2019__69_4_1627_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2019,

Certains droits réservés.



Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence

CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/>



DIRICHLET AND NEUMANN BOUNDARY VALUES OF SOLUTIONS TO HIGHER ORDER ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

by Ariel BARTON,
Steve HOFMANN & Svitlana MAYBORODA (*)

ABSTRACT. — We show that if u is a solution to a linear elliptic differential equation of order $2m \geq 2$ in the half-space with t -independent coefficients, and if u satisfies certain area integral estimates, then the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values of u exist and lie in a Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or Sobolev space $\dot{W}_{\pm 1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Even in the case where u is a solution to a second order equation, our results are new for certain values of p .

RÉSUMÉ. — On montre que si u est une solution d'une équation aux dérivées partielles elliptique d'ordre $2m \geq 2$ dans le demi-espace à coefficients indépendants de t , et u satisfait certaines conditions d'intégrales de surface, alors les données aux frontières de Dirichlet et de Neumann de u existent et appartiennent à un espace de Lebesgue $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ou un espace de Sobolev $\dot{W}_{\pm 1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Même dans le cas où u est une solution d'une équation de second ordre, nos résultats sont nouveaux pour certaines valeurs de p .

1. Introduction

This paper is part of an ongoing study of elliptic differential operators of the form

$$(1.1) \quad Lu = (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \partial^\alpha (A_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\beta u)$$

Keywords: Elliptic equation, higher order differential equation, Dirichlet boundary values, Neumann boundary values.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35J67, 35J30, 31B10.

(*) Steve Hofmann is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1664047.

Svitlana Mayboroda is partially supported by the NSF CAREER Award DMS 1056004, the NSF INSPIRE Award DMS 1344235, the NSF Materials Research Science and Engineering Center Seed Grant, and the Simons Fellowship.

for $m \geq 1$, with general bounded measurable coefficients.

Specifically, we consider boundary value problems for such operators. One such problem is the Dirichlet problem

$$(1.2) \quad Lu = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \nabla^{m-1}u = \mathbf{f} \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

for a specified domain Ω and array \mathbf{f} of boundary functions.

We are also interested in the corresponding higher order Neumann problem, defined as follows. We say that $Lu = 0$ in Ω in the weak sense if

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\alpha} \varphi A_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\beta} u = 0$$

for all smooth functions φ whose support is compactly contained in Ω . If φ is smooth and compactly supported in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \supseteq \Omega$, then the above integral is no longer zero; however, it depends only on u and the behavior of φ near the boundary, not the values of φ in the interior of Ω . The Neumann problem with boundary data \mathbf{g} is then the problem of finding a function u such that

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\alpha} \varphi A_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\beta} u = \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial^{\gamma} \varphi g_{\gamma} \, d\sigma$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. In the second-order case ($m = 1$), if \mathbf{A} and ∇u are continuous up to the boundary, then integrating by parts reveals that $g = \nu \cdot \mathbf{A} \nabla u$, where ν is the unit outward normal vector, and so this notion of Neumann problem coincides with the more familiar Neumann problem in the second order case.

In the higher order case, the Neumann boundary values \mathbf{g} of u are a linear operator on $\{\nabla^{m-1}\varphi|_{\partial\Omega} : \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})\}$. Given a bound on the above integral in terms of, for example, $\|\nabla^{m-1}\varphi|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)}$, we may extend \mathbf{g} by density to a linear operator on a closed subspace of $L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$; however, gradients of smooth functions are not dense in $L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$, and so \mathbf{g} lies not in the dual space $L^p(\partial\Omega)$ but in a quotient space of $L^p(\partial\Omega)$. We refer the interested reader to [16, 21] for further discussion of the nature of higher order Neumann boundary values.

In this paper we will focus on trace results. That is, for a specific class of coefficients \mathbf{A} , given a solution u to $Lu = 0$ in the upper half-space, and given that a certain norm of u is finite, we will show that the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values exist, and will produce estimates on the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} in formulas (1.2) or (1.3); specifically, we will find norms of u that force \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} to lie in Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ or Sobolev spaces $\dot{W}_{\pm 1}^p(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$.

These results may be viewed as a converse to the well posedness results central to the theory; that is, well posedness results begin with the boundary values \mathbf{f} or \mathbf{g} and attempt to construct functions u that satisfy the problems (1.2) or (1.3).

We now turn to the specifics of our results.

We will consider solutions u to $Lu = 0$ in the upper half-space \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , where L is an operator of the form (1.1), with coefficients that are t -independent in the sense that

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbf{A}(x, t) = \mathbf{A}(x, s) = \mathbf{A}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

At least in the case of well posedness results, it has long been known (see [26, 59]) that some regularity of the coefficients \mathbf{A} in formula (1.1) is needed. Many important results in the second order theory have been proven in the case of t -independent coefficients in the half-space; see, for example, [5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 22, 40, 41, 43, 48, 51]. The t -independent case may also be used as a starting point for certain t -dependent perturbations; see, for example, [6, 42, 49]. In the higher order case, well posedness of the Dirichlet problem for certain fourth-order differential operators (of a strange form, that is, not of the form (1.1)) with t -independent coefficients was established in [20]. The theory of boundary value problems for t -independent operators of the form (1.1) is still in its infancy; the authors of the present paper have begun its study in the papers [19, 16] and intend to continue its study in the present paper, in [18, 17], and in future work.

We will be interested in solutions that satisfy bounds in terms of the Lusin area integral \mathcal{A}_2^+ given by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{A}_2^+ H(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{|x-y|<t} |H(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Our main results may be stated as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. — *Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$, associated with coefficients \mathbf{A} that are t -independent in the sense of formula (1.4) and satisfy the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2).*

If $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , $n \geq 1$, let the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values $\mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ u$ and $\mathbf{M}_A^+ u$ of u be given by formulas (2.6) and (2.10).

There exist some constants $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 > 0$, depending only on the dimension $n + 1$ and the constants λ and Λ in the bounds (2.1) and (2.2), such that the following statements are valid. (If $n + 1 = 2$ or $n + 1 = 3$ then $\varepsilon_1 = \infty$.)

Let v and w be functions defined in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} such that $Lv = Lw = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . Suppose that $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m v) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t w) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for

some $1 < p < \infty$. If $p > 2$, assume in addition that $\nabla^m v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$ and $\nabla^m \partial_{n+1} w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$ for all $\sigma > 0$. (It is acceptable if the L^2 norm approaches infinity as $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$.)

If p lies in the ranges indicated below, then there exists a constant array $\dot{\mathbf{c}}$ and a function \tilde{w} , with $L\tilde{w} = 0$ and $\nabla^m \partial_{n+1} \tilde{w} = \nabla^m \partial_{n+1} w$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , such that the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values of v and \tilde{w} exist in the sense of formulas (2.6) and (2.10) and satisfy the bounds

$$(1.6) \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+ v - \dot{\mathbf{c}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 < p \leq 2 + \varepsilon_1,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ v\|_{\dot{W}_{-1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

$$(1.8) \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+ \tilde{w}\|_{\dot{W}_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 < p \leq 2 + \varepsilon_2,$$

$$(1.9) \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ \tilde{w}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 < p \leq 2 + \varepsilon_2.$$

Define

$$(1.10) \quad W_{p,q}(\tau) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B((x,\tau),\tau/2)} |\nabla^m w|^q \right)^{p/q} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

If for some $q > 0$ and some $\tau > 0$ we have that $W_{p,q}(\tau) < \infty$, then the bounds (1.8) and (1.9) are valid with $\tilde{w} = w$.

If $W_{p,q}(\tau)$ is bounded uniformly in $\tau > 0$ for some fixed $q > 0$, then

$$(1.11) \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C_{p,q} \sup_{\tau > 0} W_{p,q}(\tau)$$

for all p with $1 < p < \infty$.

Here the L^p and \dot{W}_{-1}^p norms of the Neumann boundary values are meant in the sense of operators on (not necessarily dense) subspaces of $L^{p'}$ and $\dot{W}_1^{p'}$, that is, in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ v\|_{\dot{W}_{-1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \frac{|\langle \nabla^{m-1} \varphi(\cdot, 0), \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}|}{\|\nabla^{m-1} \varphi(\cdot, 0)\|_{\dot{W}_1^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}, \\ \|\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ \tilde{w}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \frac{|\langle \nabla^{m-1} \varphi(\cdot, 0), \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ \tilde{w} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}|}{\|\nabla^{m-1} \varphi(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

These results are new in the higher order case. In the second order case, the bounds (1.6)–(1.9) are known in some cases (in particular, the case $p = 2$), but are new for certain other values of p .

Specifically, if $n + 1 \geq 3$, then the bounds (1.6) and (1.7) are new even for second order operators in the case $1 < p < 2 - \varepsilon$. Here ε is a positive number depending on L . The bounds (1.6) and (1.7) for $2 - \varepsilon < p < 2n/(n - 2) + \varepsilon$, and the bounds (1.8) and (1.9) for $2n/(n + 2) - \varepsilon < p < 2 + \varepsilon$, are known. If $n + 1 \geq 4$, then the case $2n/(n - 2) + \varepsilon < p < \infty$ of the bound (1.7),

and the case $1 < p < 2n/(n + 2) - \varepsilon$ of the bounds (1.8) and (1.9), are known if L is a second order t -independent operator that satisfies a De Giorgi–Nash–Moser type condition (see [11] for the details), but are new for general second order t -independent operators.

Remark 1.2. — Let $\tilde{N}H(x) = \sup\{(f_{B((y,t),t/2)}|H|^2)^{1/2} : |x - y| < t\}$ be the modified nontangential maximal function introduced in [49]. Estimates of the form $\|\tilde{N}(\nabla^{m-1}u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, for a solution u to $Lu = 0$, have played an important role in the theory of boundary value problems. See [33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 41, 48, 50, 60] for some proofs of this equivalence and related equivalences under various assumptions on L .

This equivalence can be used to solve boundary value problems. In [41, 50] (and [48]), this equivalence was used, together with the method of ε -approximability of [48], to establish well posedness of the Dirichlet problem with L^p boundary data for certain second order operators and for p large enough. The operators of [50] were further studied in [36, 37], again using equivalences between nontangential and square function estimates. In the higher order case, this equivalence was used by Shen in [63] to prove well posedness of the L^p -Dirichlet problem for constant coefficient systems and for appropriate p , by Kilty and Shen in [52] to prove well posedness of the \dot{W}_1^q -Dirichlet problems for Δ^2 and for appropriate q , and by Verchota in [65] to prove a maximum principle in three-dimensional Lipschitz domains for constant coefficient elliptic systems.

The results of the present paper constitute a major first step towards proving the estimate $\|\tilde{N}(\nabla^{m-1}u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for higher order operators with t -independent coefficients. Specifically, if $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} and $\nabla^m u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, then we will see (formula (2.17) below) that

$$\nabla^m u = -\nabla^m \mathcal{D}^A(\dot{\mathbf{T}}r_{m-1}^+ u) + \nabla^m \mathcal{S}^L(\dot{\mathbf{M}}_A^+ u)$$

where \mathcal{D}^A and \mathcal{S}^L denote the double and single layer potentials. This Green’s formula will be extended to solutions u that satisfy $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in [17]. In [18], we will show that the double and single layer potentials satisfy nontangential estimates, and in a forthcoming paper, we intend to extend the Green’s formula to solutions u with $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m u) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for a broader range of p ; combined with Theorem 1.1, this implies the desired estimate $\|\tilde{N}(\nabla^{m-1}u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

We mention some refinements to Theorem 1.1.

The definition (2.10) below of Neumann boundary values is somewhat delicate; a more robust formulation of $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_A^+ w$ is stated in Theorem 6.2.

(The delicate formulation is necessary to contend with v in the full generality of Theorem 1.1; however, if v satisfies some additional regularity assumptions, such as $\nabla^m v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, then the formulation of Neumann boundary values of formula (2.10) coincides with more robust formulations. See Section 2.3.2.)

There is some polynomial P of degree $m - 1$ such that $\nabla^{m-1}P = \dot{\mathbf{c}}$. Then $\tilde{v} = v - P$ is also a solution to $L\tilde{v} = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , $\nabla^m \tilde{v} = \nabla^m v$ and so \tilde{v} satisfies the same estimates as v , and furthermore $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{v} = \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}} v$.

Some additional bounds on \tilde{w} and v are stated in Theorems 5.1 and 5.2. In particular, we have the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t>0} \|\nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, t) - \dot{\mathbf{c}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_p \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \sup_{t>0} \|\nabla^m \tilde{w}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_p \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

and the limits

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, T) - \dot{\mathbf{c}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|\nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, t) - \dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+ v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= 0, \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla^m \tilde{w}(\cdot, T)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|\nabla^m \tilde{w}(\cdot, t) - \dot{\mathbf{T}}_m^+ \tilde{w}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that an L^p bound on $\nabla^m \tilde{w}(\cdot, t)$ is stronger than a \dot{W}_1^p bound on $\nabla^{m-1} \tilde{w}(\cdot, t)$, as the former involves estimates on all derivatives of order m while the latter involves only derivatives at least one component of which is tangential to the boundary.

It is clear that $W_{p,p}(\tau) \leq C \sup_{t>0} \|\nabla^m w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. In addition, we remark that $W_{p,2}(\tau) \leq \|\tilde{N}(\nabla^m w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p$, where \tilde{N} is the modified nontangential maximal function introduced in [49] and mentioned in Remark 1.2.

We now review the history of such results. The theory of boundary values of harmonic functions may be said to begin with Fatou’s celebrated result [39] that, if a function u is bounded and harmonic in the unit disk in the plane, then the Dirichlet boundary values of u exist almost everywhere in the sense of nontangential limits. We remark that if $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then its boundary values necessarily lie in $L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$.

In [62], Privaloff considered general domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ bounded by rectifiable curves and relaxed the requirement that u be bounded uniformly in Ω . That is, let Nu be given by

$$Nu(X) = \sup_{\Gamma(X)} |u(Y)| \quad \text{for } X \in \partial\Omega$$

where $\Gamma(X)$ is a triangle (or, in higher dimensions, a truncated cone) contained in Ω and with a vertex at X . Privaloff showed that if Nu is bounded in some set $E \subset \partial\Omega$, then u has a nontangential limit at almost every

point in E . This result was extended to the half space \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} for $n \geq 1$ by Calderón in [28] (see also [30]), and to Lipschitz domains by Hunt and Wheeden in [44, 45].

Observe that in particular, if $Nu \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$, then $Nu(X) < \infty$ for almost every $X \in \partial\Omega$, and so u has a nontangential limit almost everywhere in $\partial\Omega$; necessarily $|u(X)| \leq Nu(X)$ and so the boundary values are also in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$.

In [33], Dahlberg showed that if u is harmonic in a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then if u is normalized appropriately we have that

$$(1.12) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_2^\Omega(\delta\nabla u)\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \approx \|Nu\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)}, \quad 0 < p < \infty$$

where \mathcal{A}_2^Ω is a variant on the Lusin area integral of formula (1.5) appropriate to the domain Ω . Thus, Dahlberg's results imply the analogue to the bound (1.6) (for $0 < p < \infty$) in Lipschitz domains for harmonic functions v . Because the gradient of a harmonic function is harmonic, Dahlberg's results also imply the Lipschitz analogue to the bounds (1.8) and (1.9) (with Neumann boundary values $\nu \cdot \nabla w$) for harmonic functions.

Turning to more general second order operators, in [27] the results described above, for nontangentially bounded harmonic functions in Lipschitz domains, were generalized to the case of nontangentially bounded solutions u to $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}\nabla u = 0$, where \mathbf{A} is a real-valued matrix for which the L -harmonic measure associated to $L = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}\nabla$ is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to surface measure. The equivalence (1.12) was established in [34] for such u , provided that the Dirichlet problem with boundary data in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$ is well posed for at least one p with $1 < p < \infty$. (Well posedness implies mutual absolute continuity of L -harmonic and surface measure.) Thus, for such coefficients the analogue to the bound (1.6), in Lipschitz domains, and for $1 < p < \infty$, is valid.

In [49, Section 3] it was shown that if $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}\nabla w = 0$ in the unit ball, where \mathbf{A} is real, and if $\tilde{N}(\nabla w) \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, where \tilde{N} is the modified nontangential maximal function introduced therein and mentioned above, then the Dirichlet boundary values $w|_{\partial\Omega}$ lie in the boundary Sobolev space $\dot{W}_1^p(\partial\Omega)$ and the Neumann boundary values $M_{\mathbf{A}}^\Omega w = \nu \cdot \mathbf{A}\nabla w$ lie in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$. With some modifications, the requirement that \mathbf{A} be real-valued may be dropped (and indeed the same argument, at least for Dirichlet boundary values, is valid for higher order operators). These results are the analogues to the bounds (1.8) and (1.9) with nontangential estimates in place of area integral estimates.

Turning to the case of complex coefficients, or the case where well posedness of the Dirichlet problem is not assumed, in [6, Theorem 2.3], the

equivalence

$$(1.13) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla\partial_t w)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx \|\tilde{N}(\nabla w)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for solutions w to elliptic equations with t -independent coefficients was established; combined with the arguments of [49], this yields the bounds (1.8) and (1.9) for $p = 2$ and $m = 1$. (Under some further assumptions, this equivalence was established in [5].) Furthermore, in [6, Theorem 2.4] the bound (1.6) was established for general t -independent coefficients, again for $p = 2$ and $m = 1$. These results extend to t -dependent operators that satisfy a small (or finite) Carleson norm condition.

The result (1.7), and indeed the Neumann problem with boundary data in negative smoothness spaces, has received little attention to date; most of the known results involve the Neumann problem for inhomogeneous differential equations and the related theory of Neumann boundary value problems with data in fractional smoothness spaces [3, 4, 12, 22, 38, 56, 57, 67]. However, the Neumann problem with boundary data in the negative Sobolev space $\dot{W}_{-1}^p(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ was investigated in [66, Sections 4 and 22] in the case of harmonic and biharmonic functions, and in [9, Section 11] in the case of second order operators with t -independent coefficients. Furthermore, as a consequence of [11, Theorems 1.1–1.2], we have the bound (1.7) with $m = 1$ and $2 - \varepsilon < p < 2n/(n - 2) + \varepsilon$ (or $2 - \varepsilon < p < \infty$, if $n + 1 = 2$ or $n + 1 = 3$), where $\varepsilon > 0$ depends on L .

[11, Theorems 1.1–1.2] also yield improved ranges of p for the bounds (1.6), (1.8) and (1.9) with $m = 1$. Specifically, the bound (1.6) was also established for $2 - \varepsilon < p < 2n/(n - 2) + \varepsilon$ or $2 - \varepsilon < p < \infty$, and the bounds (1.8) and (1.9) were established for $2n/(n + 2) - \varepsilon < p < 2 + \varepsilon$. If L satisfies a De Giorgi–Nash–Moser type condition, the bounds (1.6) and (1.7) were established for $2 - \varepsilon < p < \infty$, and the bounds (1.8) and (1.9) were established for $1 - \varepsilon < p < 2 + \varepsilon$ under a suitable modification in the case $p \leq 1$.

We remark that Fatou’s theorem, our Theorem 1.1, and many of the other results discussed above, are valid only for solutions to elliptic equations. An arbitrary function that satisfies square function estimates or nontangential bounds need not have a limit at the boundary in any sense. Many of the trace results applied in the higher order theory have been proven in much higher generality. It is well known that if u is any function in the Sobolev space $\dot{W}_m^p(\Omega)$, where Ω is a bounded Lipschitz domain, $1 < p < \infty$ and $m \geq 1$ is an integer, then the Dirichlet boundary values $\mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^\Omega u$ lie in the Besov space $\dot{B}_{1-1/p}^{p,p}(\partial\Omega)$. Similar results are true if u lies in a Besov or Triebel–Lizorkin space (see [46, 47]) or a weighted Sobolev space (see [15,

25, 53, 54, 55]). These results all yield that the boundary values $\mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^\Omega u$ lie in a boundary Besov space $\dot{B}_s^{p,p}(\partial\Omega)$, with smoothness parameter s satisfying $0 < s < 1$.

Such results, and their converses (i.e., extension results), have been used to pass between the Dirichlet problem for a homogeneous differential equation and the Dirichlet problem with homogeneous boundary data, that is, between the problems

$$(1.14) \quad Lu = H \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \nabla^{m-1}u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad \|u\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq C\|H\|_{\mathfrak{Y}},$$

$$(1.15) \quad Lu = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \nabla^{m-1}u = \mathbf{f} \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad \|u\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq C\|\mathbf{f}\|_{\dot{B}_s^{p,p}(\partial\Omega)}$$

for some appropriate spaces \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} . See, for example, [1, 3, 12, 22, 24, 55, 56, 57, 58].

We are interested in the case where the boundary data lies in a Lebesgue space or Sobolev space, that is, where the smoothness parameter is an integer. In this case the natural associated inhomogeneous problem is ill-posed, even in very nice cases (for example, for harmonic functions in the half-space) and so the arguments involving the inhomogeneous problem (1.14) are not available. Furthermore, in this case it generally is necessary to exploit the fact that u is a solution to an elliptic equation, and so the method of proof of Theorem 1.1 is completely different.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we will define the terminology we will use throughout the paper. In Section 3 we will summarize some known results of the theory of higher order elliptic equations. In Section 4 we will prove a few results that will be of use in both Sections 5 and 6. In particular, we will prove Lemma 4.2, the technical core of our paper. Finally, we will prove our results concerning Dirichlet boundary values in Section 5, and our results concerning Neumann boundary values in Section 6; these results will be stated as Theorems 5.1, 5.2, 6.1 and 6.2. We mention that many of the ideas in the present paper come from the proof of the main estimate (3.9) of [40]. The results of the present paper allow for a slightly different approach to proving the results of [40]; see [17, Remark 7.6].

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the American Institute of Mathematics for hosting the SQuaRE workshop on ‘‘Singular integral operators and solvability of boundary problems for elliptic equations with rough coefficients,’’ and the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute for hosting a Program on

Harmonic Analysis, at which many of the results and techniques of this paper were discussed.

2. Definitions

In this section, we will provide precise definitions of the notation and concepts used throughout this paper.

We mention that throughout this paper, we will work with elliptic operators L of order $2m$ in the divergence form (1.1) acting on functions defined on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \geq 1$. As usual, we let $B(X, r)$ denote the ball in \mathbb{R}^n of radius r and center X . We let \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} and \mathbb{R}_-^{n+1} denote the upper and lower half-spaces $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, 0)$; we will identify \mathbb{R}^n with $\partial\mathbb{R}_\pm^{n+1}$.

If $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a cube, we let $\ell(Q)$ be its side-length, and we let cQ be the concentric cube of side-length $c\ell(Q)$. If E is a measurable set, we let $\mathbf{1}_E$ denote the characteristic function of E ; we will use $\mathbf{1}_+$ and $\mathbf{1}_-$ as shorthand for the characteristic functions of the upper and lower half-spaces, respectively. If E is a set of finite measure, we let $f_E f(x) dx = \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E f(x) dx$.

2.1. Multiindices and arrays of functions

We will reserve the letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \zeta$ and ξ to denote multiindices in $(\mathbb{N}_0)^{n+1}$. (Here \mathbb{N}_0 denotes the nonnegative integers.) If $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n+1})$ is a multiindex, then we define $|\zeta|$, ∂^ζ and $\zeta!$ in the usual ways, as $|\zeta| = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \dots + \zeta_{n+1}$, $\partial^\zeta = \partial_{x_1}^{\zeta_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\zeta_2} \dots \partial_{x_{n+1}}^{\zeta_{n+1}}$, and $\zeta! = \zeta_1! \zeta_2! \dots \zeta_{n+1}!$.

We will routinely deal with arrays $\dot{\mathbf{F}} = (F_\zeta)$ of numbers or functions indexed by multiindices ζ with $|\zeta| = k$ for some $k \geq 0$. In particular, if φ is a function with weak derivatives of order up to k , then we view $\nabla^k \varphi$ as such an array.

The inner product of two such arrays of numbers $\dot{\mathbf{F}}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{G}}$ is given by

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{F}}, \dot{\mathbf{G}} \rangle = \sum_{|\zeta|=k} \overline{F_\zeta} G_\zeta.$$

If $\dot{\mathbf{F}}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{G}}$ are two arrays of functions defined in a set Ω in Euclidean space, then the inner product of $\dot{\mathbf{F}}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{G}}$ is given by

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{F}}, \dot{\mathbf{G}} \rangle_\Omega = \sum_{|\zeta|=k} \int_\Omega \overline{F_\zeta(X)} G_\zeta(X) dX.$$

We let \vec{e}_j be the unit vector in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} in the j th direction; notice that \vec{e}_j is a multiindex with $|\vec{e}_j| = 1$. We let \dot{e}_ζ be the unit array corresponding to the multiindex ζ ; thus, $\langle \dot{e}_\zeta, \dot{F} \rangle = F_\zeta$.

We will let ∇_{\parallel} denote either the gradient in \mathbb{R}^n , or the n horizontal components of the full gradient ∇ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . (Because we identify \mathbb{R}^n with $\partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{\pm} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the two uses are equivalent.) If ζ is a multiindex with $\zeta_{n+1} = 0$, we will occasionally use the terminology $\partial_{\parallel}^{\zeta}$ to emphasize that the derivatives are taken purely in the horizontal directions.

2.2. Elliptic differential operators

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_{\alpha\beta})$ be a matrix of measurable coefficients defined on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , indexed by multiindices α, β with $|\alpha| = |\beta| = m$. If \dot{F} is an array, then $\mathbf{A}\dot{F}$ is the array given by

$$(\mathbf{A}\dot{F})_{\alpha} = \sum_{|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} F_{\beta}.$$

We will consider coefficients that satisfy the Gårding inequality

$$(2.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \geq \lambda \|\nabla^m \varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$$

and the bound

$$(2.2) \quad \|\mathbf{A}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq \Lambda$$

for some $\Lambda > \lambda > 0$. In this paper we will focus exclusively on coefficients that are t -independent, that is, that satisfy formula (1.4).

We let L be the $2m$ th-order divergence form operator associated with \mathbf{A} . That is, we say that $Lu = 0$ in Ω in the weak sense if, for every φ smooth and compactly supported in Ω , we have that

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u \rangle_{\Omega} = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\alpha \bar{\varphi} A_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\beta u = 0.$$

Throughout the paper we will let C denote a constant whose value may change from line to line, but which depends only on the dimension $n + 1$, the ellipticity constants λ and Λ in the bounds (2.1) and (2.2), and the order $2m$ of our elliptic operators. Any other dependencies will be indicated explicitly.

We let \mathbf{A}^* be the adjoint matrix; that is, we let $A_{\alpha\beta}^* = \overline{A_{\beta\alpha}}$. We let L^* be the associated elliptic operator.

2.3. Function spaces and boundary data

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a measurable set in Euclidean space. We will let $L^p(\Omega)$ denote the usual Lebesgue space with respect to Lebesgue measure with norm given by

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

If Ω is a connected open set, then we let the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{W}_m^p(\Omega)$ be the space of equivalence classes of functions u that are locally integrable in Ω and have weak derivatives in Ω of order up to m in the distributional sense, and whose m th gradient $\nabla^m u$ lies in $L^p(\Omega)$. Two functions are equivalent if their difference is a polynomial of order at most $m - 1$. We impose the norm

$$\|u\|_{\dot{W}_m^p(\Omega)} = \|\nabla^m u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Then u is equal to a polynomial of order at most $m - 1$ (and thus equivalent to zero) if and only if its $\dot{W}_m^p(\Omega)$ -norm is zero. We let $L_{loc}^p(\Omega)$ and $\dot{W}_{k,loc}^p(\Omega)$ denote functions that lie in $L^p(U)$ (or whose gradients lie in $L^p(U)$) for any bounded open set U with $\bar{U} \subset \Omega$.

We will need a number of more specialized function spaces.

We will consider functions u defined in \mathbb{R}_\pm^{n+1} that lie in tent spaces. If $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \neq 0$, then let $\Gamma_a(x) = \{(y, t) : y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}, |x - y| < at\}$. Notice that $\Gamma_a(x) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ if $a > 0$ and $\Gamma_a(x) \subset \mathbb{R}_-^{n+1}$ if $a < 0$. Let

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{A}_2^a H(x) = \left(\int_{\Gamma_a(x)} |H(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{|t|^{n+1}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

We will employ the shorthand $\mathcal{A}_2^- = \mathcal{A}_2^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2^+ = \mathcal{A}_2^1$. If the letter t appears in the argument of \mathcal{A}_2^a , then it denotes the coordinate function in the t -direction.

The case $p = 2$ will be of great importance to us; we remark that if $p = 2$, then

$$(2.5) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_2^+ H\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\omega_n \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |H(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}$$

where ω_n is the volume of the unit disk in \mathbb{R}^n .

2.3.1. Dirichlet boundary data and spaces

If u is defined in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , we let its Dirichlet boundary values be, loosely, the boundary values of the gradient $\nabla^{m-1}u$. More precisely, we let the Dirichlet boundary values be the array of functions $\dot{\mathbf{T}}r_{m-1} u = \dot{\mathbf{T}}r_{m-1}^+ u$, indexed by multiindices γ with $|\gamma| = m - 1$, and given by

$$(2.6) \quad (\dot{\mathbf{T}}r_{m-1}^+ u)_\gamma = f \quad \text{if} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|\partial^\gamma u(\cdot, t) - f\|_{L^1(K)} = 0$$

for all compact sets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If u is defined in \mathbb{R}_-^{n+1} , we define $\dot{\mathbf{T}}r_{m-1}^- u$ similarly. We remark that if $\nabla^m u \in L^1(K \times (0, \sigma))$ for any such K and some $\sigma > 0$, then $\dot{\mathbf{T}}r_{m-1}^+ u$ exists, and furthermore $(\dot{\mathbf{T}}r_{m-1}^+ u)_\gamma = \text{Tr} \partial^\gamma u$ where Tr denotes the traditional trace in the sense of Sobolev spaces.

We will be concerned with boundary values in Lebesgue or Sobolev spaces. However, observe that the different components of $\dot{\mathbf{T}}r_{m-1} u$ arise as derivatives of a common function, and thus must satisfy certain compatibility conditions. We will define the Whitney spaces of arrays of functions that satisfy these compatibility conditions and have certain smoothness properties as follows.

DEFINITION 2.1. — *Let*

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{\dot{\mathbf{T}}r_{m-1} \varphi : \varphi \text{ smooth and compactly supported in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\}.$$

We let $\dot{W}A_{m-1,0}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the completion of the set \mathfrak{D} under the L^p norm.

We let $\dot{W}A_{m-1,1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the completion of \mathfrak{D} under the $\dot{W}_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm, that is, under the norm $\|\dot{\mathbf{f}}\|_{\dot{W}A_{m-1,1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{f}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Finally, we let $\dot{W}A_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the completion of \mathfrak{D} under the norm

$$(2.7) \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{f}}\|_{\dot{W}A_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}_\gamma(\xi)|^2 |\xi| \, d\xi \right)^{1/2}$$

where \widehat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f .

The goal of Section 5 is to show that if u is a solution to the differential equation (2.3) in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , and if $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m u) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t u) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 < p < 2 + \varepsilon$, then up to a certain additive normalization, $\dot{\mathbf{T}}r_{m-1} u$ lies in $\dot{W}A_{m-1,0}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $\dot{W}A_{m-1,1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The space $\dot{W}A_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is of interest in connection with the theory of solutions to boundary value problems in $\dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, as will be seen in the following lemma. Such boundary value problems may be investigated using the Lax–Milgram lemma, and many useful results may be obtained

therefrom. In particular, we will define layer potentials (Section 2.4), establish duality results for layer potentials (Lemma 4.1), and prove the Green’s formula (2.17), in terms of such solutions.

LEMMA 2.2. — *If $u \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ then $\mathbf{T}r_{m-1}^+ u \in \dot{W}_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and furthermore*

$$\|\mathbf{T}r_{m-1}^+ u\|_{\dot{W}_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\nabla^m u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}.$$

Conversely, if $\mathbf{f} \in \dot{W}_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there is some $F \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ such that $\mathbf{T}r_{m-1}^+ F = \mathbf{f}$ and such that

$$\|\nabla^m F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\dot{W}_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

If $\dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and $\dot{W}_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are replaced by their inhomogeneous counterparts, then this lemma is a special case of the main result of [54]. For the homogeneous spaces that we consider, the $m = 1$ case of this lemma is a special case of the results in [46, Section 5]. The trace result for $m \geq 2$ follows from the trace result for $m = 1$; extensions may easily be constructed using the Fourier transform.

Remark 2.3. — This notion of Dirichlet boundary values may require some explanation. Most known results (see, for example, [57, 61, 64]) establish well posedness of the Dirichlet problem for an elliptic differential operator of order $2m$ in the case where the Dirichlet boundary values of u are taken to include lower order derivatives, that is, to be $\{\partial^\gamma u|_{\partial\Omega}\}_{|\gamma| \leq m-1}$ or $\{\partial_\nu^k u|_{\partial\Omega}\}_{k=0}^{m-1}$, or some combination thereof, where ∂_ν denotes derivatives taken in the direction normal to the boundary. (Indeed the analogue to our Lemma 2.2 in [54] is stated in this fashion.)

If $\partial\Omega$ is connected, then up to adding polynomials, it is equivalent to specify $\nabla^{m-1}u$ on the boundary. We prefer to specify only the highest derivatives for reasons of homogeneousness. That is, we often expect all components of $\nabla^{m-1}u$ to exhibit the same degree of smoothness. In this case, all components of $\mathbf{T}r_{m-1} u$ lie in the same smoothness space, but the lower-order derivatives $\{\partial^\gamma u|_{\partial\Omega}\}_{|\gamma| \leq m-2}$ or $\{\partial_\nu^k u|_{\partial\Omega}\}_{k=0}^{m-2}$ lie in higher smoothness spaces. This is notationally awkward in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} ; furthermore, we hope in future to generalize to Lipschitz domains, in which case higher order smoothness spaces on the boundary are extremely problematic.

2.3.2. Neumann boundary data

It is by now standard to define Neumann boundary values in a variational sense.

That is, suppose that $u \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and that $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . By the definition (2.3) of Lu , if φ is smooth and supported in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , then $\langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} = 0$. By density of smooth functions and boundedness of the trace map, we have that $\langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} = 0$ for any $\varphi \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ with $\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+ \varphi = 0$. Thus, if $\Psi \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, then the quantity $\langle \nabla^m \Psi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$ depends only on $\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+ \Psi$.

Thus, for solutions u to $Lu = 0$ with $u \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, we may define the Neumann boundary values $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ u$ by the formula

$$(2.8) \quad \langle \dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+ \Psi, \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \langle \nabla^m \Psi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \text{ for all } \Psi \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}).$$

See [16, 21] for a much more extensive discussion of higher order Neumann boundary values.

We are interested in the Neumann boundary values of a solution u to $Lu = 0$ that satisfies $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m u) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m \partial_t u) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For such functions the inner product on the right hand side of formula (2.8) does not converge for arbitrary $\Psi \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$.

If $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\nabla^m u$ is not even locally integrable near the boundary (see formula (2.5)), and so the inner product (2.8) will not in general converge even for smooth functions Ψ that are compactly supported in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . However, we will see (Section 6) that for any $\dot{\psi}$ in the dense subspace \mathfrak{D} of Definition 2.1, there is some extension Ψ of $\dot{\psi}$ such that the inner product (2.8) converges (albeit possibly not absolutely). We will thus define Neumann boundary values in terms of a distinguished extension.

Define the operator \mathcal{Q}_t^m by

$$\mathcal{Q}_t^m = e^{-(-t^2 \Delta_{\parallel})^m}.$$

Notice that if $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\partial_t^k \mathcal{Q}_t^m f(x)|_{t=0} = 0$ whenever $1 \leq k \leq 2m - 1$, and that $\mathcal{Q}_0^m f(x) = f(x)$.

Suppose that φ is smooth and compactly supported in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Let $\varphi_k(x) = \partial_{n+1}^k \varphi(x, 0)$. If $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{E}\varphi(x, t) = \mathcal{E}(\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1} \varphi)(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k!} t^k \mathcal{Q}_t^m \varphi_k(x).$$

Observe that $\mathcal{E}\varphi$ is also smooth on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$ up to the boundary, albeit is not compactly supported, and that $\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+ \mathcal{E}\varphi = \dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^- \mathcal{E}\varphi = \dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1} \varphi$.

We define the Neumann boundary values $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ u = \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ u$ of u by

$$(2.10) \quad \langle \dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1} \varphi, \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \lim_{\substack{T \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+}} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \langle \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi(\cdot, t), \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt.$$

We define $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^- u$ similarly, as an appropriate integral from $-\infty$ to zero. Notice that $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}} u$ is an operator on the subspace \mathfrak{D} appearing in Definition 2.1; given certain bounds on u , we will prove boundedness results (see Section 6) that allow us to extend $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}} u$ to an operator on $\dot{W}A_{m-1,0}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $\dot{W}A_{m-1,1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for various values of p .

As mentioned in the introduction, if $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m u) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the right-hand side of formula (2.8) does not represent an absolutely convergent integral even for $\Psi = \mathcal{E} \dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ \Psi$, and so the order of integration in formula (2.10) is important.

The two formulas (2.8) and (2.10) for the Neumann boundary values of a solution in $\dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ coincide, as seen in the next lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. — *Let L be an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$ associated to bounded coefficients \mathbf{A} . Suppose that $\nabla^m u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and that $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . Let φ be smooth and compactly supported in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Then*

$$\langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} = \langle \nabla^m \mathcal{E} \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$$

and so formulas (2.8) and (2.10) agree on the value of $\langle \dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1} \varphi, \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$.

The operator $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ u$ as given by formula (2.8) is a bounded operator on the space $\dot{W}A_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ u$ as given by formula (2.10) extends by density to the same operator on $\dot{W}A_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. — By an elementary argument involving the Fourier transform,

$$(2.11) \quad \|\nabla^m \mathcal{E}(\dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1} \varphi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \leq C \|\dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1} \varphi\|_{\dot{B}_{1/2}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{E}\varphi$ is an extension of $\dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1} \varphi$ in $\dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, and so

$$\langle \nabla^m \Psi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} = \langle \nabla^m \mathcal{E} \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$$

for any other extension Ψ of $\dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1} \varphi$ in $\dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, in particular, for $\Psi = \varphi$. Boundedness of $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ u$ on $\dot{W}A_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ follows from Lemma 2.2, and the lemma follows from density of the subspace \mathfrak{D} of Definition 2.1 in $\dot{W}A_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

2.4. Potential operators

Two very important tools in the theory of second order elliptic boundary value problems are the double and single layer potentials. These potential operators are also very useful in the higher order theory. In this section we

define our formulations of higher order layer potentials; this is the formulation used in [19, 16] and is related to that used in [2, 31, 32, 56, 57, 66].

For any $\dot{H} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, by the Lax–Milgram lemma there is a unique function $u \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ that satisfies

$$(2.12) \quad \langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} = \langle \nabla^m \varphi, \dot{H} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}$$

for all $\varphi \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Let $\Pi^L \dot{H} = u$. We refer to Π^L as the Newton potential operator for L . See [14] for a further discussion of the operator Π^L .

We will need the following duality relation (see [14, Lemma 42]): if $\dot{F} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $\dot{G} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, then

$$(2.13) \quad \langle \dot{F}, \nabla^m \Pi^L \dot{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} = \langle \nabla^m \Pi^{L*} \dot{F}, \dot{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}.$$

We may define the double and single layer potentials in terms of the Newton potential. Suppose that $\dot{f} \in \dot{W}_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Lemma 2.2, there is some $F \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ that satisfies $\dot{f} = \dot{\mathbf{Tr}}_{m-1}^+ F$. We define the double layer potential of \dot{f} as

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{D}^A \dot{f} = -\mathbf{1}_+ F + \Pi^L(\mathbf{1}_+ \mathbf{A} \nabla^m F)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_+$ is the characteristic function of the upper half-space \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . $\mathcal{D}^A \dot{f}$ is well-defined, that is, does not depend on the choice of F ; see [16, Section 2.4]. We remark that by [16, formula (2.27)], if $\mathbf{1}_-$ is the characteristic function of the lower half space, then

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{D}^A \dot{f} = \mathbf{1}_- F - \Pi^L(\mathbf{1}_- \mathbf{A} \nabla^m F) \quad \text{if } \dot{\mathbf{Tr}}_{m-1}^- F = \dot{f}.$$

Similarly, let \dot{g} be a bounded operator on $\dot{W}_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There is some $\dot{G} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ such that $\langle \dot{G}, \nabla^m \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} = \langle \dot{g}, \dot{\mathbf{Tr}}_{m-1}^+ \varphi \rangle_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$ for all $\varphi \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$; see [16, Section 2.4]. Let $\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{G}$ denote the extension of \dot{G} by zero to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We define

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{S}^L \dot{g} = \Pi^L(\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{G}).$$

Again, as shown in [16, Section 2.4], $\mathcal{S}^L \dot{g}$ does not depend on the choice of extension \dot{G} .

It was shown in [16, 19] that the operators \mathcal{D}^A and \mathcal{S}^L , originally defined on $\dot{W}_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its dual space, extend by density to operators defined on $\dot{W}_{m-1,0}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\dot{W}_{m-1,1}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or their respective dual spaces.

A benefit of these formulations of layer potentials is the easy proof of the Green’s formula. By taking $F = u$ and $\dot{G} = \mathbf{A} \nabla^m u$, we immediately have that

$$(2.17) \quad \mathbf{1}_+ \nabla^m u = -\nabla^m \mathcal{D}^A(\dot{\mathbf{Tr}}_{m-1}^+ u) + \nabla^m \mathcal{S}^L(\dot{\mathbf{M}}_A^+ u)$$

for all $u \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ that satisfy $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .

In the second-order case, a variant $\mathcal{S}^L \nabla$ of the single layer potential is often used; see, for example, [5, 42, 43]. We will define an analogous operator in the higher order case. Let α be a multiindex with $|\alpha| = m$. If $\alpha_{n+1} > 0$, let

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\nabla}^L(h\dot{e}_\alpha)(x, t) = -\partial_t \mathcal{S}^L(h\dot{e}_\gamma)(x, t) \quad \text{where } \alpha = \gamma + \vec{e}_{n+1}.$$

If $\alpha_{n+1} < |\alpha| = m$, then there is some j with $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $\vec{e}_j \leq \alpha$. If h is smooth and compactly supported, let

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\nabla}^L(h\dot{e}_\alpha)(x, t) = -\mathcal{S}^L(\partial_{x_j} h\dot{e}_\gamma)(x, t) \quad \text{where } \alpha = \gamma + \vec{e}_j.$$

If $1 \leq \alpha_{n+1} \leq m - 1$, then the two formulas (2.18) and (2.19) coincide; furthermore, if $\alpha_{n+1} \leq m - 1$ then the choice of distinguished direction x_j in formula (2.19) does not matter. See [19, Section 2.5].

3. Known results

To prove our main results, we will need to use a number of known results from the theory of higher order differential equations. We gather these results in this section.

3.1. Regularity of solutions to elliptic equations

The first such result we list is the higher order analogue to the Caccioppoli inequality; it was proven in full generality in [14] and some important preliminary versions were established in [10, 29].

LEMMA 3.1 (The Caccioppoli inequality). — *Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$ associated to coefficients \mathbf{A} satisfying the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Let $u \in \dot{W}_m^2(B(X, 2r))$ with $Lu = 0$ in $B(X, 2r)$.*

Then we have the bound

$$\int_{B(X,r)} |\nabla^j u(x, s)|^2 \, dx \, ds \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \int_{B(X,2r)} |\nabla^{j-1} u(x, s)|^2 \, dx \, ds$$

for any j with $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Next, we state the higher order generalization of Meyers’s reverse Hölder inequality for gradients. The following theorem follows from the Caccioppoli inequality of [10, 14, 29], and was stated in some form in all three works. (The version given below comes most directly from [14].)

THEOREM 3.2. — Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$ associated to coefficients \mathbf{A} satisfying the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Then there is some number $p^+ = p_0^+ = p_L^+ > 2$ depending only on the standard constants such that the following statement is true.

Let $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and let $r > 0$. Let $u \in \dot{W}_{m,loc}^2(B(X_0, 2r))$ and suppose that $Lu = 0$ or $L^*u = 0$ in $B(X_0, 2r)$. Suppose that $0 < p < q < p^+$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\int_{B(X_0,r)} |\nabla^m u|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq C(p, q) \left(\int_{B(X_0,2r)} |\nabla^m u|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for some constant $C(p, q)$ depending only on p, q and the standard parameters.

We may also bound the lower-order derivatives. Let $1 \leq k \leq m$. There is some extended real number p_k^+ , with $p_k^+ \geq p_L^+ (n + 1) / (n + 1 - k p_L^+)$ if $n + 1 > k p_L^+$ and with $p_k^+ = \infty$ if $n + 1 \leq k p_L^+$, such that if $0 < p < q < p_k^+$, and if $Lu = 0$ or $L^*u = 0$ in $B(X_0, 2r)$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\int_{B(X_0,r)} |\nabla^{m-k} u|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq C(k, p, q) \left(\int_{B(X_0,2r)} |\nabla^{m-k} u|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for some constant $C(k, p, q)$ depending only on k, p, q and the standard parameters.

We remark that if $n + 1 = 2$ then $p_1^+ = \infty$. If $n + 1 = 3$ and \mathbf{A} is t -independent, then again $p_1^+ = \infty$; the argument presented in [5, Appendix B] in the case $m = 1$ is valid in the higher order case.

Finally, if \mathbf{A} is t -independent, then we have additional regularity. The following lemma was proven in the case $m = 1$ in [5, Proposition 2.1] and generalized to the case $m \geq 2, p = 2$ in [16, Lemma 3.2] and the case $m \geq 2, p$ arbitrary in [19, Lemma 3.20].

LEMMA 3.3. — Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$, associated with coefficients \mathbf{A} that satisfy the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2) and are t -independent in the sense of formula (1.4).

Let t be a constant, and let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube. If $Lu = 0$ in the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional cube $2Q \times (t - \ell(Q), t + \ell(Q))$, then

$$\int_Q |\nabla^{m-j} \partial_t^k u(x, t)|^p dx \leq \frac{C(j, p)}{\ell(Q)} \int_{2Q} \int_{t-\ell(Q)}^{t+\ell(Q)} |\nabla^{m-j} \partial_s^k u(x, s)|^p ds dx$$

for any $0 \leq j \leq m$, any $0 < p < p_j^+$, and any integer $k \geq 0$, where p_j^+ is as in Theorem 3.2.

3.2. Estimates on layer potentials

We will make use of the following estimates on layer potentials from [19], in particular the technical estimates (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6). (Indeed their applicability to this paper is the main reason the bounds (3.5) and (3.6) were proven in [19].)

THEOREM 3.4 ([19, Theorems 5.1 and 1.13]). — *Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$, associated with coefficients \mathbf{A} that are t -independent in the sense of formula (1.4) and satisfy the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2).*

Then the operator \mathcal{S}_{∇}^L extends by density to an operator that satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\nabla^m \mathcal{S}_{\nabla}^L \dot{\mathbf{h}}(x, t)|^2 |t| \, dt \, dx \leq C \|\dot{\mathbf{h}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

for all $\dot{\mathbf{h}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If k is large enough (depending on m and n), then the following statements are true.

First, there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the area integral estimates

$$(3.4) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_2^{\pm}(|t|^k \nabla^m \partial_t^k \mathcal{S}^L \dot{\mathbf{g}})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(k, p) \|\dot{\mathbf{g}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_2^{\pm}(|t|^{k+1} \nabla^m \partial_t^k \mathcal{S}_{\nabla}^L \dot{\mathbf{h}})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(k, p) \|\dot{\mathbf{h}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

are valid whenever $2 - \varepsilon < p < \infty$. If $n + 1 = 2$ or $n + 1 = 3$ then the estimate (3.4) is valid for $1 < p < \infty$.

Second, let η be a Schwartz function defined on \mathbb{R}^n with $\int \eta = 1$. Let \mathcal{Q}_t denote convolution with $\eta_t = t^{-n} \eta(\cdot/t)$. Let $\dot{\mathbf{b}}$ be any array of bounded functions. Then for any p with $1 < p < \infty$, we have that

$$(3.6) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_2^{\pm}(|t|^{k+1} \partial_t^{k+m} \mathcal{S}_{\nabla}^L(\dot{\mathbf{b}} \mathcal{Q}_{|t} h))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(k, p) \|\dot{\mathbf{b}}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where the constants $C(k, p)$ depends only on p, k , the Schwartz constants of η , and on the standard parameters n, m, λ , and Λ .

4. Preliminaries

In this section we will prove some preliminary results that will be of use both in Section 5 (that is, in bounding the Dirichlet traces of solutions) and in Section 6 (that is, in bounding the Neumann traces of solutions).

4.1. Duality results

We will need the following duality results for layer potentials.

LEMMA 4.1. — Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$, associated with coefficients \mathbf{A} that are t -independent in the sense of formula (1.4) and satisfy the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2).

Let $\dot{\mathbf{f}} \in \dot{W}A_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $\dot{\mathbf{g}}$ lie in the dual space $(\dot{W}A_{m-1,1/2}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$, and let $\dot{\psi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\tau > 0$ and let $j \geq 0$ be an integer. Then

$$(4.1) \quad \langle \dot{\psi}, \nabla^m \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{A}} \dot{\mathbf{f}}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = (-1)^{j+1} \langle \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}^*}^-(\partial_{n+1}^j (\mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi})_{-\tau}), \dot{\mathbf{f}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$

$$(4.2) \quad \langle \dot{\psi}, \nabla^m \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{S}^L \dot{\mathbf{g}}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = (-1)^j \langle \nabla^{m-1} \partial_{n+1}^j \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}(\cdot, -\tau), \dot{\mathbf{g}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

where $(\mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi})_{-\tau}(x, s) = \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}(x, s - \tau)$.

The proof will be based on the adjoint relation (2.13) for the Newton potential; we remark that the result may also be proven by writing layer potentials in terms of the fundamental solution (see [19, 16]) and using the symmetry properties thereof.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. — We begin with formula (4.1).

Let $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$ be smooth, compactly supported and integrate to zero. By Lemma 3.3,

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{q}}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{A}} \dot{\mathbf{f}}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \partial_\tau^j \langle \dot{\mathbf{q}}, \nabla^{m-1} \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{A}} \dot{\mathbf{f}}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

Let $F \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_-^{n+1})$ with $\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^- F = \dot{\mathbf{f}}$; by Lemma 2.2, such an F must exist. By formula (2.15) for the double layer potential,

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{q}}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{A}} \dot{\mathbf{f}}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = -\partial_\tau^j \langle \dot{\mathbf{q}}, \nabla^{m-1} \Pi^L(\mathbf{1}_- \mathbf{A} \nabla^m F)(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

For the remainder of this proof, let subscripts denote translation in the vertical direction. That is, if φ is a function (or array of functions) and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\varphi_s(x, t) = \varphi(x, t + s)$. Notice that $\langle \varphi, \psi_s \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} = \langle \varphi_{-s}, \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}$. Then

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{q}}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{A}} \dot{\mathbf{f}}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = -\partial_\tau^j \langle \dot{\mathbf{q}}, \dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+(\Pi^L(\mathbf{1}_- \mathbf{A} \nabla^m F))_\tau \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

Recall the definition (2.16) of the single layer potential and let $\dot{\mathbf{Q}}$ be an array of functions supported in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} such that $\mathcal{S}^{L^*} \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \Pi^{L^*} \dot{\mathbf{Q}}$. Then

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{q}}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{A}} \dot{\mathbf{f}}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = -\partial_\tau^j \langle \mathbf{1}_+ \dot{\mathbf{Q}}, \nabla^m (\Pi^L(\mathbf{1}_- \mathbf{A} \nabla^m F))_\tau \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}$$

and by the adjoint relation (2.13),

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{q}}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{A}} \dot{\mathbf{f}}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = -\partial_\tau^j \langle \mathbf{A}^* \nabla^m \Pi^{L^*}((\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{\mathbf{Q}})_{-\tau}), \nabla^m F \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_-^{n+1}}.$$

Recall that if $\dot{H} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ then $u = \Pi^L \dot{H}$ is the *unique* function in $\dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ that satisfies formula (2.12). If $\varphi \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, then

$$\langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A}^* \nabla^m (\Pi^{L^*}(\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q}))_{-\tau} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} = \langle \nabla^m \varphi_\tau, \mathbf{A}_\tau^* \nabla^m \Pi^{L^*}(\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}.$$

But if \mathbf{A} is t -independent, then $\mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{A}_\tau^*$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A}^* \nabla^m (\Pi^{L^*}(\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q}))_{-\tau} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} &= \langle \nabla^m \varphi_\tau, \mathbf{A}^* \nabla^m \Pi^{L^*}(\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \\ &= \langle \nabla^m \varphi_\tau, \mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \\ &= \langle \nabla^m \varphi, (\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q})_{-\tau} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $u = (\Pi^{L^*}(\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q}))_{-\tau}$ satisfies formula (2.12) with $H = (\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q})_{-\tau}$, and so we must have

$$\nabla^m \Pi^{L^*}((\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q})_{-\tau}) = \nabla^m (\Pi^{L^*}(\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q}))_{-\tau} = \nabla^m (S^{L^*} \dot{q})_{-\tau}$$

as $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ -functions.

Thus,

$$\langle \dot{q}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{A}} \dot{f}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = (-1)^{j+1} \langle \mathbf{A}^* \nabla^m (\partial_{n+1}^j S^{L^*} \dot{q})_{-\tau}, \nabla^m F \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_-^{n+1}}.$$

By formulas (2.18) and (2.19), if $\dot{\psi}$ is smooth, compactly supported and integrates to zero, then

$$\langle \dot{\psi}, \nabla^m \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{A}} \dot{f}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = (-1)^{j+1} \langle \mathbf{A}^* \nabla^m (\partial_{n+1}^j S_{\nabla}^{L^*} \dot{\psi})_{-\tau}, \nabla^m F \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_-^{n+1}}.$$

By the bound (3.3) and the Caccioppoli inequality, we may extend this relation to all $\dot{\psi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recalling formula (2.8) for Neumann boundary values, we have that

$$\langle \dot{\psi}, \nabla^m \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{A}} \dot{f}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = (-1)^{j+1} \langle \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}^*} (\partial_{n+1}^j S_{\nabla}^{L^*} \dot{\psi})_{-\tau}, \dot{f} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

as desired.

We now turn to formula (4.2). With \dot{q} and \dot{Q} as above, and with $S^L \dot{g} = \Pi^L \dot{G}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \dot{q}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\tau^j S^L \dot{g}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} &= \partial_\tau^j \langle \dot{q}, \nabla^{m-1} \Pi^L(\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{G})(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= \partial_\tau^j \langle (\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q})_{-\tau}, \nabla^m \Pi^L(\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{G}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

and by formula (2.13) as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \dot{q}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\tau^j S^L \dot{g}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} &= \partial_\tau^j \langle \nabla^m \Pi^{L^*}((\mathbf{1}_+ \dot{Q})_{-\tau}), \dot{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \\ &= \partial_\tau^j \langle \nabla^m (S^{L^*} \dot{q})_{-\tau}, \dot{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of $\dot{\mathbf{G}}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \dot{\mathbf{q}}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{S}^L \dot{\mathbf{g}}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} &= \partial_\tau^j \langle \dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ (\mathcal{S}^{L^*} \dot{\mathbf{q}})_{-\tau}, \dot{\mathbf{g}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= \partial_\tau^j \langle \nabla^{m-1} \mathcal{S}^{L^*} \dot{\mathbf{q}}(\cdot, -\tau), \dot{\mathbf{g}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= (-1)^j \langle \nabla^{m-1} \partial_{n+1}^j \mathcal{S}^{L^*} \dot{\mathbf{q}}(\cdot, -\tau), \dot{\mathbf{g}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying formulas (2.18) and (2.19), we see that

$$\langle \dot{\psi}, \nabla^m \partial_\tau^j \mathcal{S}^L \dot{\mathbf{g}}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = (-1)^j \langle \nabla^{m-1} \partial_{n+1}^j \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}(\cdot, -\tau), \dot{\mathbf{g}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

as desired. □

4.2. Estimates in terms of area integral norms of solutions

The main goal of this paper is to show that, if $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} and u satisfies certain area integral estimates, then the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values $\dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ u$ and $\dot{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{A}^+ u$ exist and are bounded.

Recall from formula (2.10) that $\dot{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{A}^+ u$ is given by

$$\langle \dot{\psi}, \dot{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{A}^+ u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \int_0^\infty \langle \mathbf{A}^* \nabla^m \mathcal{E} \dot{\psi}(\cdot, s), \nabla^m u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds.$$

If u decays fast enough, then we have the following formula for $\dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ u$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \dot{\psi}, \dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} &= - \int_0^\infty \langle \dot{\psi}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_s u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \langle -\mathcal{O}^+ \dot{\psi}, \nabla^m u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds \end{aligned}$$

for some constant matrix \mathcal{O}^+ . Thus, we wish to bound terms of the form

$$\int_0^\infty \langle \dot{\psi}_s, \nabla^m u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds$$

for some arrays $\dot{\psi}_s$.

We will prove the following technical lemma; passing from Lemma 4.2 to our main results is the main work of Sections 5 and 6.

LEMMA 4.2. — *Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$, associated with coefficients \mathbf{A} that are t -independent in the sense of formula (1.4) and satisfy the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2).*

Suppose that $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . Suppose further that $\nabla^m u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$ for any $\sigma > 0$, albeit with L^2 norm that may approach ∞ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$.

Let $j \geq m$ be an integer. Let ω be a nonnegative real-valued function, and for each $s > 0$, let $\dot{\psi}_s \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j} \omega(s) |\langle \dot{\psi}_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| ds \\ & \leq C_j \int_{4/3}^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_2^-(|t|^{j-2m+1} \partial_{n+1}^{j-m} \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{|t|_r})(x) \mathcal{A}_2^+(\Omega(t) t \nabla^m u)(x) dx dr \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega(t) = \sup\{\omega(s) : 4t/3 \leq s \leq 4t\}$, provided the right-hand side is finite.

Proof. — Let $u_\tau(x, t) = u(x, t + \tau)$; by assumption, if $\tau > 0$ then $\nabla^m u_\tau \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. By the Caccioppoli inequality, if $\tau > 0$ and $j \geq 0$ is an integer, then $\partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, and because A is t -independent we have that $L(\partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .

Let $s = 2\tau$, so $u(x, s) = u_\tau(x, \tau)$. We will apply the Green’s formula (2.17) to $\partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau$. Notice that by Lemma 3.3 and the Caccioppoli inequality, the map $\sigma \mapsto \nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau(\cdot, \sigma)$ is continuous $(0, \infty) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The Green’s formula is thus valid on horizontal slices $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{\tau\}$, and not only in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad & \langle \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}, \nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^{2j} u_\tau(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ & = -\langle \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}, \nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^j \mathcal{D}^A(\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+ \partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau)(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ & \quad + \langle \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}, \nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^j \mathcal{S}^L(\dot{\mathbf{M}}_A^+ \partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau)(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad & \langle \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}, \nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^{2j} u_\tau(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ & = (-1)^j \langle \dot{\mathbf{M}}_A^-(\partial_{n+1}^j (\mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau})_{-\tau}), \dot{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+ \partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ & \quad + (-1)^j \langle \nabla^{m-1} \partial_{n+1}^j \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}(\cdot, -\tau), \dot{\mathbf{M}}_A^+ \partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall formula (2.8) for the Neumann boundary values of $\dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm^{n+1})$ -functions. Let $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ be a small fixed absolute constant, to be chosen later. Let $\eta_\tau(z, r) = \eta_\tau(r) = \eta(r/\varepsilon\tau)$, where $\eta : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function with $|\eta(r)| = 1$ if $|r| < 1/2$ and $|\eta(r)| = 0$ if $|r| > 1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad & \langle \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}, \nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^{2j} u_\tau(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ & = (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times (-\varepsilon\tau, 0)} \langle \mathbf{A}^* \nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^j (\mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau})_{-\tau}, \nabla^m (\eta_\tau \partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau) \rangle \\ & \quad + (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \varepsilon\tau)} \langle \nabla^m (\eta_\tau \partial_{n+1}^j (\mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau})_{-\tau}), \mathbf{A} \nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.3. — The preceding arguments, that is, the application of the Green’s formula to derive formula (4.3), the use of Lemma 4.1 to derive formula (4.4), and the use of formula (2.8) to derive formula (4.5), are the only times in the proof of this lemma that we use the fact that $u_\sigma \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. We will also assume $v_\sigma \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and $w_\sigma \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ in Theorems 5.1, 5.2, 6.1 and 6.2; again, that assumption is necessary only in order to apply the present Lemma 4.2, and so only necessary to ensure validity of formulas (4.3)–(4.5).

Observe that $|\nabla^k \eta_\tau| \leq C_{k,\varepsilon} \tau^{-k}$, and so if $j \geq m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & | \langle \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}, \nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^{2j} u_\tau(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} | \\ & \leq C_{j,\varepsilon} \sum_{k=j-m}^j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times (-\varepsilon\tau, 0)} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^j (\mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau})_{-\tau}| \tau^{k-j} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^k u_\tau| \\ & \quad + C_{j,\varepsilon} \sum_{\ell=j-m}^j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \varepsilon\tau)} \tau^{\ell-j} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^\ell (\mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau})_{-\tau}| |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^j u_\tau|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, recalling the definitions of $(\mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau})_{-\tau}$ and u_τ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j} \omega(s) | \langle \dot{\psi}_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} | ds \\ & \leq C_{j,\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty \omega(2\tau) \sum_{k,\ell} \int_{-\varepsilon\tau}^{\varepsilon\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau^{\ell+k} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^\ell \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}(z, -(\tau-r))| \\ & \quad \times |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^k u(z, r+\tau)| dz d\tau dr. \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variables $r = \theta\tau$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j} \omega(s) | \langle \dot{\psi}_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} | ds \\ & \leq C_{j,\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty \omega(2\tau) \sum_{k,\ell} \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau^{\ell+k+1} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^\ell \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}(z, -(1-\theta)\tau)| \\ & \quad \times |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^k u(z, (1+\theta)\tau)| dz d\theta d\tau \end{aligned}$$

and changing the order of integration we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j} \omega(s) | \langle \dot{\psi}_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} | ds \\ & \leq C_{j,\varepsilon} \sum_{k,\ell} \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau^{\ell+k+1} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^\ell \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}(z, -(1-\theta)\tau)| \\ & \quad \times \omega(2\tau) |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^k u(z, (1+\theta)\tau)| dz d\tau d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that if F is a nonnegative function and $a > 0$, then for some C_n depending only on the dimension,

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty F(z, \tau) \, d\tau \, dz = \frac{C_n}{a^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \int_{|z-x| < a\tau} F(z, \tau) \frac{1}{\tau^n} \, dz \, d\tau \, dx.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j} \omega(s) |\langle \dot{\psi}_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| \, ds \\ & \leq C_{j,\varepsilon} \sum_{k,\ell} \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \int_{|z-x| < \varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^\ell \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}(z, -(1-\theta)\tau)| \\ & \quad \times \tau^{\ell+k+1-n} \omega(2\tau) |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^k u(z, (1+\theta)\tau)| \, dz \, d\tau \, dx \, d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j} \omega(s) |\langle \dot{\psi}_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| \, ds \\ & \leq C_{j,\varepsilon} \sum_{k,\ell} \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{|z-x| < \varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^\ell \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2\tau}(z, -(1-\theta)\tau)|^2 \, dz \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{|z-x| < \varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^k u(z, (1+\theta)\tau)|^2 \, dz \right)^{1/2} \tau^{\ell+k+1-n} \omega(2\tau) \, d\tau \, dx \, d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, and recalling that $|\theta| \leq \varepsilon$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|z-x| < \varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^k u(z, (1+\theta)\tau)|^2 \, dz \\ & \leq \frac{C_\varepsilon}{\tau} \int_{(1-2\varepsilon)\tau}^{(1+2\varepsilon)\tau} \int_{|z-x| < 2\varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m \partial_r^k u(z, r)|^2 \, dz \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

By the Caccioppoli inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|z-x| < \varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^k u(z, (1+\theta)\tau)|^2 \, dz \\ & \leq \frac{C_{k,\varepsilon}}{\tau^{1+2k}} \int_{(1-3\varepsilon)\tau}^{(1+3\varepsilon)\tau} \int_{|z-x| < 3\varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m u(z, r)|^2 \, dz \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{|z-x| < \varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^k u(z, (1+\theta)\tau)|^2 \, dz \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{C_{k,\varepsilon}}{\tau^{k+n/2+1}} \int_{(1-4\varepsilon)\tau}^{(1+4\varepsilon)\tau} \int_{|z-x| < 4\varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m u(z, r)| \, dz \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r = \mu\tau$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{|z-x|<\varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^k u(z, (1+\theta)\tau)|^2 dz \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{C_{k,\varepsilon}}{\tau^{k+n/2}} \int_{1-4\varepsilon}^{1+4\varepsilon} \int_{|z-x|<4\varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m u(z, \mu\tau)| dz d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

By an identical argument,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{|z-x|<\varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^\ell \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L*} \psi_{2\tau}(z, -(1-\theta)\tau)|^2 dz \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{C_{j,\varepsilon}}{\tau^{2m+\ell-j+n/2}} \int_{-1-4\varepsilon}^{-1+4\varepsilon} \int_{|z-x|<4\varepsilon\tau} |\partial_{n+1}^{j-m} \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L*} \psi_{2\tau}(z, \kappa\tau)| dz d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j} \omega(s) |\langle \psi_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| ds \\ & \leq C_{j,\varepsilon} \int_{1-4\varepsilon}^{1+4\varepsilon} \int_{-1-4\varepsilon}^{-1+4\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \int_{|z-x|<4\varepsilon\tau} |\nabla^m u(z, \mu\tau)| \omega(2\tau) dz \\ & \quad \times \tau^{1+j-2n-2m} \int_{|z-x|<4\varepsilon\tau} |\partial_{n+1}^{j-m} \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L*} \psi_{2\tau}(z, \kappa\tau)| dz d\tau dx d\kappa d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder’s inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j} \omega(s) |\langle \psi_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| ds \\ & \leq C_{j,\varepsilon} \int_{1-4\varepsilon}^{1+4\varepsilon} \int_{-1-4\varepsilon}^{-1+4\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{|z-x|<4\varepsilon\tau} \omega(2\tau)^2 \tau^{1-n} |\nabla^m u(z, \mu\tau)|^2 dz d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{|z-x|<4\varepsilon\tau} |\partial_{n+1}^{j-m} \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L*} \psi_{2\tau}(z, \kappa\tau)|^2 \tau^{2j-4m-n+1} dz d\tau \right)^{1/2} dx d\kappa d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Apply the change of variables $t = \mu\tau$ in the first integral and $t = \kappa\tau$ in the second integral. We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j} \omega(s) |\langle \psi_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| ds \\ & \leq C_{j,\varepsilon} \int_{1-4\varepsilon}^{1+4\varepsilon} \int_{-1-4\varepsilon}^{-1+4\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{|z-x|<4\varepsilon t/\mu} \omega(2t/\mu)^2 t^{1-n} |\nabla^m u(z, t)|^2 dz dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{|z-x|<4\varepsilon t/\kappa} |\partial_{n+1}^{j-m} \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L*} \psi_{2t/\kappa}(z, t)|^2 |t|^{2j-4m+1-n} dz dt \right)^{1/2} dx d\kappa d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon = 1/8$. Because $\mu \geq 1 - 4\varepsilon = 1/2$, we have that $4\varepsilon/\mu \leq 1$. Similarly, $4\varepsilon/|\kappa| \leq 1$. Recall $\Omega(t) = \sup\{\omega(s) : 4t/3 \leq s \leq 4t\}$; then $\omega(2t/\mu) \leq \Omega(t)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j}\omega(s)|\langle \dot{\psi}_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| ds \\ & \leq C_j \int_{-3/2}^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{|z-x|<t} \Omega(t)^2 t^{1-n} |\nabla^m u(z, t)|^2 dz dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{|z-x|<|t|} |\partial_{n+1}^{j-m} \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2t/\kappa}(z, t)|^2 |t|^{2j-4m+1-n} dz dt \right)^{1/2} dx d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition (2.4) of \mathcal{A}_2^\pm , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty s^{2j}\omega(s)|\langle \dot{\psi}_s, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} u(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| ds \\ & \leq C_j \int_{-3/2}^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_2^+(\Omega(t) t \nabla^m u)(x) \\ & \quad \times \mathcal{A}_2^-(|t|^{j-2m+1} \partial_{n+1}^{j-m} \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} \dot{\psi}_{2t/\kappa})(x) dx d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variables $r = -2/\kappa$ completes the proof. □

5. The Dirichlet boundary values of a solution

In this section we will prove results pertaining to Dirichlet boundary values. Specifically, we will prove the following two theorems.

THEOREM 5.1. — *Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$, associated with coefficients \mathbf{A} that are t -independent in the sense of formula (1.4) and satisfy the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Let $v \in \dot{W}_{m,loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and suppose that $Lv = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .*

Suppose that $\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ for some p with $1 < p < p_1^+$, where p_1^+ is as in Theorem 3.2, and where for some $k \geq 1$ and $c(k, p') > 0$ the bound

$$(5.1) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_2^-(t^k \partial_t^{m+k} \mathcal{S}^{L^*} \dot{\mathbf{g}})\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c(k, p') \|\dot{\mathbf{g}}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

is valid for all $\dot{\mathbf{g}} \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Suppose in addition that, for all $\sigma > 0$, we have that $\nabla^m v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$, albeit possibly with a norm that approaches ∞ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$.

Then there is some function P defined in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} with $\nabla^m P = 0$ (that is, a polynomial of degree at most $m - 1$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t>0} \|\nabla^{m-1} v(\cdot, t) - \nabla^{m-1} P\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla^{m-1} v(\cdot, t) - \nabla^{m-1} P\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where C depends only on $p, k, c(k, p')$ and the standard constants. Furthermore, there is some array of functions $\mathbf{\dot{f}} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|\nabla^{m-1} v(\cdot, t) - \mathbf{\dot{f}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

and such that

$$\|\mathbf{\dot{f}} - \nabla^{m-1} P\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

THEOREM 5.2. — Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$, associated with coefficients \mathbf{A} that are t -independent in the sense of formula (1.4) and satisfy the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Let $w \in \dot{W}^2_{m,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and suppose that $Lw = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .

Suppose that $\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ for some p with $1 < p < p_0^+$, where $p_0^+ = p_L^+$ is as in Theorem 3.2, and where for some $k \geq 1$ and $c(k, p') > 0$ the bound

$$(5.2) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_2^-(t^k \partial_t^{m+k-1} \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L*} \mathbf{\dot{h}})\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c(k, p') \|\mathbf{\dot{h}}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

is valid for all $\mathbf{\dot{h}} \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose in addition that $\nabla^m \partial_{n+1} w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$ for all $\sigma > 0$.

Then there is some array $\mathbf{\dot{p}}$ of functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t>0} \|\nabla^m w(\cdot, t) - \mathbf{\dot{p}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla^m w(\cdot, t) - \mathbf{\dot{p}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some C depending only on $p, k, c(k, p')$ and the standard constants. Furthermore, there is some array of functions $\mathbf{\dot{f}} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|\nabla^m w(\cdot, t) - \mathbf{\dot{f}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

and such that

$$\|\mathbf{\dot{f}} - \mathbf{\dot{p}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

If $\nabla^m w(\cdot, t) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $t > 0$, then $\mathbf{\dot{p}} = 0$. Otherwise, the array $\mathbf{\dot{p}}$ satisfies $\mathbf{\dot{p}}(x) = \nabla^m P(x, t)$, for some function $P \in \dot{W}^2_{m,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ such that

- $P(x, t) = P_1(x, t) + P_2(x)$,

- $P_1(x, t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most m (and so $\nabla^m P_1$ is constant),
- $P_2 \in \dot{W}_{m,loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- $LP = 0$ and so

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m \\ \alpha_{n+1}=\beta_{n+1}=0}} \partial_{||}^\alpha(A_{\alpha\beta}(x)\partial_{||}^\beta P_2(x)) = - \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m \\ \alpha_{n+1}=0}} \partial_{||}^\alpha(A_{\alpha\beta}(x)\partial^\beta P_1).$$

Remark 5.3. — We comment on the passage from Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 to Theorem 1.1.

If $1 < p < 2 + \varepsilon$, then by Theorem 3.4 the bounds (5.1) and (5.2) are valid whenever k is large enough.

If $W_{p,q}$ is as in formula (1.10), then by Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have that

$$\|\nabla^m w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{p,q} W_{p,q}(t)$$

and so as in Theorem 1.1, finiteness of $W_{p,q}(t)$ implies that $\nabla^m P = 0$.

Finally, we claim that if $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m v) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m \partial_t w) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p \leq 2$, then $\nabla^m v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$ or $\nabla^m \partial_{n+1} w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$ for all $\sigma > 0$.

To verify this, let $u = v$ or $u = \partial_{n+1} w$. Let $c \geq 1$ and let K be a large integer such that $c2^{-K} < \sigma$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} |\nabla^m u(x, t)|^2 dt dx \leq \sum_{j=-K}^{\infty} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_j} \int_Q \int_{c\ell(Q)}^{2c\ell(Q)} |\nabla^m u(x, t)|^2 dt dx$$

where \mathcal{G}_j is a grid of pairwise-disjoint cubes in \mathbb{R}^n of side-length 2^j . But if c is large enough, then for any $y \in Q$,

$$\int_Q \int_{c\ell(Q)}^{2c\ell(Q)} |\nabla^m u(x, t)|^2 dt dx \leq C\ell(Q)^{n-1} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{|x-y|<s} |\nabla^m u(x, t)|^2 \frac{dt dx}{t^{n-1}}$$

and so by the definition (2.4) of \mathcal{A}_2^+ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} |\nabla^m u(x, t)|^2 dt dx &\leq \sum_{j=-K}^{\infty} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_j} C\ell(Q)^{n-1} \left(\int_Q \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m u)^p \right)^{2/p} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=-K}^{\infty} \frac{C}{2^{j(2n/p+1-n)}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_j} \left(\int_Q \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m u)^p \right)^{2/p}. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \leq 2$ then

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_j} \left(\int_Q \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m u)^p \right)^{2/p} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m u)^p \right)^{2/p}$$

and also $n - 1 - 2n/p \leq -1$, and so we may choose K such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} |\nabla^m u(x, t)|^2 dt dx \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^{2n/p+1-n}} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

Thus, $u \in \dot{W}_m^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$, albeit with norm that increases to infinity as $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$.

In a forthcoming paper, we hope to establish the bounds (5.1) and (5.2) for at least some values of $p' < 2$.

The remainder of this section will be devoted to a proof of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

Fix $\sigma > 0$ and let \mathcal{G}_σ be a grid of pairwise-disjoint cubes in \mathbb{R}^n of side-length σ/c for some large constant c . By Lemma 3.3, if $p < p_1^+$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^{m-1} \partial_\sigma v(x, \sigma)|^p dx &= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_\sigma} \int_Q |\nabla^{m-1} \partial_\sigma v(x, \sigma)|^p dx \\ &\leq C\sigma^{-1} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_\sigma} \int_{2Q} \int_{\sigma-\sigma/4c}^{\sigma+\sigma/4c} |\nabla^{m-1} \partial_\sigma v(x, t)|^p dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder’s inequality or Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^{m-1} \partial_\sigma v(x, \sigma)|^p dx \\ \leq C\sigma^{n-p} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_\sigma} \left(\int_{4Q} \int_{\sigma-\sigma/2c}^{\sigma+\sigma/2c} |\nabla^{m-1} \partial_\sigma v(x, t)|^2 \frac{1}{\sigma^{n-1}} dx dt \right)^{p/2} \end{aligned}$$

and by the definition (2.4) of \mathcal{A}_2^+ , if c is large enough then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^{m-1} \partial_\sigma v(x, \sigma)|^p dx &\leq C\sigma^{n-p} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_\sigma} \int_Q \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \mathbf{1}_{(\sigma/2, 3\sigma/2)}(t) \nabla^m v(\cdot, t))^p \\ &= C\sigma^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \mathbf{1}_{(\sigma/2, 3\sigma/2)}(t) \nabla^m v)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Later in this proof we will use the fact that if $p < p_0^+$, then by the same argument,

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^m v(x, \sigma)|^p dx \leq C\sigma^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \mathbf{1}_{(\sigma/2, 3\sigma/2)}(t) \nabla^m v)^p.$$

So by the dominated convergence theorem, $\sigma \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\sigma v(\cdot, \sigma) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By the Caccioppoli inequality and Theorem 3.2, if $k \geq 1$ is an integer then $\sigma^k \nabla^{m-1} \partial_\sigma^k v(\cdot, \sigma) \rightarrow 0$ (and in particular is bounded) in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, if $p < p_0^+$ and k is large enough then $\sigma^k \nabla^m \partial_\sigma^k w(\cdot, \sigma) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\dot{\mathbf{g}} \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{h}} \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be bounded and compactly supported. Choose some $T > \tau > 0$. We wish to bound the quantities

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, T) - \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \dot{\mathbf{h}}, \nabla^m w(\cdot, T) - \nabla^m w(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

in terms of τ, T and $\|\dot{\mathbf{g}}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ or $\|\dot{\mathbf{h}}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Doing so will allow us to control $\nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, T) - \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, \tau)$ or $\nabla^m w(\cdot, T) - \nabla^m w(\cdot, \tau)$; in particular, we will show that these quantities go to zero as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ or $T \rightarrow 0^+$, and so we will see that $\nabla^{m-1}v$ or $\nabla^m w$ approaches a limit at ∞ and at zero.

Let $f(s) = \langle \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$; observe that the j th derivative $f^{(j)}(s)$ of $f(s)$ satisfies $f^{(j)}(s) = \langle \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_s^j v(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Let $\omega_0(s) = 1$ if $\tau < s < T$ and let $\omega_0(s) = 0$ if $0 < s < \tau$ or $s > T$. Thus,

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, T) - \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \int_0^\infty \omega_0(s) f'(s) \, ds.$$

Integrating from 0 to ∞ will be somewhat simpler than integrating from 0 to T . We wish to integrate by parts so that the right-hand side involves higher derivatives of $f(s)$. Let $\omega_j(s) = \int_0^s \omega_{j-1}$. Using induction, it is straightforward to establish that if $j \geq 1$, then

$$\omega_j(s) \leq \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < s < \tau, \\ \frac{1}{j!}(s - \tau)^j, & \tau < s < T, \\ \frac{1}{(j-1)!}(s - \tau)^{j-1}(T - \tau), & T < s. \end{cases}$$

By our bound on ω_j and by definition of $f(s)$,

$$\omega_j(s) |f^{(j)}(s)| \leq C(j) s^j \|\dot{\mathbf{g}}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^{m-1} \partial_s^j v(\cdot, s)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and if $j \geq 1$, then by our above bounds on $\|\nabla^{m-1} \partial_s^k v(\cdot, s)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, the right-hand side converges to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we may integrate by parts and see that, for any $j \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, T) - \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \omega_{2j}(s) f^{(2j+1)}(s) \, ds = \int_0^\infty \omega_{2j}(s) \langle \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_s^{2j+1} v(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{h}}, \nabla^m w(\cdot, T) - \nabla^m w(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \int_0^\infty \omega_{2j}(s) \langle \dot{\mathbf{h}}, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j+1} w(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \, ds.$$

Let \mathcal{O}^+ be such that

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^{m-1} \partial_t \varphi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}^+ \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^m \varphi \rangle$$

for any array $\dot{\mathbf{g}}$ of functions indexed by multiindices γ with $|\gamma| = m - 1$. Then \mathcal{O}^+ is a constant matrix and

$$\langle \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, T) - \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \int_0^\infty \omega_{2j}(s) \langle \mathcal{O}^+ \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^m \partial_s^{2j} v(\cdot, s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds.$$

By formula (2.18),

$$\partial_t \mathcal{S}^L \dot{\mathbf{g}}(x, t) = -\mathcal{S}^L_{\nabla}(\mathcal{O}^+ \dot{\mathbf{g}})(x, t).$$

By Lemma 4.2 with $\psi_s \equiv \mathcal{O}^+ \dot{\mathbf{g}}$ for all s and with $\omega(s) = \omega_{2j}(s)/s^{2j}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \dot{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, T) - \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, \tau) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_2^-(|t|^{j+1-2m} \partial_{n+1}^{j-m+1} \mathcal{S}^{L^*} \dot{\mathbf{g}})(x) \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \Omega(t) \nabla^m v)(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega(s)$ satisfies the bounds

$$\Omega(s) \leq C \begin{cases} 0, & s < \tau, \\ (1 - \tau/s)^{2j}, & \tau < s < T, \\ (1 - \tau/s)^{2j-1}(T/s - \tau/s), & T < s. \end{cases}$$

Let $j = 2m + k - 1$, so $j \geq 2$ and $k = j + 1 - 2m$. Then by the bound (5.1),

$$\|\mathcal{A}_2^-(|t|^{j+1-2m} \partial_{n+1}^{j-m+1} \mathcal{S}^{L^*} \dot{\mathbf{g}})\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\dot{\mathbf{g}}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By assumption, $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m v) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Because $\Omega(s)$ is bounded, we have that

$$\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \Omega(t) \nabla^m v)(x) \leq C \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m v)(x).$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m v)(x) < \infty$ (true for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$), then

$$\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \Omega(t) \nabla^m v)(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty \text{ or } T \rightarrow 0^+.$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, this means that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \Omega(t) \nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty \text{ or } T \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Thus, for any sequence of positive numbers t_j that converge to either zero or infinity, the sequence $\{\nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, t_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and so the limits

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathbf{f}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, t) - \dot{\mathbf{p}}$$

exist. Furthermore, $\|\nabla^{m-1}v(\cdot, t) - \dot{\mathbf{p}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is bounded, uniformly in t .

Similarly, the limits

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}}' = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathbf{f}}' = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t) - \dot{\mathbf{p}}'$$

exist. Furthermore, $\|\nabla^m w(\cdot, t) - \dot{\mathbf{p}}'\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is bounded, uniformly in t .

It remains only to produce statements about the limits $\dot{\mathbf{p}}, \dot{\mathbf{p}}'$ at ∞ .

If $p < p_0^+$, then by formula (5.4), $\nabla^m v(\cdot, t) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and so $\nabla_{\parallel} \dot{\mathbf{p}} = 0$ and so $\dot{\mathbf{p}}$ is a constant array. But $\dot{\mathbf{p}}$ is constant if and only if $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \nabla^{m-1} P$ for some polynomial P of degree at most $m - 1$, as desired.

If $p_0^+ \leq p < p_1^+$, we will need a more complicated argument. Fix some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some $R > 0$. By Lemma 3.3,

$$\int_{|x-y|<R} |\nabla^m v(y, \tau)|^2 dy \leq C \int_{|x-y|<2R} \int_{\tau-R}^{\tau+R} |\nabla^m v(y, s)|^2 ds dy.$$

By the Caccioppoli inequality and Theorem 3.2, if $q < p_1^+$, and if P is a polynomial of degree at most $m - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x-y|<R} |\nabla^m v(y, \tau)|^2 dy \\ & \leq CR^{n-2n/q-2} \left(\int_{|x-y|<4R} \int_{\tau-2R}^{\tau+2R} |\nabla^{m-1} v(y, s) - \nabla^{m-1} P|^q ds dy \right)^{2/q}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\tau > 64R$, then by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x-y|<R} |\nabla^m v(y, \tau)|^2 dy \\ & \leq CR^{n-2n/q-2} \left(\int_{|x-y|<\tau/8} \int_{3\tau/4}^{5\tau/4} |\nabla^{m-1} v(y, s) - \nabla^{m-1} P|^q ds dy \right)^{2/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x-y|<R} |\nabla^m v(y, \tau)|^2 dy \\ & \leq CR^{n-2n/q-2} \tau^{2n/q-n} \int_{|x-y|<\tau/4} \int_{\tau/2}^{3\tau/2} |\nabla^{m-1} v(y, s) - \nabla^{m-1} P|^2 ds dy. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing P appropriately, by the Poincaré inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x-y|<R} |\nabla^m v(y, \tau)|^2 dy \\ & \leq CR^{n-2n/q-2} \tau^{2n/q-n+2} \int_{|x-y|<\tau/4} \int_{\tau/2}^{3\tau/2} |\nabla^m v(y, s)|^2 ds dy. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition (2.4) of \mathcal{A}_2^+ , if $|x - z| < \tau/4$, then

$$\int_{|x-y|<R} |\nabla^m v(y, \tau)|^2 dy \leq CR^{n-2n/q-2} \tau^{2n/q} \mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m v)(z)^2.$$

Averaging over such z , we see that

$$\int_{|x-y|<R} |\nabla^m v(y, \tau)|^2 dy \leq CR^{n-2n/q-2} \tau^{2n/q-2n/p} \|A_2^+(t\nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

If $p < p_1^+$, we may choose q with $p < q < p_1^+$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $R > 0$,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x-y|<R} |\nabla_{\parallel} \nabla^{m-1} v(y, \tau)|^2 dy = 0.$$

From this we see that $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \nabla^{m-1} v(\cdot, \tau)$ has a weak gradient that is equal to zero almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n , and thus $\dot{\mathbf{p}}$ is constant.

We now turn to w and $\dot{\mathbf{p}}'$. By a similar argument, $\nabla^m \partial_t w(\cdot, t) \rightarrow 0$ and so $\nabla^{m-1} \partial_{n+1} w$ approaches a constant $\dot{\mathbf{p}}'_1$. There is some polynomial P_1 of order at most m with $\dot{\mathbf{p}}'_1 = \nabla^{m-1} \partial_{n+1} P_1$. We are left with $\dot{\mathbf{p}}'_2 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_{\parallel}^m w(\cdot, t)$. Since $w(\cdot, t)$ is in $\dot{W}_{m,loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that $\dot{\mathbf{p}}'_2 = \nabla_{\parallel}^m P_2$ for some function P_2 defined on \mathbb{R}^n . Thus, $\dot{\mathbf{p}}'(x) = \nabla^m P(x, t)$ where $P(x, t) = P_1(x, t) + P_2(x)$, as desired.

We next check the claim $LP = 0$. Let φ be smooth and compactly supported. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m P \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \nabla^m \varphi(\cdot, t), \mathbf{A} \nabla^m P \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \nabla^m \varphi(\cdot, t), \mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, s+t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nabla^m \varphi_{-s}, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $Lw = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . (Here $\varphi_{-s}(x, t) = \varphi(x, t - s)$; if φ is supported in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (-T, T)$ then φ_{-s} is supported in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (s - T, s + T)$.) Thus, $LP = 0$ as well.

Finally, suppose that $\nabla^m w(\cdot, t) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $t > 0$. This implies that $\|\nabla^m w(\cdot, s)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is bounded, uniformly in $s > 0$, and so $\nabla^m P \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as well.

By assumption, $p < p_0^+ = p_L^+$. Let q satisfy $p < q < p_L^+$. Recalling that $LP = 0$, we have that by Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.2, if $r > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{|x|<r} |\nabla^m P(x, t)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq Cr^{n/q-n/p} \left(\int_{t-r}^{t+r} \int_{|x|<2r} |\nabla^m P(x, s)|^p dx ds \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\nabla^m P$ is constant in t , and taking the limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\|\nabla^m P\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$; thus $\nabla^m P = 0$ almost everywhere, as desired.

6. The Neumann boundary values of a solution

In this section we will prove results pertaining to the Neumann boundary values as defined by formula (2.10), that is, defined in terms of a specific extension operator \mathcal{E} . Specifically, we will prove the following two theorems.

THEOREM 6.1. — *Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$, associated with coefficients \mathbf{A} that are t -independent in the sense of formula (1.4) and satisfy the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Let $v \in \dot{W}_{m,loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and suppose that $Lv = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .*

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m v) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 < p < \infty$. Further assume that for any $\sigma > 0$ we have that $\nabla^m v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$.

Then for all φ smooth and compactly supported, we have that

$$\langle \mathbf{A}\nabla^m v(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

represents an absolutely convergent integral for any fixed $t > 0$ and is continuous in t .

Furthermore,

$$\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < T < \infty} \left| \int_{\varepsilon}^T \langle \mathbf{A}\nabla^m v(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt \right| \leq C \|\nabla\| \mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi \|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m v) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and the limit

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \\ T \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \langle \mathbf{A}\nabla^m v(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt$$

exists, and so $\langle \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ v, \mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$ exists and satisfies the bound

$$|\langle \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ v, \mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}| \leq C \|\nabla\| \mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi \|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m v) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

THEOREM 6.2. — *Suppose that L is an operator of the form (1.1) of order $2m$, associated with coefficients \mathbf{A} that are t -independent in the sense of formula (1.4) and satisfy the ellipticity conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Let $w \in \dot{W}_{m,loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and suppose that $Lw = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .*

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t w) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 < p < \infty$. Further assume that for any $\sigma > 0$ we have that $\nabla^m \partial_{n+1} w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$. Finally, assume that

$$\sup_{\tau > 0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B((x,\tau),\tau/2)} |\nabla^m w|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p} = C_0 < \infty.$$

Then for all φ smooth and compactly supported in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} we have that the bound

$$|\langle \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ w, \dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1} \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| \leq C \|\dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ \varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C_0)$$

is valid. Furthermore, we have that

$$(6.1) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle \mathbf{A}(x) \nabla^m w(x, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E} \varphi(x, t) \rangle| dx dt < \infty$$

and that

$$(6.2) \quad \langle \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ w, \dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1} \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \langle \mathbf{A} \nabla^m w, \nabla^m \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}.$$

That is, the Neumann boundary values may be defined in terms of arbitrary C_0^∞ extensions as well as the distinguished extension $\mathcal{E} \varphi$.

Before proving these theorems, we make two remarks; these remarks may assist in applying Theorems 6.1 and 6.2.

Remark 6.3. — We comment on the appearance in Theorem 6.2 of the term

$$\sup_{\tau > 0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B((x,\tau),\tau/2)} |\nabla^m w|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p}.$$

If $p < p_L^+$, where p_L^+ is as in Theorem 3.2, then

$$\sup_{\tau > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B((x,\tau),\tau/2)} |\nabla^m w|^2 \right)^{p/2} dx \leq C \sup_{\tau > 0} \|\nabla^m w(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p$$

and so if p' is such that the condition (5.2) is valid, then by Theorem 5.2 we have that

$$\sup_{\tau > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B((x,\tau),\tau/2)} |\nabla^m w|^2 \right)^{p/2} \leq C_p \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p.$$

provided $\|\nabla^m w(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ for at least one value of $\tau > 0$.

As mentioned in the introduction, this term appears in other ways in the theory; for example, if \tilde{N} is the modified nontangential maximal function introduced in [49], then

$$\sup_{\tau > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B((x,\tau),\tau/2)} |\nabla^m w|^2 \right)^{p/2} \leq C \|\tilde{N}(\nabla^m w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p.$$

Remark 6.4. — As in Section 5, if $p \leq 2$, then finiteness of the quantities $\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ or $\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ implies the inclusions $\nabla^m v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$ or $\nabla^m \partial_{n+1} w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\sigma, \infty))$, respectively, for any $\sigma > 0$.

Thus, if $1 < p \leq 2$, then v satisfies the conditions of Theorem 6.1 provided only that $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m v) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Lv = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .

Similarly, by Remark 6.3, if $1 < p \leq 2$ then $\tilde{w} = w - P$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 6.2 provided $\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m \partial_t w) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Lw = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , where P is as in Theorem 5.2.

We will devote the remainder of this section to a proof of these two theorems.

We begin with the following estimates on \mathcal{Q}_t^m .

LEMMA 6.5. — *Let $0 \leq j \leq m$ and let $\ell \geq j$ be an integer. Let γ be a multiindex with $\gamma_{n+1} = 0$ and $|\gamma| \leq \ell$.*

If $1 \leq r \leq p' \leq \infty$, then

$$(6.3) \quad \|t^{\ell-j} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{p',r} t^{n/p' - n/r} \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for any $t > 0$ and $\psi \in \dot{W}_j^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If $1 < p' < \infty$, and if $\ell > |\gamma|$ or $\ell = |\gamma| > j$, then

$$(6.4) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t^{\ell-j} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for any $\psi \in \dot{W}_j^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. — For any Schwartz function η , let $\eta_t(y) = t^{-n} \eta(y/t)$. Recall that $\mathcal{Q}_t^m = e^{-(t^2 \Delta_{\parallel})^m}$; a straightforward argument using the Fourier transform establishes that $\mathcal{Q}_t^m f(x) = \theta_t * f(x)$ for some Schwartz function θ .

Observe that $\partial_t \mathcal{Q}_t^m = -2m t^{2m-1} (-\Delta_{\parallel})^m \mathcal{Q}_t^m$. Thus, there are some constants $C_{\ell,m,\gamma,\zeta}$ such that

$$t^{\ell-j} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi(x) = \sum_{\substack{2m \leq |\zeta| \leq 2m(\ell-|\gamma|) \\ \zeta_{n+1} = 0}} t^{|\zeta|+|\gamma|-j} C_{\ell,m,\gamma,\zeta} \partial_{\parallel}^{\zeta+\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi(x) \quad \text{if } \ell > |\gamma|,$$

$$t^{\ell-j} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi(x) = t^{|\gamma|-j} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi(x) \quad \text{if } \ell = |\gamma|.$$

Notice that the purely horizontal derivatives may be chosen to fall on either ψ or the convolution kernel of \mathcal{Q}_t^m , and, furthermore, if either $\ell > |\gamma|$ or $\ell = |\gamma| \geq j$ then there are at least j such derivatives. Thus, we have that

$$(6.5) \quad t^{\ell-j} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi(x) = \sum_{|\delta|=j, \delta \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^n} \eta_t^{\delta} * \partial_{\parallel}^{\delta} \psi(x) = \dot{\eta}_t * \nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi(x)$$

for some array of Schwartz functions $\dot{\eta}$ depending on γ, ℓ, m and j .

Observe that if $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ then $\|\eta_t\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = C_s t^{n/s-n}$ for some constant C_s depending only on s and η . It is well known that, if $1 \leq r \leq p' \leq \infty$, then

$$\|\dot{\eta}_t * \nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\dot{\eta}_t\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $1/p' + 1 = 1/s + 1/r$. Applying this estimate to formula (6.5) yields the bound (6.3).

Let ρ be a Schwartz function that satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(x) dx = 0$. Then by [23, Application (3)],

$$\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(\rho_t * f)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(p') \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for any $1 < p' < \infty$. Thus, to establish the bound (6.4), it suffices to show that $\dot{\eta}$ integrates to zero. To show that $\dot{\eta}$ integrates to zero, it suffices to show that, if $p_\delta(x) = x^\delta$ for some $\delta \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^n$ with $|\delta| = j$, so that $\nabla_{||}^j p_\delta = \delta! \dot{e}_\delta$, then

$$t^{\ell-j} \partial_{||}^\gamma \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m p_\delta(x) = 0.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_t^m p_\delta(x) &= \theta_t * p_\delta(x) = \int (x - ty)^\delta \theta(y) dy \\ &= \sum_{\zeta \leq \delta} \frac{\delta!}{\zeta!(\delta - \zeta)!} x^\zeta t^{|\delta-\zeta|} \int (-y)^{\delta-\zeta} \theta(y) dy = \sum_{\zeta \leq \delta} C_{\zeta,\delta} x^\zeta t^{j-|\zeta|} \end{aligned}$$

where we say that $\zeta \leq \delta$ if $\zeta_j \leq \delta_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $C_{\zeta,\delta} = 0$ if $|\zeta| \leq j$ but $\zeta \not\leq \delta$, so that we may sum over ζ with $|\zeta| \leq j$. We thus may write

$$\mathcal{Q}_t^m p_\delta(x) = \sum_{k=0}^j t^k \sum_{|\zeta|=j-k} C_{\zeta,\delta} x^\zeta.$$

Recall that if $1 \leq k \leq 2m - 1$, then $\partial_t^k \mathcal{Q}_t^m|_{t=0} = 0$. Thus,

$$0 = \partial_t^k \mathcal{Q}_t^m p_\delta(x)|_{t=0} = k! \sum_{|\zeta|=j-k} C_{\zeta,\delta} x^\zeta$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq j$, and so $\mathcal{Q}_t^m p_\delta(x) = C_{\delta,\delta} x^\delta$. We compute

$$\partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m p_\delta(x) = \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} C_{\delta,\delta} x^\delta.$$

This is zero whenever $\ell > |\gamma|$. If $\ell = |\gamma|$, then

$$\partial_{||}^\gamma \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m p_\delta(x) = C_{\delta,\delta} \partial_{||}^\gamma x^\delta$$

which is zero if $|\gamma| > |\delta| = j$. □

Next, we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 6.6. — *Let L be as in Theorems 6.1 and 6.2. Suppose that $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . If ψ is smooth and compactly supported, if $0 \leq j \leq m$,*

$\ell \geq j$ and $k \geq 0$ are integers, and if r, p are real numbers with $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq r \leq p', 1/p + 1/p' = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tau^{\ell-j+k+1} \nabla^\ell \mathcal{Q}_\tau^m \psi(x)| |\mathbf{A}(x) \nabla^m \partial_\tau^k u(x, \tau)| \, dx \\ & \leq C \tau^{n/p' - n/r} \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \mathbf{1}_{(\tau/2, 3\tau/2)}(t) \nabla^m u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This lemma has obvious applications if $u = v$ or $u = \partial_t w$. We remark that it may also be applied with $u = w$, because

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \mathbf{1}_{(\tau/2, 3\tau/2)}(t) \nabla^m w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq C \sup_{\tau > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B((x, \tau), \tau/2)} |\nabla^m w|^2 \right)^{p/2}.$$

Proof of Lemma 6.6. — By Lemma 3.3 and the Caccioppoli inequality,

$$\int_{|x-y| < \tau/2} |\nabla^m \partial_\tau^k u(y, \tau)|^2 \, dy \leq C \tau^{-2-2k} \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \mathbf{1}_{(\tau/2, 3\tau/2)}(t) \nabla^m u)(x)^2.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tau^{\ell-j+k+1} \nabla^\ell \mathcal{Q}_\tau^m \psi(x)| |\mathbf{A}(x) \nabla^m \partial_\tau^k u(x, \tau)| \, dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y| < \tau/2} |\tau^{\ell-j+k+1} \nabla^\ell \mathcal{Q}_\tau^m \psi(y)| |\mathbf{A}(x) \nabla^m \partial_\tau^k u(y, \tau)| \, dy \, dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sup_{|x-y| < \tau/2} |\tau^{\ell-j} \nabla^\ell \mathcal{Q}_\tau^m \psi(y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \mathbf{1}_{(\tau/2, 3\tau/2)}(t) \nabla^m u)(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By formula (6.5),

$$\sup_{|x-y| < \tau} |\tau^{\ell-j} \nabla^\ell \mathcal{Q}_\tau^m \psi(y)| \leq C \mathcal{M}(\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi)(x)$$

where \mathcal{M} denotes the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. Because \mathcal{Q}_t^m is a semigroup, we have that $\mathcal{Q}_\tau^m \psi = \mathcal{Q}_{\tau/2}^m(\mathcal{Q}_{\tau/2}^m \psi)$, and so

$$\sup_{|x-y| < \tau/2} |\tau^{\ell-j} \nabla^\ell \mathcal{Q}_\tau^m \psi(y)| \leq C \mathcal{M}(\nabla_{\parallel}^j \mathcal{Q}_{\tau/2}^m \psi)(x).$$

Thus, by boundedness of \mathcal{M} ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tau^{\ell-j+k+1} \nabla^\ell \mathcal{Q}_\tau^m \psi(x)| |\mathbf{A}(x) \nabla^m \partial_\tau^k u(x, \tau)| \, dx \\ & \leq C \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \mathcal{Q}_{\tau/2}^m \psi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \mathbf{1}_{(\tau/2, 3\tau/2)}(t) \nabla^m u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the bound (6.3), we have that if $1 \leq r \leq p'$ then

$$\|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \mathcal{Q}_{\tau/2}^m \psi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{p', r} \tau^{n/p' - n/r} \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This completes the proof. □

We now prove Theorems 6.1 and 6.2. We begin with the terms that require different arguments in the two cases; we will conclude this section by bounding a term that arises in both cases.

LEMMA 6.7. — *Let v be as in Theorem 6.1. Then*

$$\langle \mathbf{A}\nabla^m v(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

represents an absolutely convergent integral over \mathbb{R}^n for all $t > 0$ and is continuous in t .

Furthermore, let $\psi_j(x) = \varphi_{m-j}(x) = \partial_{n+1}^{m-j} \varphi(x, 0)$, so

$$\frac{1}{C} \|\nabla_{\parallel} \mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi_j\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\nabla_{\parallel} \mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Suppose that $\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ for some $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathbf{A}\nabla^m v(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= OK(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{|\beta|=m} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma|=j \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} v(x, t)} \, dx \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{\gamma\beta} = A_{\tilde{\gamma}\beta}$ for $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma + (m - |\gamma|)\vec{e}_{n+1}$, and where $OK(t) = OK(t, \varphi, v)$ satisfies the bound

$$\int_0^{\infty} |OK(t, \varphi, v)| \, dt \leq C \|\nabla_{\parallel} \mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. — Observe that by the definition (2.9) of \mathcal{E} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{A}\nabla^m v(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{|\beta|=m} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq m \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} \frac{1}{(m-j)!} \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t^{m-|\gamma|} (t^{m-j} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x)) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} v(x, t)} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Leibniz’s rule,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathbf{A}\nabla^m v(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{|\beta|=m} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq m \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} \sum_{\ell=\max(j, |\gamma|)}^m \frac{(m-|\gamma|)!}{(\ell-|\gamma|)!(m-\ell)!(\ell-j)!} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t^{\ell-j} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} v(x, t)} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.6 (with $r = p'$), the integral is absolutely convergent and has absolute value at most

$$Ct^{-1} \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi_j\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(t^{\ell-j} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} v(x,t)} \right) \right| dx \\ \leq Ct^{-2} \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi_j\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t\nabla^m u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

and so the integral over \mathbb{R}^n is continuous (and in fact differentiable) in t .

By formula (4.6), Hölder’s inequality and the definition (2.4) of \mathcal{A}_2^a , if $a > 0$ and if F and G are nonnegative functions then

$$(6.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{\infty} F(x, t) G(x, t) dt dx \leq \frac{C_n}{a^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_2^a(F)(x) \mathcal{A}_2^a(tG)(x) dx.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{\infty} \left| t^{\ell-j} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} v(x, t)} \right| dt dx \\ \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_2^+(t^{\ell-j} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j)(y) \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \partial^{\beta} v)(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

By the bound (6.4), if $\ell > |\gamma|$ or $\ell = |\gamma| > j$, then

$$\|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t^{\ell-j} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi_j\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, we need only consider the $|\gamma| = j = \ell$ term; in other words,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{A} \nabla^m v(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E} \varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ = OK(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{|\beta|=m} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma|=j \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} v(x, t)} dx \end{aligned}$$

where the term $OK(t)$ satisfies

$$\int_0^{\infty} |OK(t)| dt \leq C \|\nabla_{\parallel} \mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This completes the proof. □

LEMMA 6.8. — *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6.2, the bound (6.1) and formula (6.2) are valid.*

Furthermore, let $\psi_j(x) = \varphi_{m-j-1}(x) = \partial_{n+1}^{m-j-1} \varphi(x, 0)$, so

$$\frac{1}{C} \|\mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi_j\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < T$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \langle \mathbf{A}\nabla^m w(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt \\ &= OK - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma|=j \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_t w(x, t)} dx dt \end{aligned}$$

for some term $OK = OK_{\varepsilon, T}(w, \varphi)$ that satisfies the bound

$$\begin{aligned} |OK_{\varepsilon, T}(w, \varphi)| &\leq C \|\dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ \varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{\tau > 0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B((x, \tau), \tau/2)} |\nabla^m w|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad + C \|\dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ \varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. — We begin with the bound (6.1). Observe that if $|\alpha| = m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}\varphi(x, t) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \partial^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{(m-j-1)!} t^{m-j-1} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^m \sum_{|\gamma|=\ell} C_{\alpha, \gamma, j} t^{\ell-j-1} \partial^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.6 and the following remarks, if $1 \leq r \leq p'$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle \mathbf{A}\nabla^m w(x, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi(x, t) \rangle| dx \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \min(\|\nabla_{\parallel}^{j+1} \psi_j\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, t^{n/p' - n/r - 1} \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\ & \quad \times \sup_{\tau > 0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B((x, \tau), \tau/2)} |\nabla^m w|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption the term on the last line is finite, and so if φ and thus ψ_j is smooth and compactly supported, the bound (6.1) is valid. Thus, we may write $\langle \dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ \varphi, \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \langle \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi, \mathbf{A}\nabla^m w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$ for all $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, without taking explicit limits.

We now turn to formula (6.2). We seek to show that if $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, then

$$\langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A}\nabla^m w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} = \langle \dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ \varphi, \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \langle \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi, \mathbf{A}\nabla^m w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}.$$

Let $\eta_R(x, t) = \eta(x/R, t/R)$, where η is smooth, supported in $B(0, 2)$ and equal to 1 in $B(0, 1)$. An argument using the bound (6.1) shows that as $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\langle \nabla^m (\eta_R \mathcal{E}\varphi), \mathbf{A}\nabla^m w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \rightarrow \langle \nabla^m \mathcal{E}\varphi, \mathbf{A}\nabla^m w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} = \langle \dot{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{r}_{m-1}^+ \varphi, \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

But by Lemma 3.3, $\nabla^m w$ is locally integrable up to the boundary in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , and so if φ is compactly supported, then by the weak formulation (2.3) of $Lw = 0$ we have that $\langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$ depends only on $\mathbf{Tr}_{m-1}^+ \varphi$. Thus, if φ is compactly supported then

$$\langle \nabla^m \varphi, \mathbf{A} \nabla^m w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} = \langle \nabla^m (\eta_R \mathcal{E} \varphi), \mathbf{A} \nabla^m w \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$$

for all R large enough, and so formula (6.2) is valid.

Finally, we come to the formula involving ψ_j . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \langle \mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E} \varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ |\beta|=m}} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^\alpha \left(\frac{t^{m-j-1}}{(m-j-1)!} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \right) \overline{A_{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial^\beta w(x, t)} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to bound the terms on the right-hand side.

We begin with terms for which $\alpha_{n+1} > 0$. Let $\alpha = \gamma + (m - |\gamma|) \vec{e}_{n+1}$ for some γ with $\gamma_{n+1} = 0$ and $m - |\gamma| \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^\alpha (t^{m-j-1} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x)) \overline{A_{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial^\beta w(x, t)} dx dt \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^\gamma \partial_t^{m-|\gamma|} (t^{m-j-1} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x)) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta} \partial^\beta w(x, t)} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts in t , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^\alpha (t^{m-j-1} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x)) \overline{A_{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial^\beta w(x, t)} dx dt \\ &= - \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^\gamma \partial_t^{m-|\gamma|-1} (t^{m-j-1} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x)) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta} \partial^\beta \partial_t w(x, t)} dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^\gamma \partial_t^{m-|\gamma|-1} (T^{m-j-1} \mathcal{Q}_T^m \psi_j(x)) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta} \partial^\beta w(x, T)} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^\gamma \partial_t^{m-|\gamma|-1} (\varepsilon^{m-j-1} \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon^m \psi_j(x)) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta} \partial^\beta w(x, \varepsilon)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.6 and the following remarks, the second and third terms have norm at most

$$C \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi_j\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{\tau > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B((x, \tau), \tau/2)} |\nabla^m w|^2 \right)^{p/2}$$

and thus satisfy our desired bounds.

We turn to the first term. Applying Leibniz’s rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t^{m-|\gamma|-1} (t^{m-j-1} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x)) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_t w(x, t)} \, dx \, dt \\ &= \sum_{\ell=\max(|\gamma|, j)}^{m-1} C_{m, j, |\gamma|, \ell} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t^{\ell-j} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_t w(x, t)} \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that if $\ell = j = |\gamma|$, then $C_{m, j, |\gamma|, \ell} = (m - j - 1)!$. Recall that these terms are the terms that appear explicitly in the statement of this lemma, and so we need not bound them in this proof.

If $\ell > j$ or $\ell > \gamma$, then by the bounds (6.6) and (6.4),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| t^{\ell-j} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_t w(x, t)} \right| \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_2^+(t^{\ell-j} \partial_t^{\ell-|\gamma|} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j) \mathcal{A}_2^+(t \partial^{\beta} \partial_t w) \, dx \\ & \leq C \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi_j\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \nabla^m \partial_t w)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

We now consider the terms with $\alpha_{n+1} = 0$; we may write these terms as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\beta|=m} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha_{n+1}=0}} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{m-j-1}}{(m-j-1)!} \partial_{\parallel}^{\alpha} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} w(x, t)} \, dx \, dt \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \frac{t^{m-j-1}}{(m-j-1)!} \langle \nabla_{\parallel}^m \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x), \overline{\mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

We again integrate by parts in t and see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \frac{t^{m-j-1}}{(m-j-1)!} \langle \nabla_{\parallel}^m \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x), \overline{\mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \, dt \\ &= - \int_{\varepsilon}^T \frac{t^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \nabla_{\parallel}^m \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x), \overline{\mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \, dt \\ & \quad + \frac{T^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \langle \nabla_{\parallel}^m \mathcal{Q}_T^m \psi_j(x), \overline{\mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, T)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ & \quad - \frac{\varepsilon^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \langle \nabla_{\parallel}^m \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}^m \psi_j(x), \overline{\mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, \varepsilon)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

We may bound the last two terms using Lemma 6.6 as before. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_{\varepsilon}^T \frac{t^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \nabla_{\parallel}^m \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x), \overline{\mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt \\
 & \quad = - \int_{\varepsilon}^T \frac{t^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \langle \nabla_{\parallel}^m \partial_t \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x), \overline{\mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt \\
 & \quad \quad - \int_{\varepsilon}^T \frac{t^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \langle \nabla_{\parallel}^m \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x), \overline{\mathbf{A} \nabla^m \partial_t w(\cdot, t)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

As before, we bound the second term using the bounds (6.6) and (6.4). To control the first term, we integrate by parts in x and use the fact that $Lw = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \nabla_{\parallel}^m \partial_t \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x), \overline{\mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
 & \quad = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha_{n+1}=0}} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \langle \partial_{\parallel}^{\alpha} \partial_t \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x), \overline{A_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\beta} w(\cdot, t)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
 & \quad = \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq m-1 \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} \sum_{|\beta|=m} (-1)^{m+|\gamma|+1} \langle \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x), \overline{A_{\gamma\beta} \partial^{\beta} \partial_t^{m-|\gamma|} w(\cdot, t)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by formula (6.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\varepsilon}^T \frac{t^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \langle \nabla_{\parallel}^m \partial_t \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x), \overline{\mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t)} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt \right| \\
 & \quad \leq C \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq m-1 \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} \| \mathcal{A}_2^{1/2} (t^{|\gamma|-j+1} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j) \|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \quad \quad \times \| \mathcal{A}_2^{1/2} (t^{m-|\gamma|} \nabla^m \partial_t^{m-|\gamma|} w) \|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the bound (6.4), the first term is at most $C \| \nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi_j \|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. By the Caccioppoli inequality, the second term is at most $C \| \mathcal{A}_2^{+} (t \nabla^m \partial_t w) \|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, as desired.

Assembling our estimates, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \langle \mathbf{A} \nabla^m w(\cdot, t), \nabla^m \mathcal{E} \varphi(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} dt \\
 & \quad = OK - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{|\beta|=m} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma|=j \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_t w(x, t)} dt dx
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

To complete the proof of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2, we must bound terms of the form

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^T \sum_{|\beta|=m} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma|=j \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} u(x, t)} dx dt$$

for $0 \leq j \leq m$, where $u = v$ or $u = \partial_t w$.

Choose some j with $0 \leq j \leq m$. As usual, we integrate by parts in t . If $\ell \geq 0$ is an integer, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_t^{\ell} u(x, t)} t^{\ell} dx dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\ell + 1} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_t^{\ell+1} u(x, t)} t^{\ell+1} dx dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\ell + 1} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \partial_t \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_t^{\ell} u(x, t)} t^{\ell+1} dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\ell + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_T^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_T^{\ell} u(x, T)} T^{\ell+1} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\ell + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_{\varepsilon}^{\ell} u(x, \varepsilon)} \varepsilon^{\ell+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The second integral may be controlled by the bounds (6.6) and (6.4) as usual. By Lemma 6.6 (with $r = p'$), the last integral has norm at most

$$C \|\nabla_{\parallel}^j \psi_j\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+(t \mathbf{1}_{(\varepsilon/2, 3\varepsilon/2)}(t) \nabla^m u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and so is uniformly bounded and approaches zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Similarly, the third integral is uniformly bounded and approaches zero as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus, by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} u(x, t)} dt dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2k)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_t^{2k} u(x, t)} t^{2k} dt dx + OK(\varepsilon, T) \end{aligned}$$

for any integer $k \geq 0$, where the term $OK(t)$ is uniformly bounded and approaches a limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\beta|=m} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma|=j \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\varepsilon}^T \partial_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j(x) \overline{A_{\gamma\beta}(x) \partial^{\beta} \partial_t^{2k} u(x, t)} t^{2k} dt dx \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon}^T \langle \nabla^m \partial_t^{2k} u(\cdot, t), \mathbf{A}_{mj}^* \nabla_{\parallel}^j \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} t^{2k} dt \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{A}_{mj}^* is the matrix that satisfies

$$(\mathbf{A}_{mj}^* \dot{\psi})_\beta = \sum_{\substack{|\gamma|=j \\ \gamma_{n+1}=0}} A_{\beta\gamma}^* \psi_\gamma \quad \text{for any } |\beta| = m.$$

By Lemma 4.2, if $k \geq m$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{2k} |\langle \mathbf{A}_{mj}^* \nabla_{\|\cdot\|}^j \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j, \nabla^m \partial_t^{2k} u(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| dt \\ & \leq C_k \int_{4/3}^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_2^- (|t|^{k-2m+1} \partial_{n+1}^{k-m} \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} (\mathbf{A}_{mj}^* \nabla_{\|\cdot\|}^j \mathcal{Q}_{|t|^r}^m \psi_j))(x) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \mathcal{A}_2^+ (t \nabla^m u)(x) dx dr. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$R_t^r \dot{\psi}(z) = t^{k-2m+1} \partial_{n+1}^{k-m} \mathcal{S}_\nabla^{L^*} (\mathbf{A}_{mj}^* \mathcal{Q}_{tr}^m \dot{\psi})(z, -t).$$

Observe that $\mathcal{P}_t = \mathcal{Q}_{tr}^m$ is also an approximate identity with a Schwartz kernel. By the bound (3.6), for any fixed r with $4/3 < r < 4$ and any p' with $1 < p' < \infty$ we have $L^{p'}$ boundedness of $\psi \mapsto \mathcal{A}_2^+ (R_t^r \dot{\psi})$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{2k} |\langle \mathbf{A}_{mj}^* \nabla_{\|\cdot\|}^j \mathcal{Q}_t^m \psi_j, \nabla^m \partial_{n+1}^{2k} u(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| dt \\ & \leq C \|\nabla_{\|\cdot\|}^j \psi_j\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{A}_2^+ (t \nabla^m u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] V. ADOLFSSON & J. PIPHER, “The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem for Δ^2 in Lipschitz domains”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **159** (1998), no. 1, p. 137-190.
- [2] S. AGMON, “Multiple layer potentials and the Dirichlet problem for higher order elliptic equations in the plane. I”, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **10** (1957), p. 179-239.
- [3] M. S. AGRANOVICH, “On the theory of Dirichlet and Neumann problems for linear strongly elliptic systems with Lipschitz domains”, *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.* **41** (2007), no. 4, p. 1-21, English translation: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **41** (2007), no. 4, p. 247-263.
- [4] ———, “Potential-type operators and conjugation problems for second-order strongly elliptic systems in domains with a Lipschitz boundary”, *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.* **43** (2009), no. 3, p. 3-25.
- [5] M. A. ALFONSECA, P. AUSCHER, A. AXELSSON, S. HOFMANN & S. KIM, “Analyticity of layer potentials and L^2 solvability of boundary value problems for divergence form elliptic equations with complex L^∞ coefficients”, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), no. 5, p. 4533-4606.
- [6] P. AUSCHER & A. AXELSSON, “Weighted maximal regularity estimates and solvability of non-smooth elliptic systems I”, *Invent. Math.* **184** (2011), no. 1, p. 47-115.
- [7] P. AUSCHER, A. AXELSSON & S. HOFMANN, “Functional calculus of Dirac operators and complex perturbations of Neumann and Dirichlet problems”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **255** (2008), no. 2, p. 374-448.

- [8] P. AUSCHER, A. AXELSSON & A. MCINTOSH, “Solvability of elliptic systems with square integrable boundary data”, *Ark. Mat.* **48** (2010), no. 2, p. 253-287.
- [9] P. AUSCHER & M. MOURGOLOU, “Boundary layers, Rellich estimates and extrapolation of solvability for elliptic systems”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **109** (2014), no. 2, p. 446-482.
- [10] P. AUSCHER & M. QAFSAOUI, “Equivalence between regularity theorems and heat kernel estimates for higher order elliptic operators and systems under divergence form”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **177** (2000), no. 2, p. 310-364.
- [11] P. AUSCHER & S. STAHLHUT, “Functional calculus for first order systems of Dirac type and boundary value problems”, *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr., Nouv. Sér.* (2016), no. 144, p. vii+164.
- [12] A. BARTON, “Extrapolation of well posedness for higher order elliptic systems with rough coefficients”, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.05079>.
- [13] ———, “Elliptic partial differential equations with almost-real coefficients”, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **223** (2013), no. 1051, p. vi+108.
- [14] ———, “Gradient estimates and the fundamental solution for higher-order elliptic systems with rough coefficients”, *Manuscr. Math.* **151** (2016), no. 3-4, p. 375-418.
- [15] ———, “Trace and extension theorems relating Besov spaces to weighted averaged Sobolev spaces”, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **21** (2018), no. 3, p. 817-870.
- [16] A. BARTON, S. HOFMANN & S. MAYBORODA, “Square function estimates on layer potentials for higher-order elliptic equations”, *Math. Nachr.* **290** (2017), no. 16, p. 2459-2511.
- [17] ———, “The Neumann problem for higher order elliptic equations with symmetric coefficients”, *Math. Ann.* **371** (2018), no. 1-2, p. 297-336.
- [18] ———, “Nontangential estimates and the Neumann problem for higher order elliptic equations”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.07137>, 2018.
- [19] ———, “Bounds on layer potentials with rough inputs for higher order elliptic equations”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **119** (2019), no. 3, p. 613-653.
- [20] A. BARTON & S. MAYBORODA, “The Dirichlet problem for higher order equations in composition form”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013), no. 1, p. 49-107.
- [21] ———, “Higher-order elliptic equations in non-smooth domains: a partial survey”, in *Harmonic analysis, partial differential equations, complex analysis, Banach spaces, and operator theory. Vol. 1*, Association for Women in Mathematics Series, vol. 4, Springer, 2016, p. 55-121.
- [22] ———, “Layer potentials and boundary-value problems for second order elliptic operators with data in Besov spaces”, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **243** (2016), no. 1149, p. v+110.
- [23] A. BENEDEK, A. P. CALDERÓN & R. PANZONE, “Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions”, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **48** (1962), p. 356-365.
- [24] K. BREWSTER, D. MITREA, I. MITREA & M. MITREA, “Extending Sobolev functions with partially vanishing traces from locally (ε, δ) -domains and applications to mixed boundary problems”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **266** (2014), no. 7, p. 4314-4421.
- [25] K. BREWSTER & M. MITREA, “Boundary value problems in weighted Sobolev spaces on Lipschitz manifolds”, *Mem. Differ. Equ. Math. Phys.* **60** (2013), p. 15-55.
- [26] L. A. CAFFARELLI, E. B. FABES & C. E. KENIG, “Completely singular elliptic-harmonic measures”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **30** (1981), no. 6, p. 917-924.
- [27] L. A. CAFFARELLI, E. B. FABES, S. MORTOLA & S. SALSA, “Boundary behavior of nonnegative solutions of elliptic operators in divergence form”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **30** (1981), no. 4, p. 621-640.
- [28] A. P. CALDERÓN, “On the behaviour of harmonic functions at the boundary”, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **68** (1950), p. 47-54.

- [29] S. CAMPANATO, *Sistemi ellittici in forma divergenza. Regolarità all'interno*, Pubblicazioni della Classe di Scienze: Quaderni, Scuola Normale Superiore Pisa, 1980, 187 pages.
- [30] L. CARLESON, "On the existence of boundary values for harmonic functions in several variables", *Ark. Mat.* **4** (1962), p. 393-399.
- [31] J. COHEN & J. GOSSELIN, "The Dirichlet problem for the biharmonic equation in a C^1 domain in the plane", *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **32** (1983), no. 5, p. 635-685.
- [32] ———, "Adjoint boundary value problems for the biharmonic equation on C^1 domains in the plane", *Ark. Mat.* **23** (1985), no. 2, p. 217-240.
- [33] B. E. J. DAHLBERG, "Weighted norm inequalities for the Lusin area integral and the nontangential maximal functions for functions harmonic in a Lipschitz domain", *Stud. Math.* **67** (1980), no. 3, p. 297-314.
- [34] B. E. J. DAHLBERG, D. S. JERISON & C. E. KENIG, "Area integral estimates for elliptic differential operators with nonsmooth coefficients", *Ark. Mat.* **22** (1984), no. 1, p. 97-108.
- [35] B. E. J. DAHLBERG, C. E. KENIG, J. PIPHER & G. C. VERCHOTA, "Area integral estimates for higher order elliptic equations and systems", *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **47** (1997), no. 5, p. 1425-1461.
- [36] M. DINDOŠ, S. PETERMICHL & J. PIPHER, "The L^p Dirichlet problem for second order elliptic operators and a p -adapted square function", *J. Funct. Anal.* **249** (2007), no. 2, p. 372-392.
- [37] M. DINDOŠ, J. PIPHER & D. J. RULE, "Boundary value problems for second-order elliptic operators satisfying a Carleson condition", *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **70** (2017), no. 7, p. 1316-1365.
- [38] E. B. FABES, O. MENDEZ & M. MITREA, "Boundary layers on Sobolev-Besov spaces and Poisson's equation for the Laplacian in Lipschitz domains", *J. Funct. Anal.* **159** (1998), no. 2, p. 323-368.
- [39] P. FATOU, "Séries trigonométriques et séries de Taylor", *Acta Math.* **30** (1906), no. 1, p. 335-400.
- [40] S. HOFMANN, C. E. KENIG, S. MAYBORODA & J. PIPHER, "The regularity problem for second order elliptic operators with complex-valued bounded measurable coefficients", *Math. Ann.* **361** (2015), no. 3-4, p. 863-907.
- [41] ———, "Square function/non-tangential maximal function estimates and the Dirichlet problem for non-symmetric elliptic operators", *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **28** (2015), no. 2, p. 483-529.
- [42] S. HOFMANN, S. MAYBORODA & M. MOURGOGLOU, "Layer potentials and boundary value problems for elliptic equations with complex L^∞ coefficients satisfying the small Carleson measure norm condition", *Adv. Math.* **270** (2015), p. 480-564.
- [43] S. HOFMANN, M. MITREA & A. J. MORRIS, "The method of layer potentials in L^p and endpoint spaces for elliptic operators with L^∞ coefficients", *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **111** (2015), no. 3, p. 681-716.
- [44] R. A. HUNT & R. L. WHEEDEN, "On the boundary values of harmonic functions", *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **132** (1968), p. 307-322.
- [45] ———, "Positive harmonic functions on Lipschitz domains", *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **147** (1970), p. 507-527.
- [46] B. JAWERTH, "Some observations on Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces", *Math. Scand.* **40** (1977), no. 1, p. 94-104.
- [47] A. JONSSON & H. WALLIN, "Function spaces on subsets of \mathbf{R}^n ", *Math. Rep., Chur* **2** (1984), no. 1, p. xiv+221.
- [48] C. E. KENIG, H. KOCH, J. PIPHER & T. TORO, "A new approach to absolute continuity of elliptic measure, with applications to non-symmetric equations", *Adv. Math.* **153** (2000), no. 2, p. 231-298.

- [49] C. E. KENIG & J. PIPHER, “The Neumann problem for elliptic equations with nonsmooth coefficients”, *Invent. Math.* **113** (1993), no. 3, p. 447-509.
- [50] ———, “The Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations with drift terms”, *Publ. Mat., Barc.* **45** (2001), no. 1, p. 199-217.
- [51] C. E. KENIG & D. J. RULE, “The regularity and Neumann problem for non-symmetric elliptic operators”, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), no. 1, p. 125-160.
- [52] J. KILTY & Z. SHEN, “A bilinear estimate for biharmonic functions in Lipschitz domains”, *Math. Ann.* **349** (2011), no. 2, p. 367-394.
- [53] D. KIM, “Trace theorems for Sobolev–Slobodeckij spaces with or without weights”, *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* **5** (2007), no. 3, p. 243-268.
- [54] P. I. LIZORKIN, “Boundary properties of functions from “weight” classes”, *Sov. Math., Dokl.* **1** (1960), p. 589-593.
- [55] V. MAZ’YA, M. MITREA & T. SHAPOSHNIKOVA, “The Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains for higher order elliptic systems with rough coefficients”, *J. Anal. Math.* **110** (2010), p. 167-239.
- [56] I. MITREA & M. MITREA, “Boundary value problems and integral operators for the bi-Laplacian in non-smooth domains”, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* **24** (2013), no. 3, p. 329-383.
- [57] ———, *Multi-layer potentials and boundary problems for higher-order elliptic systems in Lipschitz domains*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2063, Springer, 2013, x+424 pages.
- [58] I. MITREA, M. MITREA & M. WRIGHT, “Optimal estimates for the inhomogeneous problem for the bi-Laplacian in three-dimensional Lipschitz domains”, *J. Math. Sci., New York* **172** (2011), no. 1, p. 24-134, translation of *Probl. Mat. Anal.* **51**, p. 21-114.
- [59] L. MODICA & S. MORTOLA, “Construction of a singular elliptic-harmonic measure”, *Manuscr. Math.* **33** (1980), no. 1, p. 81-98.
- [60] J. PIPHER & G. C. VERCHOTA, “Area integral estimates for the biharmonic operator in Lipschitz domains”, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **327** (1991), no. 2, p. 903-917.
- [61] ———, “Dilation invariant estimates and the boundary Gårding inequality for higher order elliptic operators”, *Ann. Math.* **142** (1995), no. 1, p. 1-38.
- [62] I. PRIVALOFF, “Sur une généralisation du théorème de Fatou”, *Mat. Sb.* **31** (1923), no. 2, p. 232-235.
- [63] Z. SHEN, “Necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the L^p Dirichlet problem on Lipschitz domains”, *Math. Ann.* **336** (2006), no. 3, p. 697-725.
- [64] G. C. VERCHOTA, “The Dirichlet problem for the polyharmonic equation in Lipschitz domains”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **39** (1990), no. 3, p. 671-702.
- [65] ———, “Potentials for the Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains”, in *Potential theory—ICPT 94 (Kouty, 1994)*, Walter de Gruyter, 1996, p. 167-187.
- [66] ———, “The biharmonic Neumann problem in Lipschitz domains”, *Acta Math.* **194** (2005), no. 2, p. 217-279.
- [67] D. Z. ZANGER, “The inhomogeneous Neumann problem in Lipschitz domains”, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equations* **25** (2000), no. 9-10, p. 1771-1808.

Manuscrit reçu le 22 mars 2017,
 accepté le 12 juin 2018.

Ariel BARTON
 Department of Mathematical Sciences
 309 SCEN
 University of Arkansas

Fayetteville, AR 72701 (USA)
aeb019@uark.edu

Steve HOFMANN
202 Math Sciences Bldg.
University of Missouri
Columbia, MO 65211 (USA)
hofmanns@missouri.edu

Svitlana MAYBORODA
Department of Mathematics
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455 (USA)
svitlana@math.umn.edu