



ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Lior BARY-SOROKER, Arno FEHM & Sebastian PETERSEN

On varieties of Hilbert type

Tome 64, n° 5 (2014), p. 1893-1901.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2014__64_5_1893_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2014, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

ON VARIETIES OF HILBERT TYPE

by Lior BARY-SOROKER,
Arno FEHM & Sebastian PETERSEN

ABSTRACT. — A variety X over a field K is of Hilbert type if $X(K)$ is not thin. We prove that if $f: X \rightarrow S$ is a dominant morphism of K -varieties and both S and all fibers $f^{-1}(s)$, $s \in S(K)$, are of Hilbert type, then so is X . We apply this to answer a question of Serre on products of varieties and to generalize a result of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc on algebraic groups.

RÉSUMÉ. — Une variété X sur un corps K a la propriété de Hilbert si $X(K)$ n'est pas mince. Nous montrons que si $f: X \rightarrow S$ est un morphisme de K -variétés dominant et si S ainsi que toutes les fibres $f^{-1}(s)$ pour $s \in S(K)$ ont la propriété de Hilbert, alors X aussi. Ceci nous permet de répondre à une question de Serre concernant les produits de variétés, et de généraliser un résultat de Colliot-Thélène et Sansuc sur les groupes algébriques.

1. Introduction

In the terminology of thin sets (we recall this notion in Section 2), Hilbert's irreducibility theorem asserts that $\mathbb{A}_K^n(K)$ is not thin, for any number field K and any $n \geq 1$. As a natural generalization a K -variety X is called of Hilbert type if $X(K)$ is not thin. The importance of this definition stems from the observation of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [2] that the inverse Galois problem would be settled if every unirational variety over \mathbb{Q} was of Hilbert type.

In this direction, Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [2, Cor. 7.15] prove that any connected reductive algebraic group over a number field is of Hilbert type. This immediately raises the question whether the same holds for all linear algebraic groups (note that these are unirational). Another question, asked by Serre [19, p. 21], is whether a product of two varieties of Hilbert

Keywords: Thin set, variety of Hilbert type, Hilbertian field, algebraic group.

Math. classification: 12E25, 12E30, 20G30.

type is again of Hilbert type. The main result of this paper gives a sufficient condition for a variety to be of Hilbert type:

THEOREM 1.1. — *Let K be a field and $f: X \rightarrow S$ a dominant morphism of K -varieties. Assume that the set of $s \in S(K)$ for which the fiber $f^{-1}(s)$ is a K -variety of Hilbert type is not thin. Then X is of Hilbert type.*

As an immediate consequence we get the following result for a family of varieties over a variety of Hilbert type:

COROLLARY 1.2. — *Let K be a field and $f: X \rightarrow S$ a dominant morphism of K -varieties. Assume that S is of Hilbert type and that for every $s \in S(K)$ the fiber $f^{-1}(s)$ is of Hilbert type. Then X is of Hilbert type.*

Using this result we resolve both questions discussed above affirmatively, see Corollary 3.4 and Proposition 4.2.

2. Background

Let K be a field. A K -variety is a separated scheme of finite type over K which is geometrically reduced and geometrically irreducible. Thus, a non-empty open subscheme of a K -variety is again a K -variety. If $f: X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of K -varieties and $s \in S(K)$, then $f^{-1}(s) := X \times_S \text{Spec}(\kappa(s))$, where $\kappa(s)$ is the residue field of s , denotes the scheme theoretic fiber of f at s . This fiber is a separated scheme of finite type over K , which needs not be reduced or connected in general. We identify the set $f^{-1}(s)(K)$ of K -rational points of the fiber with the set theoretic fiber $\{x \in X(K) \mid f(x) = s\}$.

Let X be a K -variety. A subset T of $X(K)$ is called *thin* if there exists a proper Zariski-closed subset C of X , a finite set I , and for each $i \in I$ a K -variety Y_i with $\dim(Y_i) = \dim(X)$ and a dominant separable morphism $p_i: Y_i \rightarrow X$ of degree ≥ 2 (in particular, p_i is generically étale, cf. Lemma 3.3) such that

$$T \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K)) \cup C(K).$$

A K -variety X is of *Hilbert type* if $X(K)$ is not thin, cf. [19, Def. 3.1.2]. Note that X is of Hilbert type if and only if some (or every) open subscheme of X is of Hilbert type, cf. [19, p. 20]. A field K is *Hilbertian* if \mathbb{A}_K^1 is of Hilbert type. We note that if there exists a K -variety X of positive dimension such that X is of Hilbert type, then K is Hilbertian [5, Prop. 13.5.3].

All global fields and, more generally, all infinite fields that are finitely generated over their prime fields are Hilbertian [5, Thm. 13.4.2]. Many more fields are known to be Hilbertian, for example the maximal abelian Galois extension \mathbb{Q}^{ab} of \mathbb{Q} , [5, Thm. 16.11.3]. On the other hand, local fields like \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Q}_p and $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$ are not Hilbertian [5, Ex. 15.5.5].

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

A key tool in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following consequence of Stein factorization.

LEMMA 3.1. — *Let K be a field and $\psi: Y \rightarrow S$ a dominant morphism of normal K -varieties. Then there exists a nonempty open subscheme $U \subset S$, a K -variety T and a factorization*

$$\psi^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{g} T \xrightarrow{r} U$$

of ψ such that the fibers of g are geometrically irreducible and r is finite and étale.

Proof. — See [13, Lemma 9]. □

LEMMA 3.2. — *Let K be a field and $f: X \rightarrow S$ a dominant morphism of normal K -varieties. Assume that the set Σ of $s \in S(K)$ for which $f^{-1}(s)$ is a K -variety of Hilbert type is not thin. Let I be a finite set and let $p_i: Y_i \rightarrow X$, $i \in I$, be finite étale morphisms of degree ≥ 2 . Then $X(K) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K))$.*

Proof. — For $i \in I$ consider the composite morphism $\psi_i := f \circ p_i: Y_i \rightarrow S$. By Lemma 3.1 there is a nonempty open subscheme U_i of S and a factorization

$$\psi_i^{-1}(U_i) \xrightarrow{g_i} T_i \xrightarrow{r_i} U_i$$

of ψ_i such that the morphism g_i has geometrically irreducible fibers, r_i is finite and étale, and such that T_i is a K -variety. We now replace successively S by $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$, X by $f^{-1}(S)$, T_i by $r_i^{-1}(S)$ and Y_i by $p_i^{-1}(X)$, to assume in addition that $r_i: T_i \rightarrow S$ is finite étale for every $i \in I$.

For $s \in S(K)$ denote by $X_s := f^{-1}(s)$ the fiber of f over s . Then X_s is a K -variety of Hilbert type for each $s \in \Sigma$. Furthermore we define $Y_{i,s} := \psi_i^{-1}(s)$ and let $p_{i,s}: Y_{i,s} \rightarrow X_s$ be the corresponding projection morphism. Then $p_{i,s}$ is a finite étale morphism of the same degree as p_i . In

particular, the K -scheme $Y_{i,s}$ is geometrically reduced. For every $s \in S(K)$ and every $i \in I$ we have constructed a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y_{i,s} & \longrightarrow & Y_i & \xrightarrow{g_i} & T_i \\
 p_{i,s} \downarrow & & p_i \downarrow & \searrow \psi_i & \downarrow r_i \\
 X_s & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{f} & S
 \end{array}$$

in which the left hand rectangle is cartesian. Set $J := \{i \in I : \deg(r_i) \geq 2\}$. Then $\bigcup_{i \in J} r_i(T_i(K)) \subseteq S(K)$ is thin, so by assumption there exists

$$s \in \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i \in J} r_i(T_i(K)).$$

For $i \in J$ there is no K -rational point of T_i over s , hence $Y_{i,s}(K) = \emptyset$ for every $i \in J$. For $i \in I \setminus J$, the finite étale morphism r_i is of degree 1, hence an isomorphism, and therefore $Y_{i,s}$ is geometrically irreducible. Thus, $Y_{i,s}$ is a K -variety. So since X_s is of Hilbert type, there exists $x \in X_s(K)$ such that $x \notin \bigcup_{i \in I \setminus J} p_{i,s}(Y_{i,s}(K))$. Thus

$$x \notin \bigcup_{i \in J \setminus I} p_{i,s}(Y_{i,s}(K)) = \bigcup_{i \in I} p_{i,s}(Y_{i,s}(K)),$$

hence $x \notin \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K))$, as needed. □

The following fact is well-known, but for the sake of completeness we provide a proof:

LEMMA 3.3. — *Let K be a field, let X, Y be K -varieties with $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$, and let $p: Y \rightarrow X$ be a dominant separable morphism. Then there exists a nonempty open subscheme U of X such that the restriction of p to a morphism $p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is finite and étale.*

Proof. — By the theorem of generic flatness (cf. [11, 6.9.1]) there is a non-empty open subscheme V of X such that the restriction of p to a morphism $p^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is flat (and in particular open). This restriction is quasi-finite by [12, 14.2.4], because the generic fiber of f is finite due to our assumption $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$. By Zariski’s main theorem there exists a K -variety \bar{Y} , an open immersion $i: p^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ and a finite morphism $f: \bar{Y} \rightarrow V$ such that $f \circ i = p$. The ramification locus $C \subset \bar{Y}$ of f is closed (cf. [8, I.3.3]), and $C \neq \bar{Y}$ because f is separable. Define $U := V \setminus f((\bar{Y} \setminus \text{im}(i)) \cup C)$. Then U is open (cf. [6, 6.1.10]) and non-empty, and $f^{-1}(U) \subset \text{im}(i) \setminus C$. Hence the restriction of f to a morphism $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is finite and étale, and the assertion follows from that. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. — Let K be a field, and $f: X \rightarrow S$ a dominant morphism of K -varieties. Assume that the set Σ of those $s \in S(K)$ for which $f^{-1}(s)$ is of Hilbert type is not thin. Let $C \subseteq X$ be a proper Zariski-closed subset. Let I be a finite set and suppose that Y_i is a K -variety with $\dim(Y_i) = \dim(X)$ and $p_i: Y_i \rightarrow X$ is a dominant separable morphism of degree ≥ 2 , for every $i \in I$. We have to show that $X(K) \not\subseteq C(K) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K))$.

By Lemma 3.3 and [11, 6.12.6, 6.13.5] there exists a normal nonempty open subscheme $X' \subset X \setminus C$ such that the restriction of each p_i to a morphism $p_i^{-1}(X') \rightarrow X'$ is finite and étale. The image $f(X')$ contains a nonempty open subscheme S' of S (cf. [10, 1.8.4], [7, 9.2.2]). Furthermore, S' contains a nonempty normal open subscheme S'' . Let us define $X'' := f^{-1}(S'') \cap X'$ and $Y_i'' := p_i^{-1}(X'')$. Then the restriction of f to a morphism $f'': X'' \rightarrow S''$ is a surjective morphism of normal K -varieties, $\Sigma \cap S''(K)$ is not thin, and $f''^{-1}(s)$ is of Hilbert type for every $s \in \Sigma \cap S''(K)$ because it is an open subscheme of $f^{-1}(s)$. The restriction p_i'' of p_i to a morphism $Y_i'' \rightarrow X''$ is finite and étale for every $i \in I$. By Lemma 3.2 applied to f'' and the p_i'' we have

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \neq X''(K) \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i''(Y_i''(K)) \\ = X''(K) \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K)) \\ \subseteq X(K) \setminus \left(C(K) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

so $X(K) \not\subseteq C(K) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i(Y_i(K))$, as needed. □

As an immediate consequence we get an affirmative solution of Serre’s question mentioned in the introduction.

COROLLARY 3.4. — *Let K be a field. If X, Y are K -varieties of Hilbert type, then $X \times Y$ is of Hilbert type.*

Proof. — Denote by $f: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ the projection. Then $f^{-1}(x)$ is isomorphic to Y and hence of Hilbert type for every $x \in X(K)$. Thus Corollary 1.2 gives that $X \times Y$ is of Hilbert type. □

4. Algebraic groups of Hilbert type

By an *algebraic group* over a field K we shall mean a connected smooth group scheme over K . Recall that such an algebraic group is a K -variety,

see [9, Exp VI_A, 0.3, 2.1.2, 2.4]. If G is an algebraic group over K , then $G(K_s)$ is a $\text{Gal}(K)$ -group, where K_s denotes a separable closure of K and $\text{Gal}(K) = \text{Gal}(K_s/K)$ is the absolute Galois group of K , and there is an associated Galois cohomology pointed set $H^1(K, G) = H^1(\text{Gal}(K), G(K_s))$, which classifies isomorphism classes of $G(K_s)$ -torsors, cf. [15, Prop. 1.2.3].

PROPOSITION 4.1. — *Let K be a field and let*

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{p} Q \rightarrow 1$$

be a short exact sequence of algebraic groups over K . If $H^1(K, N) = 1$ and both N and Q are of Hilbert type, then G is of Hilbert type.

Proof. — It suffices to show that $p^{-1}(x)$ is of Hilbert type for every $x \in Q(K)$, because then Corollary 1.2 implies the assertion. Let $x \in Q(K)$ and $F = p^{-1}(x)$. There is an exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(K)$ -groups

$$1 \rightarrow N(K_s) \rightarrow G(K_s) \rightarrow Q(K_s) \rightarrow 1,$$

where the right hand map is surjective, because for every point $x \in Q(K_s)$ the fiber over x is a non-empty K_s -variety and thus has a K_s -rational point. Since the $\text{Gal}(K)$ -set $F(K_s)$ is a coset of $N(K_s)$, it is a $N(K_s)$ -torsor. Our hypothesis $H^1(K, N) = 1$ implies that $F(K_s)$ is isomorphic to the trivial $N(K_s)$ -torsor $N(K_s)$. It follows that F is isomorphic to N as a K -variety, hence F is of Hilbert type. \square

Using this, we generalize the result of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [2, Cor. 7.15] from reductive groups to arbitrary linear groups.

THEOREM 4.2. — *Every linear algebraic group G over a perfect Hilbertian field K is of Hilbert type.*

Proof. — We denote by G_u the unipotent radical of G (cf. [14, Prop. XVII.1.2]). We have a short exact sequence of algebraic groups over K

$$(*) \quad 1 \rightarrow G_u \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

with Q reductive, cf. [14, Prop. XVII.2.2]. By [2, Cor. 7.15], Q is of Hilbert type. Since K is perfect, G_u is split, i.e. there exists a series of normal algebraic subgroups

$$1 = U_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq U_n = G_u$$

such that $U_{i+1}/U_i \cong \mathbb{G}_a$ for each i , cf. [1, 15.5(ii)]. The groups U_i are unipotent, hence $H^1(K, U_i) = 1$ by [18, Ch. III §2.1, Prop. 6], and \mathbb{G}_a is of Hilbert type since K is Hilbertian. Thus, an inductive application of Proposition 4.1 implies that G_u is of Hilbert type. Finally we apply Proposition 4.1 to the exact sequence $(*)$ to conclude that G is of Hilbert type. \square

Remark 4.3. — In the special case where K is a number field, Sansuc proved a much more precise result: It follows from [17, Cor. 3.5(ii)] that a linear algebraic group G over a number field satisfies the so-called *weak weak approximation* property [19, Def. 3.5.6], which, by a theorem of Colliot-Thélène and Ekedahl, in particular implies that G is of Hilbert type, cf. [19, Thm. 3.5.7].

Remark 4.4. — The special case of Theorem 4.2 where G is simply connected and K is finitely generated is also a consequence of a result of Corvaja, see [4, Cor. 1.7].

Remark 4.5. — We point out that Theorem 4.2 could be deduced also from Corollary 3.4 (instead of Corollary 1.2) via [16, Cor. 1] and the fact that a unipotent group over a perfect field is rational, cf. [9, XIV, 6.3].

As a consequence of Theorem 4.2, we get a more general statement for homogeneous spaces, which was pointed out to us by Borovoi:

COROLLARY 4.6. — *If G is a linear algebraic group over a perfect Hilbertian field K , and H is a connected algebraic subgroup of G , then the quotient G/H is of Hilbert type.*

Proof. — For the existence of the quotient $Q := G/H$ see for example [1, Ch. II Thm. 6.8]. If \mathcal{H} denotes the generic fiber of $G \rightarrow Q$ and \overline{F} is an algebraic closure of the function field $K(Q)$ of Q , then $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{F}} \cong H_{\overline{F}}$ by translation on G . Thus, \mathcal{H} is geometrically irreducible since H is, so [2, Prop. 7.13] implies that Q is of Hilbert type. \square

We also get a complete classification of the algebraic groups that are of Hilbert type over a number field:

COROLLARY 4.7. — *An algebraic group G over a number field K is of Hilbert type if and only if it is linear.*

Proof. — If G is linear, then it is of Hilbert type by Theorem 4.2. Conversely, assume that G is of Hilbert type. Chevalley's theorem [3, Thm. 1.1] gives a short exact sequence of algebraic groups over K ,

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 1$$

with H linear and A an abelian variety. As in the proof of Corollary 4.6 we conclude that the generic fiber of $G \rightarrow A$ is geometrically irreducible, and therefore A is of Hilbert type. Since no nontrivial abelian variety over a number field is of Hilbert type, cf. [5, Remark 13.5.4], A is trivial and $G \cong H$ is linear. \square

Acknowledgements. — The authors would like to thank Mikhail Borovoi for pointing out to them Corollary 4.6, Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Moshe Jarden for helpful comments on a previous version, Daniel Krashen for some references concerning algebraic groups, and the anonymous referee for many helpful suggestions.

This research was supported by the Lion Foundation Konstanz – Tel Aviv, the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, the Hermann Minkowski Minerva Center for Geometry at Tel Aviv University, the Ministerium für Wissenschaft, Forschung und Kunst Baden-Württemberg, and by a grant from the GIF, the German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. BOREL, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, xii+288 pages.
- [2] J.-L. COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE & J.-J. SANSUC, “Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: applications”, *J. Algebra* **106** (1987), no. 1, p. 148-205.
- [3] B. CONRAD, “A modern proof of Chevalley’s theorem on algebraic groups”, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **17** (2002), no. 1, p. 1-18.
- [4] P. CORVAJA, “Rational fixed points for linear group actions”, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **6** (2007), no. 4, p. 561-597.
- [5] M. D. FRIED & M. JARDEN, *Field arithmetic*, third ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 11, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, Revised by Jarden, xxiv+792 pages.
- [6] A. GROTHENDIECK, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique: II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1961), no. 8, p. 5-222.
- [7] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique: III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, Première partie”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1961), no. 11, p. 5-167.
- [8] ———, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental. Fasc. I: Exposés 1 à 5*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique, vol. 1960/61, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963, iv+143 pages.
- [9] ———, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental. Fasc. II: Exposés 6, 8 à 11*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique, vol. 1960/61, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963, i+163 pages.
- [10] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Première partie”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1964), no. 20, p. 5-259.
- [11] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Deuxième partie”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1965), no. 24, p. 5-231.

- [12] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné): IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisième partie”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1966), no. 28, p. 5-255.
- [13] J. KOLLÁR, “Rationally connected varieties and fundamental groups”, in *Higher dimensional varieties and rational points (Budapest, 2001)*, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., vol. 12, Springer, Berlin, 2003, p. 69-92.
- [14] J. MILNE, “Basic Theory of Affine Group Schemes”, Available at www.jmilne.org, 2012.
- [15] J. NEUKIRCH, A. SCHMIDT & K. WINGBERG, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, xvi+825 pages.
- [16] M. ROSENBLIETH, “Questions of rationality for solvable algebraic groups over non-perfect fields”, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **61** (1963), p. 97-120.
- [17] J.-J. SANSUC, “Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **327** (1981), p. 12-80.
- [18] J.-P. SERRE, *Galois cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author, x+210 pages.
- [19] ———, *Topics in Galois theory*, second ed., Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 2008, notes written by Henri Darmon, xvi+120 pages.

Manuscrit reçu le 5 mars 2013,
révisé le 16 septembre 2013,
accepté le 27 novembre 2013.

Lior BARY-SOROKER
Schreiber 208
School of Mathematical Sciences
Tel Aviv University
Ramat Aviv
Tel Aviv 6997801 (Israel)
barylior@post.tau.ac.il

Arno FEHM
Universität Konstanz
Fachbereich Mathematik und Statistik
Fach 203
78457 Konstanz (Germany)
arno.fehm@uni-konstanz.de

Sebastian PETERSEN
Fachbereich Mathematik
Universität Kassel
Heinrich-Plettstr. 40
D-34132 Kassel (Germany)
basti.petersen@googlemail.com