

ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Artur AVILA & Pablo CANDELA

Towers for commuting endomorphisms, and combinatorial applications Tome 66, n° 4 (2016), p. 1529-1544.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2016_66_4_1529_0



© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2016, Certains droits réservés.

Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (http://aif.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://aif.cedram.org/legal/).

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du

Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques

http://www.cedram.org/

TOWERS FOR COMMUTING ENDOMORPHISMS, AND COMBINATORIAL APPLICATIONS

by Artur AVILA & Pablo CANDELA

ABSTRACT. — We give an elementary proof of a generalization of Rokhlin's lemma for commuting non-invertible measure-preserving transformations, and we present several combinatorial applications.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous donnons une démonstration élémentaire du lemme de Rokhlin pour les transformations non inversibles commutantes préservant la mesure, et nous présentons des applications combinatoires.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we denote by (X,\mathcal{X},μ) a standard probability space, and T denotes an endomorphism on X, that is, a measure-preserving transformation $X\to X$. By an n-tower (or tower of height n) for T we mean a sequence $B,\ T^{-1}B,\ldots,\ T^{-(n-1)}B$ of pairwise-disjoint successive preimages of some measurable set $B\subseteq X$. By the measure of such a tower we mean simply $\mu\Big(\bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j}B\Big)$.

Towers play an important role in proofs of several central results in ergodic theory, especially by providing ways to approximate a given endomorphism by a periodic one. Originally these methods focused on invertible transformations (automorphisms). The main tool powering these methods is the following well-known result, which was stated explicitly for the first time⁽¹⁾ by Rokhlin [12], and which concerns any automorphism T on X that is aperiodic, meaning that we have $\mu(\{x \in X : T^n x = x\}) = 0$ for every positive integer n.

Keywords: Rokhlin's lemma, commuting endomorphisms, linear equations. Math. classification: 28D05, 37A05, 05D99, 11B30.

 $^{^{(1)}}$ A simple proof can be given for an ergodic map T using the so-called skyscrapers of Kakutani (see [11]) and his name is also often associated with the result.

THEOREM 1.1 (Rokhlin). — Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let n be a positive integer. Then for every aperiodic automorphism T on an atomless standard probability space, there exists an n-tower for T of measure at least $1 - \epsilon$.

The literature related to this very useful result is $rich^{(2)}$; we refer the reader to [9, 14] for more detailed expositions. In particular, the result has been generalized in several directions.

In one central direction, the \mathbb{Z} -action generated by T is replaced with other group actions. Let us mention here the generalization to \mathbb{Z}^d -actions proved by Conze [3] and independently by Katznelson and Weiss [8], and let us refer again to [9, 14] for information on other such extensions.

Another direction, less covered in the literature, concerns non-invertible maps. This starts with the version of Theorem 1.1 in which T is just an endomorphism. Up to the early 2000s, this version was part of the folklore (some explicit mentions of the result outline ways to prove it by modifying some of the existing proofs of the versions for automorphisms; see for instance [9]). The first publication containing a full proof of a version for endomorphisms seems to be [6].

It is natural to ask then for an analogue, for non-invertible maps, of the extension of Theorem 1.1 to \mathbb{Z}^d -actions. This analogue is also motivated by some applications that we describe below. The main result in this paper provides such an analogue, with an elementary proof.

The statement of the result uses the following terminology. Let \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_0 denote the set of positive integers and non-negative integers respectively. We consider a measure-preserving action of \mathbb{N}_0^d on X, that is a map $f: \mathbb{N}_0^d \times X \to X$ such that for each $n = (n(1), \ldots, n(d)) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ the map $f_n: X \to X, x \mapsto f(n, x)$ is an endomorphism on X, with f_0 being the identity map, and such that for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $x \in X$ we have $f_{m+n}(x) = f_m(f_n(x))$. Equivalently, $f(n, x) = T_1^{n(1)} \circ T_2^{n(2)} \circ \cdots \circ T_d^{n(d)}(x)$ where T_1, \ldots, T_d are commuting endomorphisms on X.

We say that the action f is free if for every distinct $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ we have

(1.1)
$$\mu(\{x \in X : f_k(x) = f_\ell(x)\}) = 0.$$

For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, we write $k < \ell$ (respectively $k \leqslant \ell$) if for every $j \in [d] = \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ we have $k(j) < \ell(j)$ (resp. $k(j) \leqslant \ell(j)$). For $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $B \in \mathcal{X}$, we denote by $B_{(n)}$ the union of preimages $\bigcup_{0 \leqslant k < n} f_k^{-1}(B)$. If these preimages are pairwise disjoint we say that $B_{(n)}$ is an n-tower for f with base B.

⁽²⁾ The result is often referred to as Rokhlin's lemma, but in light of its importance it can also be stated as a theorem; see [8].

Our main result is the following multiparameter version of Theorem 1.1 for non-invertible maps.

THEOREM 1.2. — Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Then for every free measure-preserving action f of \mathbb{N}_0^d on an atomless standard probability space, there exists an n-tower for f of measure at least $1 - \epsilon$.

The proof given in [6] for the case d=1 of this theorem uses mostly elementary arguments, and involves also Zorn's lemma and the Poincaré recurrence theorem. We did not find a simple modification of this proof (or of the arguments in [3, 8]) yielding Theorem 1.2. We were also interested in whether Zorn's lemma could be avoided (note that this lemma is used also in several proofs of Theorem 1.1 itself, for instance in [4, 7]). Our proof of Theorem 1.2, presented in Section 2, is completely elementary.

In Section 3 we discuss some applications of Theorem 1.2. In the setting of invertible maps, the applications of towers in ergodic theory are numerous and well documented (see [9, 14]). Some of these results involving \mathbb{Z}^d -actions may be extended to non-invertible maps using Theorem 1.2, but for this paper we have chosen to treat different applications, of more recent origin and of combinatorial nature. The simplest one concerns the problem of finding solutions to an equation $c_1x_1 = c_2x_2$ with integer coefficients c_i and with variables x_i lying in a given subset of a compact abelian group. A central quantity related to this problem is the following.

DEFINITION 1.3. — Let c_1, c_2 be non-zero integers and let G be a compact abelian group with Haar probability μ on the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B}_G . We say a set $A \in \mathcal{B}_G$ is (c_1, c_2) -free if there are no solutions $(x_1, x_2) \in A^2$ to the equation $c_1x_1 = c_2x_2$. We define

$$(1.2) d_{(c_1,c_2)}(G) = \sup \{ \mu(A) : A \subseteq G \text{ is } (c_1,c_2)\text{-free} \}.$$

Using [6, Theorem 2.5] (the case d=1 of Theorem 1.2), Fiz-Pontiveros showed that for the circle group $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ one has $d_{(1,\lambda)}(\mathbb{T})=1/2$ for every non-zero integer $\lambda \neq 1$ (see [5, Proposition 3.2]). The case d=2 of Theorem 1.2 enables us to extend this result as follows (in particular this answers [5, Question 2]).

Proposition 1.4. — Let c_1, c_2 be distinct non-zero integers. Then

$$d_{(c_1,c_2)}(\mathbb{T}) = 1/2$$
.

The result holds for more general groups; see Proposition 3.1. This result is in fact a simple application of a general connection that Theorem 1.2 establishes between a certain natural combinatorial problem concerning

free measure-preserving actions of \mathbb{N}_0^d and a problem concerning subsets A of \mathbb{Z}^d whose difference set A-A avoids a prescribed finite set. This connection is developed in Subsection 3.2. We then relate this further to a similar problem on the circle group. Through this connection we obtain, in particular, the following generalization of Proposition 1.4.

PROPOSITION 1.5. — Let $c_0 = 1$, let c_1, \ldots, c_d be multiplicatively independent non-zero integers,⁽³⁾ and let Γ be a bipartite graph on $\{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a Borel set $A \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ such that $\mu(A) \geq 1/2 - \epsilon$ and A is (c_i, c_j) -free for every edge ij in Γ .

The value 1/2 is clearly optimal for non-empty bipartite graphs. Proposition 1.5 is a special case of a similarly optimal result that we obtain concerning the more general class of star-extremal graphs; see Proposition 3.10.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Given a measure-preserving action f of \mathbb{N}_0^d on X, and $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we say that a set $B \in \mathcal{X}$ is n-admissible if it is a base of an n-tower for f.

Our starting point is the following result, which we shall then iterate in order to find n-admissible sets of positive measure.

PROPOSITION 2.1. — Let f and g be commuting endomorphisms on (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) satisfying

$$\mu(\{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\}) = 0.$$

Then for every set $Y \in \mathcal{X}$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a measurable set $B \subseteq f^{-1}(Y)$ satisfying $\mu(B) \geqslant \frac{1}{4}(\mu(Y) - \epsilon)$ and $f^{-1}(B) \cap g^{-1}(B) = \emptyset$.

Recall that the atomless probability space (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) is isomorphic, modulo a null set, to the interval [0, 1] with Lebesgue measure [1, Theorem 9.4.7]. In particular, for some set $X' \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\mu(X') = 1$, there is a sequence $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \ldots$ of finite measurable partitions of X' with the following properties: we have $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{X'\}$; for each r the partition \mathcal{P}_{r+1} refines \mathcal{P}_r (that is every atom of \mathcal{P}_r is a union of atoms of \mathcal{P}_{r+1}); the sequence (\mathcal{P}_r) separates the points of X', that is, for every $x \neq y$ in X' there exists r and distinct atoms A, B in \mathcal{P}_r such that $x \in A, y \in B$.

We shall use the following fact.

⁽³⁾ This means that if $c_1^{k_1} \cdots c_d^{k_d} = 1$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $k_i = 0$ for every i.

LEMMA 2.2. — Let $F = \{x \in f^{-1}(X') : f(x) = g(x)\}$, and for each positive integer r let $\Omega_r = \bigsqcup_{A \in \mathcal{P}_r} f^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(A)$. Then $F = \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_r$. In particular, we have $\mu(\Omega_r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$.

Proof. — We have $F \subseteq \Omega_r$ for every r, so $F \subseteq \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_r$. Since the sequence (\mathcal{P}_r) separates the points of X', we also have $F \supseteq \bigcap_r \Omega_r$. Finally, note that $\Omega_r \supseteq \Omega_{r+1}$ for each r, since \mathcal{P}_{r+1} refines \mathcal{P}_r . Hence $\mu(\Omega_r) \to \mu(F) = 0$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. — Let $Y' = X' \cap Y$ and for each positive integer r let \mathcal{Q}_r denote the partition of Y' induced by \mathcal{P}_r , namely the partition into sets $Q = P \cap Y'$, $P \in \mathcal{P}_r$.

It suffices to show that there is a measurable set $D \subseteq Y'$ satisfying

(2.1)
$$\mu(f^{-1}(D) \setminus g^{-1}(D)) \geqslant \frac{1}{4}(\mu(Y) - \epsilon).$$

Indeed, if this holds then the measurable set $B = f^{-1}(D) \setminus g^{-1}(D)$ has the required properties, in particular we have $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq X \setminus g^{-1}f^{-1}(D)$ whereas $g^{-1}(B) \subseteq g^{-1}f^{-1}(D)$, whence $f^{-1}(B) \cap g^{-1}(B) = \emptyset$.

To see that such a set D exists, fix an arbitrary positive integer r, and let $D_r \subseteq Y'$ be generated randomly by letting each set $A \in \mathcal{Q}_r$ be contained in D_r independently with probability 1/2 (and contained in $Y' \setminus D_r$ otherwise). We have

$$\mathbb{E}_{D_r} \mu (f^{-1}(D_r) \setminus g^{-1}(D_r))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{D_r} \mu (f^{-1}(D_r)) - \mathbb{E}_{D_r} \mu (f^{-1}(D_r) \cap g^{-1}(D_r))$$

$$= \frac{\mu(Y)}{2} - \sum_{A,B \in \mathcal{Q}_r} \mathbb{P}((A \cup B) \subseteq D_r) \mu (f^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(B)).$$

The last sum equals

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{A \neq B \in \mathcal{Q}_r} \mu(f^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(B)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{Q}_r} \mu(f^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(A))$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{A,B \in \mathcal{Q}_r} \mu(f^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(B)) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{Q}_r} \mu(f^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(A))$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \mu(f^{-1}(Y) \cap g^{-1}(Y)) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{Q}_r} \mu(f^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(A))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} (\mu(Y) + \mu(\Omega_r)).$$

Therefore $\mathbb{E}_{D_r} \mu(f^{-1}(D_r) \setminus g^{-1}(D_r)) \geqslant \frac{1}{4}(\mu(Y) - \mu(\Omega_r))$. It follows that for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a measurable set $D_r \subseteq Y'$ such that

$$\mu(f^{-1}(D_r)\setminus g^{-1}(D_r))\geqslant \frac{1}{4}(\mu(Y)-\mu(\Omega_r)).$$

By Lemma 2.2, we can satisfy (2.1) with $D=D_r$ for $r=r(\epsilon,f,g)$ sufficiently large.

Given $Y \in \mathcal{X}$, we now iterate Proposition 2.1 to find, in some preimage of Y, an n-admissible set of measure proportional to $\mu(Y)$.

For $n = (n(1), \dots, n(d)) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we denote by $\pi(n)$ the product $n(1) \cdots n(d)$.

Lemma 2.3. — Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and let $Y \in \mathcal{X}$. Then, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, there exists an n-admissible set $B \subseteq f_N^{-1}(Y)$ such that $\mu(B) \geqslant \mu(Y)/5^{\binom{\pi(n)}{2}}$.

Proof. — Let us fix any distinct $k_1, \ell_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ with $k_1, \ell_1 < n$. We apply Proposition 2.1 with $f = f_{k_1}, g = f_{\ell_1}$, to obtain $B_1 \subseteq f_{k_1}^{-1}(Y)$ with $\mu(B_1) \geqslant \mu(Y)/5$ and $f_{k_1}^{-1}(B_1) \cap f_{\ell_1}^{-1}(B_1) = \emptyset$. Now we apply the proposition again with $Y = B_1$ and f_{k_2}, f_{ℓ_2} for some other pair of distinct $k_2, \ell_2 < n$, to obtain $B_2 \subseteq f_{k_2}^{-1}(B_1) \subseteq f_{k_1+k_2}^{-1}(Y)$ such that $\mu(B_2) \geqslant \mu(B_1)/5$ and $f_{k_2}^{-1}(B_2) \cap f_{\ell_2}^{-1}(B_2) = \emptyset$. Proceeding in this way for each of the remaining pairs $k, \ell < n$, the result follows.

We shall now enhance Lemma 2.3, by showing that the measure of the n-tower $B_{(n)}$ can be guaranteed to be at least a fixed fraction (independent of n) of the measure of the original set Y.

LEMMA 2.4. — Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and let $Y \in \mathcal{X}$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and an n-admissible set $B \subseteq f_N^{-1}(Y)$ such that $\mu(B_{(n)}) > 2^{-d}\mu(Y) - \epsilon$.

Proof. — Let $\rho = \sup\{\mu(B) : B \subseteq f_N^{-1}(Y) \text{ is } n\text{-admissible, } N \in \mathbb{N}_0^d\}$ and suppose for a contradiction that $\rho < \mu(Y)/(2^d\pi(n))$. Let $\delta = \mu(Y) - 2^d\pi(n) \rho > 0$. By definition of ρ , there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and an n-admissible set $D \subseteq f_{N_0}^{-1}(Y)$ satisfying $\mu(D) > \rho - \delta 5^{-\binom{\pi(n)}{2}}$. Let $Y' = f_{N_0+n}^{-1}(Y)$ and $B' = f_n^{-1}(D) \subseteq Y'$.

By Lemma 2.3 applied to $Y' \setminus D_{(2n)}$, where $2n = (2n(1), 2n(2), \dots, 2n(d))$, there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and an n-admissible set $B'' \subseteq f_{N_1}^{-1}(Y' \setminus D_{(2n)}) \subseteq f_{N_1}^{-1}(Y' \setminus B'_{(n)})$ such that $\mu(B'') \geqslant \mu(Y' \setminus D_{(2n)}) \cdot 5^{-\binom{\pi(n)}{2}} \geqslant \delta \cdot 5^{-\binom{\pi(n)}{2}}$. Let $B = f_{N_1}^{-1}(B') \sqcup B''$, and note that $B \subseteq f_{N_1}^{-1}(Y)$ where $N = N_0 + N_1 + n$.

We claim that B is n-admissible. Indeed, for any distinct $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ with $k, \ell < n$, we have

$$\begin{split} f_k^{-1}(B) \cap f_\ell^{-1}(B) &= \left(f_{N_1+k}^{-1}(B') \sqcup f_k^{-1}(B'') \right) \ \cap \ \left(f_{N_1+\ell}^{-1}(B') \sqcup f_\ell^{-1}(B'') \right) \\ &= \left(f_{N_1+k}^{-1}(B') \cap f_{N_1+\ell}^{-1}(B') \right) \ \sqcup \ \left(f_k^{-1}(B'') \cap f_{N_1+\ell}^{-1}(B') \right) \\ & \sqcup \ \left(f_{N_1+k}^{-1}(B') \cap f_\ell^{-1}(B'') \right) \ \sqcup \ \left(f_k^{-1}(B'') \cap f_\ell^{-1}(B'') \right). \end{split}$$

Here the first and fourth intersections are empty, since $f_{N_1}^{-1}(B')$ and B'' are n-admissible. The second intersection is also empty, since $f_k^{-1}(B'')$ lies in the complement of $f_{N_1+k}^{-1}(D_{(2n)})$ while $f_{N_1+\ell}^{-1}(B')$ lies in $f_{N_1+n+\ell}^{-1}(D) \subseteq f_{N_1+k}^{-1}(D_{(2n)})$. Similarly, the third intersection is empty, so our claim holds.

Thus we have obtained a set $B \subseteq f_N^{-1}(Y)$ that is *n*-admissible and that satisfies $\mu(B) = \mu(D) + \mu(B'') > \rho$, a contradiction.

We can now prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. — For each $N \in \mathbb{N}^d$, let

$$c_N = \sup\{\mu(B_{(N)}) : B \in \mathcal{X} \text{ is } N\text{-admissible}\}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$, the sequence $(c_{2^k n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing. Indeed, given any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if A is a $2^{k+1}n$ -admissible set, then the following set is $2^k n$ -admissible:

$$B = \bigsqcup_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_d \in \{0, 1\}} f_{\left(t_1 2^k n(1), \dots, t_d 2^k n(d)\right)}^{-1}(A),$$

and so $\mu(A_{(2^{k+1}n)}) = \mu(B_{(2^kn)}) \leqslant c_{2^kn}$, whence $c_{2^{k+1}n} \leqslant c_{2^kn}$.

Now fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and let $c = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} c_{2^k n}$. By Lemma 2.4 applied with Y = X, we have $c \geqslant 2^{-d}$. We shall prove that $c \geqslant 1$.

Suppose for a contradiction that c < 1, fix $N = 2^k n$ for an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and fix an arbitrary $\delta \in (0, c)$. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large so that firstly $d \, 2^{-K} \leq \delta$ and secondly there exists a $2^K N$ -admissible set B' satisfying

$$\left| \mu(B'_{(2^K N)}) - c \right| \leqslant \delta.$$

Let $Y' = X \setminus B'_{(2^K N)}$. By Lemma 2.4 applied with Y = Y', there exists N' and an N-admissible set $B'' \subseteq f_{N'}^{-1}(Y')$ satisfying $\mu(B''_{(N)}) \geqslant 2^{-d-1}\mu(Y')$.

Let D be the following N-admissible set:

$$D = \bigsqcup_{t_1, \dots, t_d \in \{1, \dots, 2^K - 1\}} f_{(t_1 N(1), \dots, t_d N(d)) + N'}^{-1}(B').$$

Note that D lies in $f_{N'}^{-1}(B'_{(2^KN)})$ and is therefore disjoint from B". Let

$$B = B'' \sqcup D.$$

We claim that B is N-admissible. Indeed, for every distinct i, j < N, we have

$$\begin{split} f_i^{-1}(B) \cap f_j^{-1}(B) &= (f_i^{-1}(B'') \sqcup f_i^{-1}(D)) \cap (f_j^{-1}(B'') \sqcup f_j^{-1}(D)) \\ &= [f_i^{-1}(B'') \cap f_j^{-1}(B'')] \sqcup [f_i^{-1}(D) \cap f_j^{-1}(B'')] \\ & \qquad \qquad \sqcup \ [f_i^{-1}(B'') \cap f_i^{-1}(D)] \sqcup [f_i^{-1}(D) \cap f_i^{-1}(D)]. \end{split}$$

Here the first and fourth intersections are empty since B'', D are both N-admissible. The second intersection is empty, for if there existed $z \in f_i^{-1}(D) \cap f_j^{-1}(B'')$ then $f_j(z)$ would lie in $B'' \subseteq X \setminus f_{N'}^{-1}(B'_{(2^K N)})$, yet we would also have

$$f_{j}(z) \in f_{j}(f_{i}^{-1}(D)) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{t_{1}, \dots, t_{d} \in \{1, \dots, 2^{K} - 1\}\\ \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{t_{1}, \dots, t_{d} \in \{1, \dots, 2^{K} - 1\}\\ \subseteq f_{N'}^{-1}(B'_{(2^{K}N)}),}} f_{j}(f_{(t_{1}N(1), \dots, t_{d}N(d)) + N' + i - j}^{-1}(B'))$$

a contradiction. Similarly the third intersection is empty, so B is indeed N-admissible. The fact that $f_i^{-1}(D), f_j^{-1}(B'')$ are disjoint for all $0 \le i, j < N$ also implies that $B''_{(N)}$ and $D_{(N)}$ are disjoint.

We have thus obtained an N-admissible set B satisfying

$$\mu(B_{(N)}) = \mu(B_{(N)}'') + \mu(D_{(N)})$$

$$\geq 2^{-d-1}\mu(Y') + \mu(B_{(2KN)}') - \mu(B_{(N)}') d(2^K)^{(d-1)}.$$

Since $\mu(B'_{(N)}) = \mu(B'_{(2^KN)}) 2^{-Kd}$, we therefore have, using (2.2), that

$$c_N \geqslant 2^{-d-1}\mu(Y') + \mu(B'_{(2^K N)})(1 - d \, 2^{-K}) \geqslant 2^{-d-1}(1 - c - \delta) + (c - \delta)(1 - \delta).$$

Since δ was arbitrary, we deduce that $c_{2^k n} \ge c + 2^{-d-1}(1-c)$, and since k was arbitrary, we deduce that $c \ge c + 2^{-d-1}(1-c) > c$, a contradiction. \square

3. Applications

3.1. 2-variable equations on compact abelian groups

A central topic in additive combinatorics consists in determining the greatest size that a subset of an abelian group can have without containing solutions to a given integer linear equation. The simplest non-trivial case is that of a 2-variable homogeneous equation, which we write in general form as $c_1x_1 = c_2x_2$, for fixed non-zero integer coefficients c_1, c_2 . For a compact

abelian group G and an integer n, let $T_n: G \to G$, $x \mapsto nx$, and for a set $A \subseteq G$ let n A denote the set $T_n(A) = \{n \ a : a \in A\}$. We consider the problem of determining the quantity $d_{(c_1,c_2)}(G)$ from Definition 1.3, that is

$$d_{(c_1,c_2)}(G) = \sup \{ \mu(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_G, \ T_{c_1}(A) \cap T_{c_2}(A) = \emptyset \}.$$

If T_{c_1}, T_{c_2} are both surjective then they preserve μ and, since $T_{c_i}^{-1}T_{c_i}A \supseteq A$, we then have $\mu(T_{c_i}(A)) \geqslant \mu(A)$; it follows that $d_{(c_1,c_2)}(G) \leqslant 1/2$. Theorem 1.2 yields a simple proof that in fact $d_{(c_1,c_2)}(G) = 1/2$ under quite general conditions on G. More precisely, this holds provided that the triple (G, \mathcal{B}_G, μ) yields an atomless standard probability space and that the endomorphisms $f_n = T_{c_1}^{n(1)} \circ T_{c_2}^{n(2)}$ form a free action of \mathbb{N}_0^2 on this space. We record this as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.1. — Let G be a polish divisible compact abelian group. Then for every distinct non-zero integers c_1, c_2 , we have $d_{(c_1,c_2)}(G) = 1/2$.

Proof. — We can suppose that c_1, c_2 are coprime; indeed, given a common divisor ℓ , with $c_i = \ell c'_i$ for i = 1, 2, we have by the divisibility of G that $d_{(c_1,c_2)}(G) = d_{(c'_i,c'_i)}(G)$.

Let us suppose first that both $|c_1|, |c_2|$ are greater than 1. Then by unique factorization, for every distinct elements $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, we have $c_1^{m(1)} c_2^{m(2)} \neq c_1^{n(1)} c_2^{n(2)}$, and it follows that

$$\mu\big(\{x\in G: c_1^{m(1)}c_2^{m(2)}x=c_1^{n(1)}c_2^{n(2)}x\}\big)=\mu\big(T_{c_1^{m(1)}c_2^{m(2)}-c_1^{n(1)}c_2^{n(2)}}^{-1}\{0\}\big)=0.$$

We can therefore apply Theorem 1.2 to the free action f of \mathbb{N}_0^2 on G, where $f_n(x) = T_{c_1}^{n(1)} \circ T_{c_2}^{n(2)} x$. Fix $\delta > 0$, and apply the theorem with $\epsilon = \delta/2$ and N = (t, 2) with $t > 1/\delta$. Let A be the N-admissible set given by the theorem, with $\mu(A_{(N)}) \ge 1 - \delta/2$. Now let

(3.1)
$$B = \bigsqcup_{j \in [t-1]} (T_{c_1}^j \circ T_{c_2})^{-1}(A).$$

(Recall that $[t-1] = \{1, \ldots, t-1\}$.) We have $\mu(B) \ge (1-\delta/2)/2 - 1/(2t) \ge 1/2 - \delta$. We also have $T_{c_1}(B) \cap T_{c_2}(B) = \emptyset$. Hence $d_{(c_1,c_2)}(G) \ge 1/2 - \delta$. Since δ was arbitrary, the result follows.

When one of $|c_1|, |c_2|$ equals 1, the case d = 1 of Theorem 1.2 implies immediately that $d_{(c_1,c_2)}(G) = 1/2$.

Remark 3.2. — The supremum 1/2 in Proposition 3.1 need not be attainable. This was already observed in [5] in the case $c_1 = 1$, $|c_2| > 1$: for instance, for $G = \mathbb{T}$, attainment of this supremum would contradict the ergodicity of T_{c_2} .

In the proof above, Theorem 1.2 is used to reduce the problem to that of finding a set S of maximal density inside a rectangle in \mathbb{Z}^2 such that some translates of S are disjoint, namely the translates S - (1,0) and S - (0,1). In the next subsection we elaborate on this use of Theorem 1.2 to obtain a more general connection between two very natural problems.

3.2. Sets with some disjoint images, and a problem of Motzkin

The first problem in question here concerns general free measure-preserving actions of \mathbb{N}_0^d .

DEFINITION 3.3. — Let f be a free action of \mathbb{N}_0^d on (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) , let V be a finite subset of \mathbb{N}_0^d , and let Γ be a graph with vertex set V. We say that a measurable set $A \in \mathcal{X}$ is Γ -admissible for f if for every edge uv in Γ we have $f_u(A) \cap f_v(A) = \emptyset$. We define

$$d_{\Gamma}(X, f) = \sup \{ \mu(A) : A \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-admissible for } f \}.$$

The general problem consists in determining $d_{\Gamma}(X, f)$. This includes the following problem, which extends the one treated in the previous subsection.

Example 3.4 (Avoiding several 2-variable equations). — Let m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_d be multiplicatively independent non-zero integers. Given a polish divisible compact abelian group G, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ let $f_n : G \to G$, $x \mapsto m_1^{n(1)} \cdots m_d^{n(d)} x$. One checks from the definitions that these maps form a free measure-preserving action f of \mathbb{N}_0^d on (G, \mathcal{B}_G, μ) . Now let \mathcal{F} be a finite family of 2-variable equations $c_i x_1 = c_j x_2$ with non-zero integer coefficients c_i , in which every coefficient is of the (unique) form $m_1^{v(1)} \cdots m_d^{v(d)}$ for some $v \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. Let V be the subset of \mathbb{N}_0^d formed by these elements v, and let Γ be the graph on V defined by letting uv be an edge if and only if the equation $m_1^{u(1)} \cdots m_d^{u(d)} x_1 = m_1^{v(1)} \cdots m_d^{v(d)} x_2$ is in \mathcal{F} . Call a set $A \subseteq G$ an \mathcal{F} -free set if there are no solutions in A^2 to any of the equations in \mathcal{F} . The problem is to determine $d_{\mathcal{F}}(G) := \sup\{\mu(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_G \text{ is } \mathcal{F}\text{-free}\}$. Note that $d_{\mathcal{F}}(G) = d_{\Gamma}(G, f)$.

Recall that for $N \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we denote the product $N(1) \cdots N(d)$ by $\pi(N)$.

DEFINITION 3.5. — Let Γ be a graph on some finite subset V of \mathbb{N}_0^d . We say that a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ is Γ -admissible if for every edge uv of Γ the translates S - u, S - v are disjoint. We define

$$M_{\Gamma}(N) = \max \Big\{ |S|/\pi(N) : S \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{d} [0, N(i)) \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-admissible} \Big\}.$$

Theorem 1.2 enables us to relate $d_{\Gamma}(X, f)$ and $M_{\Gamma}(N)$ as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.6. — Let Γ be a graph on some finite subset V of \mathbb{N}_0^d , let $m \in \mathbb{N}^d$ be such that m > v for all $v \in V$, and let $N \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Then for every free action f of \mathbb{N}_0^d on (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) , we have

(3.2)
$$\frac{\pi(N)}{\pi(N+m)} M_{\Gamma}(N) \leqslant d_{\Gamma}(X,f) \leqslant M_{\Gamma}(N) + \sum_{i \in [d]} \frac{1}{N(i)}.$$

As a consequence we have the following result, to the effect that $d_{\Gamma}(X, f)$ does not depend on X, f.

COROLLARY 3.7. — Let Γ be a graph on some finite subset V of \mathbb{N}_0^d . Then for every free action f of \mathbb{N}_0^d on a space (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) , we have that $M_{\Gamma}(N_j)$ converges to $d_{\Gamma}(X, f)$ for every sequence (N_j) of elements of \mathbb{N}^d satisfying $\min_{i \in [d]} N_j(i) \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$.

Proof of Proposition 3.6. — We begin with the inequality on the left in (3.2). Given an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, we apply Theorem 1.2 to obtain an (N+m)-tower for f with base B and measure at least $1-\epsilon$. Let $S \subseteq \prod_{i\in [d]} \left[0,N(i)\right)$ be Γ -admissible with $|S|=M_{\Gamma}(N)\pi(N)$, and let $A=\bigcup_{n\in S+m} f_n^{-1}(B)$. Since the shifted sets $S+m-v, v\in V$, are all contained in $\prod_{i\in [d]} \left[0,N(i)+m(i)\right)$, and $(S+m-u)\cap (S+m-v)=\emptyset$ for every edge uv in Γ , the set A must be Γ -admissible for f. Hence

$$d_{\Gamma}(X,f) \geqslant \mu(A) \geqslant (1-\epsilon) \frac{|S|}{\pi(N+m)} \geqslant M_{\Gamma}(N) \frac{\pi(N)}{\pi(N+m)} - \epsilon.$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, the inequality follows.

For the inequality on the right in (3.2), fix again an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, and let $A \in \mathcal{X}$ be Γ -admissible for f with $\mu(A) \ge d_{\Gamma}(X, f) - \epsilon/2$. Theorem 1.2 gives us a base B of an N-tower for f of measure at least $1 - \epsilon/2$. We define the following measurable function on X:

$$F(x) = \sum_{0 < n < N} 1_A(f_n(x)).$$

The integral of F over $f_N^{-1}(B)$ equals roughly $\mu(A)$. Indeed, we have

$$\int_{f_N^{-1}(B)} F(x) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x)$$

$$= \sum_{0 < n < N} \int_X 1_A(f_n(x)) \, 1_B(f_{N-n} \circ f_n(x)) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x)$$

$$= \sum_{0 < n < N} \mu(A \cap f_{N-n}^{-1}(B))$$

$$\geqslant \mu(A \cap B_{(N)}) - \pi(N)^{-1} |\{0 \leqslant n < N : \exists i \in [d], \ n(i) = 0\}|$$

$$\geqslant \mu(A) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \sum_{i \in [d]} \frac{1}{N(i)}.$$

Since $\mu(f_N^{-1}(B)) \leq \pi(N)^{-1}$, we conclude that there exists $x^* \in f_N^{-1}(B)$ such that

$$\pi(N)^{-1}F(x^*) \geqslant \mu(A) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \sum_{i \in [d]} \frac{1}{N(i)}.$$

We now set

$$S := \{ n : 0 < n < N, \ f_n(x^*) \in A \}.$$

Suppose that for some edge uv in Γ we had $(S-u)\cap (S-v)\neq \emptyset$, so that $n_1-u=n_2-v$ for some $n_1,n_2\in S$. Let $x_1=f_{n_1}(x^*)\in A$ and $x_2=f_{n_2}(x^*)\in A$. Then we have $f_u(x_2)=f_{n_2+u}(x^*)=f_{n_1+v}(x^*)=f_v(x_1)$, so $f_u(A)\cap f_v(A)\neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. Therefore S is Γ -admissible. We then have

$$M_{\Gamma}(N) \geqslant |S|/\pi(N) = F(x^*)/\pi(N) \geqslant d_{\Gamma}(X, f) - \epsilon - \sum_{i \in [d]} \frac{1}{N(i)}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, the result follows.

From now on we shall write d_{Γ} for this quantity

$$d_{\Gamma}(X, f) = \lim_{j \to \infty} M_{\Gamma}(N_j).$$

Given a set V and a graph Γ on V as above, consider the (symmetric) partial difference set of V along Γ , that is the set⁽⁴⁾

$$D = \{u-v, \; v-u \, : \, u,v \in V, \; u\,v \text{ an edge of } \Gamma\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

⁽⁴⁾ This is a version for graphs of the partial difference set $V \stackrel{\Gamma}{-} V$ defined for bipartite graphs in [13, §2.5].

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ is Γ -admissible if and only if the difference set A - A is disjoint from D. Writing $\overline{\delta}(A)$ for the upper density of A, that is

$$\overline{\delta}(A) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} |A \cap [-r, r)^d| / (2r)^d,$$

a straightforward argument shows that $d_{\Gamma} = \sup\{\overline{\delta}(A) : A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d, (A-A) \cap D = \emptyset\}.$

The general problem of determining the supremum of upper densities of sets $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ with differences avoiding a given finite set goes back to Motzkin (who posed it originally for sets $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$; see [2]). This problem is vast and we shall not explore it fully here. However, we shall give an estimate for d_{Γ} for a family of graphs which, thanks to the connection with the quantities $d_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ established in Example 3.4, will yield in particular a nontrivial generalization of Proposition 3.1, namely Proposition 3.10 below.

The family just mentioned involves the graphs Γ that have as vertex set $\{0, e_1, \dots, e_d\}$, where the elements e_i form the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^d .

3.2.1. Estimation of d_{Γ} for graphs Γ on $\{0, e_1, \dots, e_d\}$.

Our aim here is to give bounds for d_{Γ} in terms of known graph parameters. To this end, we first express d_{Γ} as a natural quantity on the circle group \mathbb{T} .

DEFINITION 3.8. — Let Γ be a finite graph, with vertex set V. We say that a Borel set $A \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is a coloring base for Γ if there exists a map $\varphi : V \to \mathbb{T}$ such that for every edge uv of Γ we have $(A + \varphi(u)) \cap (A + \varphi(v)) = \emptyset$. We denote by $\sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\Gamma)$ the supremum over all probability Haar measures of coloring bases for Γ .

Lemma 3.9. — For every graph Γ on $V = \{0, e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ we have $d_{\Gamma} = \sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\Gamma)$.

Proof. — Let us view \mathbb{T} as [0,1) with addition mod 1.

To see that $d_{\Gamma} \leq \sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\Gamma)$, let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ be real numbers in [0, 1) such that $1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ are independent over \mathbb{Q} . This implies that for every distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ we have

$$m(1)\alpha_1 + \dots + m(d)\alpha_d \neq n(1)\alpha_1 + \dots + n(d)\alpha_d \mod 1.$$

Therefore the maps $f_n: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$, $x \mapsto n(1)\alpha_1 + \cdots + n(d)\alpha_d + x$ form a free \mathbb{N}_0^d -action f on $X = (\mathbb{T}, \mu)$. Corollary 3.7 implies that $d_{\Gamma} = d_{\Gamma}(X, f)$. By definition we then have $d_{\Gamma}(X, f) \leq \sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\Gamma)$. Indeed, if $A \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is Γ -admissible for f then let $\varphi: V \to \mathbb{T}$ be defined by $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(e_i) = \alpha_i$.

To see that $\sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\Gamma) \leqslant d_{\Gamma}$, let us write $v_0 = 0$, $v_i = e_i$ for $i \in [d]$, and fix any $\epsilon > 0$. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ be a Borel set with $\mu(A) \geqslant \sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\Gamma) - \epsilon/4$ and let $\varphi: V \to \mathbb{T}$ be such that $(A + \varphi(v_i)) \cap (A + \varphi(v_i)) = \emptyset$ for every edge $v_i v_i$ of Γ . We may assume that $\varphi(v_0) = 0$. By standard properties of the Lebesgue measure, for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a set $A' \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ that is a union of some intervals of the form $[(j-1)/\ell, j/\ell)$ with $j \in [\ell]$, such that $\mu(A\Delta A') \leqslant \epsilon/(8e(\Gamma))$ (where $e(\Gamma)$ is the number of edges of Γ). Letting $A_i = A + \varphi(v_i), A'_i = A' + \varphi(v_i), \text{ we have } \mu(A'_i \cap A'_i) \leq \mu(A_i \cap A_j) + \varphi(A_i \cap A_j)$ $\mu(A_i'\Delta A_i) + \mu(A_i'\Delta A_i) \leqslant \epsilon/(4e(\Gamma))$ for every edge v_iv_i . Now let α_1,\ldots,α_d be real numbers in [0,1) such that $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_d,1$ are independent over \mathbb{Q} and such that $|\alpha_i - \varphi(e_i)| \leq \epsilon/(16 \ell e(\Gamma))$ for every $i \in [d]$. To see that such α_i exist, note first that if we fix any $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d \in [0,1)$ such that $1, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d$ are independent over \mathbb{Q} , then for any positive integer n the elements $n\beta_1 \mod 1, \ldots, n\beta_d \mod 1 \in [0,1)$ and 1 are also independent. Moreover, the orbit $\{(n\beta_1,\ldots,n\beta_d):n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in \mathbb{T}^d , by Kronecker's theorem. Hence we can set $\alpha_i = n\beta_i$ for some n. Letting $\alpha_0 = 0$, we have $\mu((A'+\alpha_i)\Delta A_i) \leq 2\ell |\alpha_i-\varphi(e_i)| \leq \epsilon/(8e(\Gamma))$ for each $v_i \in V$. We deduce that $\mu((A' + \alpha_i) \cap (A' + \alpha_i)) \leq \epsilon/(2e(\Gamma))$ for every edge $v_i v_i$. Removing the unwanted intersections from A', it follows that there is a set $A'' \subseteq A'$ of measure at least $\mu(A') - \epsilon/2$ which is Γ-admissible for the free \mathbb{N}_0^d -action on \mathbb{T} generated by the translations $x \mapsto x + \alpha_i$. Hence $d_{\Gamma} \geqslant \sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\Gamma) - \epsilon$. \square

The circular chromatic number (or star-chromatic number) of a finite graph Γ , denoted $\chi_c(\Gamma)$, is the infimum over all real numbers q such that for each vertex v of Γ there exists an open interval $A_v \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ with $\mu(A_v) = 1/q$, such that $A_u \cap A_v = \emptyset$ for every edge uv in Γ (see [15]).

The fractional chromatic number of Γ , denoted $\chi_f(\Gamma)$, is the infimum over all real numbers q such that for each vertex v there exists a measurable set $A_v \subseteq [0,1)$ with $\mu(A_v) = 1/q$ such that $A_u \cap A_v = \emptyset$ for every edge uv in Γ .

It is a standard fact that $\chi_c(\Gamma), \chi_f(\Gamma)$ are both rational numbers satisfying

$$\omega(\Gamma) \leqslant \chi_f(\Gamma) \leqslant \chi_c(\Gamma) \leqslant \lceil \chi_c(\Gamma) \rceil = \chi(\Gamma),$$

where $\omega(\Gamma)$, $\chi(\Gamma)$ are the clique number and chromatic number respectively. From the definitions it follows that for every finite graph Γ we have

(3.3)
$$1/\chi_c(\Gamma) \leqslant \sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\Gamma) \leqslant 1/\chi_f(\Gamma).$$

If $\chi_f(\Gamma) = \chi_c(\Gamma)$ then Γ is said to be star-extremal (see [15, §6]). We deduce the following result, of which Proposition 1.5 is a special case. PROPOSITION 3.10. — Let $c_0 = 1$, let c_1, \ldots, c_d be multiplicatively independent non-zero integers, let Γ be a star-extremal graph on $\{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$, and let \mathcal{F} be the family of 2-variable equations $\{c_i x_1 = c_j x_2 : ij \text{ an edge of } \Gamma\}$. Then for every polish divisible compact abelian group G we have $d_{\mathcal{F}}(G) = 1/\chi_c(\Gamma) = 1/\chi_f(\Gamma)$.

Proof. — Consider the free action f of \mathbb{N}_0^d on G generated by the maps $x \mapsto c_i x$, thus for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ we have $f_n : G \to G$, $x \mapsto c_1^{n(1)} \cdots c_d^{n(d)} x$. By the argument from Example 3.4, applied here with $V = \{0, e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$, we have $d_{\mathcal{F}}(G) = d_{\Gamma}(G, f) = d_{\Gamma}$. Combining this with Lemma 3.9 and (3.3), the result follows.

We shall not pursue further in this paper the problem of determining d_{Γ} for more general graphs. Let us end with a question related to this problem.

A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ is said to be *periodic* if there exist linearly independent vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_d \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $S + v_i = S$ for all $i \in [d]$.

QUESTION 3.11. — Does there exist, for each graph Γ on a finite subset of \mathbb{N}_0^d , a periodic Γ -admissible set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ with density equal to d_{Γ} ?

Equivalently, does there exist, for each finite set $D \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$, a periodic set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $(S - S) \cap D = \emptyset$ and of density equal to $\nu(D) := \sup\{\overline{\delta}(A) : A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d, (A - A) \cap D = \emptyset\}$? (The case d = 1 is given a positive answer in [2, Theorem 5].)

Note that if D=Q-Q for some finite set $Q\subseteq\mathbb{Z}^d$ then $\nu(D)\leqslant 1/|Q|$, with equality if Q tiles \mathbb{Z}^d , that is if there exists $S\subseteq\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\mathbb{Z}^d=\bigsqcup_{r\in S}Q+r$. Thus, a question related to the one above is whether, given that a finite subset $Q\subseteq\mathbb{Z}^d$ tiles \mathbb{Z}^d , there must exist a periodic set S such that $\mathbb{Z}^d=\bigsqcup_{r\in S}Q+r$. The periodic tiling conjecture posits an affirmative answer to the latter question (see [10]).

Acknowledgements. — The first named author was supported by the ERC Starting Grant Quasiperiodic and by the Balzan Research Project of J. Palis. The second named author was supported by the École normale supérieure, Paris, and by the Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, and his work was part of project ANR-12-BS01-0011 CAESAR; he is also grateful to Gonzalo Fiz-Pontiveros and Bryna Kra for useful conversations.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- V. I. BOGACHEV, Measure theory. Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007, xiv+575 pages.
- [2] D. G. CANTOR & B. GORDON, "Sequences of integers with missing differences", J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A 14 (1973), p. 281-287.

- [3] J. P. Conze, "Entropie d'un groupe abélien de transformations", Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 25 (1972/73), p. 11-30.
- [4] I. P. CORNFELD, S. V. FOMIN & Y. G. SINAĬ, Ergodic theory, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 245, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982, x+486 pages.
- [5] G. Fiz Pontiveros, "Sums of dilates in Z_p", Combin. Probab. Comput. 22 (2013), no. 2, p. 282-293.
- [6] S.-M. HEINEMANN & O. SCHMITT, "Rokhlin's lemma for non-invertible maps", Dynam. Systems Appl. 10 (2001), no. 2, p. 201-213.
- [7] S. KALIKOW & R. MCCUTCHEON, An outline of ergodic theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 122, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, viii+174 pages.
- [8] Y. KATZNELSON & B. WEISS, "Commuting measure-preserving transformations", Israel J. Math. 12 (1972), p. 161-173.
- [9] I. KORNFELD, "Some old and new Rokhlin towers", in *Chapel Hill Ergodic Theory Workshops*, Contemp. Math., vol. 356, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, p. 145-169.
- [10] J. C. LAGARIAS & Y. WANG, "Tiling the line with translates of one tile", *Invent. Math.* 124 (1996), no. 1-3, p. 341-365.
- [11] K. Petersen, Ergodic theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989, Corrected reprint of the 1983 original, xii+329 pages.
- [12] V. A. ROKHLIN, "A "general" measure-preserving transformation is not mixing", Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, n. Ser. 60 (1948), p. 349-351 (Russian).
- [13] T. TAO & V. Vu, Additive combinatorics, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 105, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006, xviii+512 pages.
- [14] B. Weiss, "On the work of V. A. Rokhlin in ergodic theory", Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 9 (1989), no. 4, p. 619-627.
- [15] X. Zhu, "Circular chromatic number: a survey", Discrete Math. 229 (2001), no. 1-3, p. 371-410, Combinatorics, graph theory, algorithms and applications.

Manuscrit reçu le 30 juin 2015, révisé le 18 décembre 2015, accepté le 21 janvier 2016.

Artur AVILA
CNRS, IMJ-PRG, UMR 7586,
Univ Paris Diderot, Sorbonnes Universités
UPMC Univ Paris 06
F-75013, Paris, France
&
IMPA
Estrada Dona Castorina 110
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Pablo CANDELA
Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
13-15 Reáltanoda utca
1056 Budapest, Hungary