



# ANNALES

DE

# L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Martin R. BRIDSON & Karen VOGTMANN

**The Dehn functions of  $Out(F_n)$  and  $Aut(F_n)$**

Tome 62, n° 5 (2012), p. 1811-1817.

[http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\\_2012\\_\\_62\\_5\\_1811\\_0](http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2012__62_5_1811_0)

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2012, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du  
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques  
<http://www.cedram.org/>

## THE DEHN FUNCTIONS OF $Out(F_n)$ AND $Aut(F_n)$

by Martin R. BRIDSON & Karen VOGTMANN (\*)

---

ABSTRACT. — For  $n$  at least 3, the Dehn functions of  $Out(F_n)$  and  $Aut(F_n)$  are exponential. Hatcher and Vogtmann proved that they are at most exponential, and the complementary lower bound in the case  $n = 3$  was established by Bridson and Vogtmann. Handel and Mosher completed the proof by reducing the lower bound for  $n$  bigger than 3 to the case  $n = 3$ . In this note we give a shorter, more direct proof of this last reduction.

RÉSUMÉ. — Pour  $n$  au moins 3, les fonctions de Dehn de  $Out(F_n)$  et  $Aut(F_n)$  sont exponentielles. Hatcher et Vogtmann ont montré qu'elles étaient au plus exponentielles, et la borne inférieure a été établie par Bridson et Vogtmann dans le cas  $n = 3$ . Handel et Mosher ont complété la démonstration en ramenant la preuve de la borne inférieure pour  $n$  au moins 4 au cas  $n = 3$ . Dans cet article, nous donnons un argument plus direct permettant de passer du cas  $n = 3$  au cas général.

Dehn functions provide upper bounds on the complexity of the word problem in finitely presented groups. They are examples of filling functions: if a group  $G$  acts properly and cocompactly on a simplicial complex  $X$ , then the Dehn function of  $G$  is asymptotically equivalent to the function that provides the optimal upper bound on the area of least-area discs in  $X$ , where the bound is expressed as a function of the length of the boundary of the disc. This article is concerned with the Dehn functions of automorphism groups of finitely-generated free groups.

Much of the contemporary study of  $Out(F_n)$  and  $Aut(F_n)$  is based on the deep analogy between these groups, mapping class groups, and lattices in semisimple Lie groups, particularly  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . The Dehn functions of mapping class groups are quadratic [9], as is the Dehn function of  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  if  $n \geq 5$  (see [10]). In contrast, Epstein *et al.* [6] proved that the Dehn function of  $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$  is exponential. Building on their result, we proved

---

*Keywords:* Automorphism groups of free groups, Dehn functions.

*Math. classification:* 20F65, 20F28, 53C24, 57S25.

(\*) Bridson is supported by an EPSRC Senior Fellowship. Vogtmann is supported by NSF grant DMS-0204185.

in [3] that  $\text{Aut}(F_3)$  and  $\text{Out}(F_3)$  also have exponential Dehn functions. Hatcher and Vogtmann [8] established an exponential upper bound on the Dehn function of  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$  and  $\text{Out}(F_n)$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . The comparison with  $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  might lead one to suspect that this last result is not optimal for large  $n$ , but recent work of Handel and Mosher [7] shows that in fact it is: they establish an exponential lower bound by using their general results on quasi-retractions to reduce to the case  $n = 3$ .

**THEOREM.** — *For  $n \geq 3$ , the Dehn functions of  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$  and  $\text{Out}(F_n)$  are exponential.*

This theorem answers Questions 35 and 37 of [4].

We learned the contents of [7] from Lee Mosher at Luminy in June 2010 and realized that one can also reduce the Theorem to the case  $n = 3$  using a simple observation about natural maps between different-rank Outer spaces and Outer spaces (Lemma 3). The purpose of this note is record this observation and the resulting proof of the Theorem.

## 1. Definitions

Let  $A$  be a 1-connected simplicial complex. We consider simplicial loops  $\ell: S \rightarrow A^{(1)}$ , where  $S$  is a simplicial subdivision of the circle. A *simplicial filling* of  $\ell$  is a simplicial map  $L: D \rightarrow A^{(2)}$ , where  $D$  is a triangulation of the 2-disc and  $L|_{\partial D} = \ell$ . Such fillings always exist, by simplicial approximation. The filling area of  $\ell$ , denoted  $\text{Area}_A(\ell)$ , is the least number of triangles in the domain of any simplicial filling of  $\ell$ . The *Dehn function*<sup>(1)</sup> of  $A$  is the least function  $\delta_A: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{Area}_A(\ell) \leq \delta_A(n)$  for all loops of length  $\leq n$  in  $A^{(1)}$ . The Dehn function of a finitely presented group  $G$  is the Dehn function of any 1-connected 2-complex on which  $G$  acts simplicially with finite stabilizers and compact quotient. This is well-defined up to the following equivalence relation: functions  $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  are equivalent if  $f \preceq g$  and  $g \preceq f$ , where  $f \preceq g$  means that there is a constant  $a > 1$  such that  $f(n) \leq ag(an + a) + an + a$ . The Dehn function can be interpreted as a measure of the complexity of the word problem for  $G$  — see [2].

**LEMMA 1.** — *If  $A$  and  $B$  are 1-connected simplicial complexes,  $F: A \rightarrow B$  is a simplicial map, and  $\ell$  is a loop in the 1-skeleton of  $A$ , then  $\text{Area}_A(\ell) \geq \text{Area}_B(F \circ \ell)$ .*

---

<sup>(1)</sup>The standard definition of area and Dehn function are phrased in terms of singular discs, but this version is  $\simeq$  equivalent.

*Proof.* — If  $L: D \rightarrow A$  is a simplicial filling of  $\ell$ , then  $F \circ L$  is a simplicial filling of  $F \circ \ell$ , with the same number of triangles in the domain  $D$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY.** — *Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be 1-connected simplicial complexes with simplicial maps  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ . Let  $\ell_n$  be a sequence of simplicial loops in  $A$  whose length is bounded above by a linear function of  $n$ , let  $\bar{\ell}_n$  be the image loops in  $C$  and let  $\alpha(n) = \text{Area}_C(\bar{\ell}_n)$ . Then the Dehn function of  $B$  satisfies  $\delta_B(n) \succeq \alpha(n)$ .*

*Proof.* — This follows from Lemma 1 together with the observation that a simplicial map does not increase the length of any loop in the 1-skeleton.  $\square$

## 2. Simplicial complexes associated to $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$

Let  $K_n$  denote the spine of Outer space, as defined in [5], and  $L_n$  the spine of Auter space, as defined in [8]. These are contractible simplicial complexes with cocompact proper actions by  $\text{Out}(F_n)$  and  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$  respectively, so we may use them to compute the Dehn functions for these groups.

Recall from [5] that a *marked graph* is a finite metric graph  $\Gamma$  together with a homotopy equivalence  $g: R_n \rightarrow \Gamma$ , where  $R_n$  is a fixed graph with one vertex and  $n$  loops. A vertex of  $K_n$  can be represented either as a marked graph  $(g, \Gamma)$  with all vertices of valence at least three, or as a free minimal action of  $F_n$  on a simplicial tree (namely the universal cover of  $\Gamma$ ). A vertex of  $L_n$  has the same descriptions except that there is a chosen basepoint in the marked graph (respected by the marking) or in the simplicial tree. Note that we allow marked graphs to have separating edges. Both  $K_n$  and  $L_n$  are flag complexes, so to define them it suffices to describe what it means for vertices to be adjacent. In the marked-graph description, vertices of  $K_n$  (or  $L_n$ ) are adjacent if one can be obtained from the other by a forest collapse (*i.e.* collapsing each component of a forest to a point).

## 3. Three natural maps

There is a *forgetful map*  $\phi_n: L_n \rightarrow K_n$  which simply forgets the basepoint; this map is simplicial.

Let  $m < n$ . We fix an ordered basis for  $F_n$ , identify  $F_m$  with the subgroup generated by the first  $m$  elements of the basis, and identify  $\text{Aut}(F_m)$  with

the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$  that leaves  $F_m < F_n$  invariant and fixes the last  $n - m$  basis elements. We consider two maps associated to this choice of basis.

First, there is an equivariant *augmentation map*  $\iota: L_m \rightarrow L_n$  which attaches a bouquet of  $n - m$  circles to the basepoint of each marked graph and marks them with the last  $n - m$  basis elements of  $F_n$ . This map is simplicial, since a forest collapse has no effect on the bouquet of circles at the basepoint.

Secondly, there is a *restriction map*  $\rho: K_n \rightarrow K_m$  which is easiest to describe using trees. A point in  $K_n$  is given by a minimal free simplicial action of  $F_n$  on a tree  $T$  with no vertices of valence 2. We define  $\rho(T)$  to be the minimal invariant subtree for  $F_m < F_n$ ; more explicitly,  $\rho(T)$  is the union of the axes in  $T$  of all elements of  $F_m$ . (Vertices of  $T$  that have valence 2 in  $\rho(T)$  are no longer considered to be vertices.)

One can also describe  $\rho$  in terms of marked graphs. The chosen embedding  $F_m < F_n$  corresponds to choosing an  $m$ -petal subrose  $R_m \subset R_n$ . A vertex in  $K_n$  is given by a graph  $\Gamma$  marked with a homotopy equivalence  $g: R_n \rightarrow \Gamma$ , and the restriction of  $g$  to  $R_m$  lifts to a homotopy equivalence  $\hat{g}: R_m \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ , where  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is the covering space corresponding to  $g_*(F_m)$ . There is a canonical retraction  $r$  of  $\hat{\Gamma}$  onto its *compact core*, i.e. the smallest connected subgraph containing all nontrivial embedded loops in  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\hat{\Gamma}_0$  be the graph obtained by erasing all vertices of valence 2 from the compact core and define  $\rho(g, \Gamma) = (r \circ \hat{g}, \hat{\Gamma}_0)$ .

LEMMA 2. — *For  $m < n$ , the restriction map  $\rho: K_n \rightarrow K_m$  is simplicial.*

*Proof.* — Any forest collapse in  $\Gamma$  is covered by a forest collapse in  $\hat{\Gamma}$  that preserves the compact core, so  $\rho$  preserves adjacency. □

LEMMA 3. — *For  $m < n$ , the following diagram of simplicial maps commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_m & \xrightarrow{\iota} & L_n \\
 \phi_m \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_n \\
 K_m & \xleftarrow{\rho} & K_n
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* — Given a marked graph with basepoint  $(g, \Gamma; v) \in L_n$ , the marked graph  $\iota(g, \Gamma; v)$  is obtained by attaching  $n - m$  loops at  $v$  labelled by the elements  $a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n$  of our fixed basis for  $F_n$ . Then  $(g_n, \Gamma_n) := \phi_n \circ \iota(g, \Gamma; v)$  is obtained by forgetting the basepoint, and the cover of  $(g_n, \Gamma_n)$  corresponding to  $F_m < F_n$  is obtained from a copy of  $(g, \Gamma)$  (with its labels) by attaching  $2(n - m)$  trees. (These trees are obtained from the Cayley graph of  $F_n$  as follows: one cuts at an edge labelled  $a_i^\varepsilon$ , with

$i \in \{m + 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , takes one component of the result, and then attaches the hanging edge to the basepoint  $v$  of  $\Gamma$ .) The effect of  $\rho$  is to delete these trees. □

### 4. Proof of the Theorem

In the light of the Corollary and Lemma 3, it suffices to exhibit a sequence of loops  $\ell_i$  in the 1-skeleton of  $L_3$  whose lengths are bounded by a linear function of  $i$  and whose filling area when projected to  $K_3$  grows exponentially as a function of  $i$ . Such a sequence of loops is essentially described in [3]. What we actually described there were words in the generators of  $Aut(F_3)$  rather than loops in  $L_3$ , but standard quasi-isometric arguments show that this is equivalent. More explicitly, the words we considered were  $w_i = T^i AT^{-i} BT^i A^{-1} T^{-i} B^{-1}$  where

$$T: \begin{cases} a_1 \mapsto a_1^2 a_2 \\ a_2 \mapsto a_1 a_2 \\ a_3 \mapsto a_3 \end{cases}, \quad A: \begin{cases} a_1 \mapsto a_1 \\ a_2 \mapsto a_2 \\ a_3 \mapsto a_1 a_3 \end{cases}, \quad B: \begin{cases} a_1 \mapsto a_1 \\ a_2 \mapsto a_2 \\ a_3 \mapsto a_3 a_2 \end{cases}.$$

To interpret these as loops in the 1-skeleton of  $L_3$  (and  $K_3$ ) we note that  $A = \lambda_{31}$  and  $B = \rho_{32}$  are elementary transvections and  $T$  is the composition of two elementary transvections:  $T = \lambda_{21} \circ \rho_{12}$ . Thus  $w_i$  is the product of  $8i + 4$  elementary transvections. There is a (connected) subcomplex of the 1-skeleton of  $L_3$  spanned by roses (graphs with a single vertex) and Nielsen graphs (which have  $(n - 2)$  loops at the base vertex and a further trivalent vertex). We say roses are adjacent if they have distance 2 in this graph.

Let  $I \in L_3$  be the rose marked by the identity map  $R_3 \rightarrow R_3$ . Each elementary transvection  $\tau$  moves  $I$  to an adjacent rose  $\tau I$ , which is connected to  $I$  by a Nielsen graph  $N_\tau$ . A composition  $\tau_1 \dots \tau_k$  of elementary transvections gives a path through adjacent roses  $I, \tau_1 I, \tau_1 \tau_2 I, \dots, \tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k I$ ; the Nielsen graph connecting  $\sigma I$  to  $\sigma \tau I$  is  $\sigma N_\tau$ . Thus the word  $w_i$  corresponds to a loop  $\ell_i$  of length  $16i + 8$  in the 1-skeleton of  $L_3$ . Theorem A of [3] provides an exponential lower bound on the filling area of  $\phi \circ \ell_i$  in  $K_3$ . □

The square of maps in Lemma 3 ought to have many uses beyond the one in this note (*cf.* [7]). We mention just one, for illustrative purposes. This is a special case of the fact that every infinite cyclic subgroup of  $Out(F_n)$  is quasi-isometrically embedded [1].

**PROPOSITION.** — *The cyclic subgroup of  $Out(F_n)$  generated by any Nielsen transformation (elementary transvection) is quasi-isometrically embedded.*

*Proof.* — Each Nielsen transformation is in the image of the map

$$\Phi: \text{Aut}(F_2) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n) \rightarrow \text{Out}(F_n)$$

given by the inclusion of a free factor  $F_2 < F_n$ . Thus it suffices to prove that if a cyclic subgroup  $C = \langle c \rangle < \text{Aut}(F_2)$  has infinite image in  $\text{Out}(F_2)$ , then  $t \mapsto \Phi(c^t)$  is a quasi-geodesic. This is equivalent to the assertion that some (hence any)  $C$ -orbit in  $K_n$  is quasi-isometrically embedded, where  $C$  acts on  $K_n$  as  $\Phi(C)$  and  $K_n$  is given the piecewise Euclidean metric where all edges have length 1.

$K_2$  is a tree and  $C$  acts on  $K_2$  as a hyperbolic isometry, so the  $C$ -orbits in  $K_2$  are quasi-isometrically embedded. For each  $x \in L_2$ , the  $C$ -orbit of  $\phi_2(x)$  is the image of the quasi-geodesic  $t \mapsto c^t \cdot \phi_2(x) = \phi_2(c^t \cdot x)$ . We factor  $\phi_2$  as a composition of  $C$ -equivariant simplicial maps  $L_2 \xrightarrow{\iota} K_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} K_2$ , as in Lemma 3, to deduce that the  $C$ -orbit of  $\phi_n \iota(x)$  in  $K_n$  is quasi-isometrically embedded.  $\square$

A slight variation on the above argument shows that if one lifts a free group of finite index  $\Lambda < \text{Out}(F_2)$  to  $\text{Aut}(F_2)$  and then maps it to  $\text{Out}(F_n)$  by choosing a free factor  $F_2 < F_n$ , then the inclusion  $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \text{Out}(F_n)$  will be a quasi-isometric embedding.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] E. ALIBEGOVIC, “Translation lengths in  $\text{Out}(F_n)$ ”, *Geom. Dedicata* **92** (2002), p. 87-93.
- [2] M. R. BRIDSON, “The geometry of the word problem”, in *Invitations to geometry and topology*, Oxf. Grad. Texts Math., vol. 7, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2002, p. 29-91.
- [3] M. R. BRIDSON & K. VOGTMANN, “On the geometry of the automorphism group of a free group”, *Bull. Math. Londres. Soc.* **27** (1995), p. 544-552.
- [4] ———, “Automorphism groups of free groups, surface groups and free abelian groups”, in *Problems on mapping class groups and related topics*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 74, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, p. 301-316.
- [5] M. CULLER & K. VOGTMANN, “Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups”, *Invent. Math.* **84** (1986), no. 1, p. 91-119.
- [6] D. B. A. EPSTEIN, J. W. CANNON, D. F. HOLT, S. V. F. LEVY, M. S. PATERSON & W. P. THURSTON, *Word processing in groups*, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992, xii+330 pages.
- [7] M. HANDEL & L. MOSHER, “Lipschitz retraction and distortion for subgroups of  $\text{Out}(F_n)$ ”, arXiv:1009.5018, 2010.
- [8] A. HATCHER & K. VOGTMANN, “Isoperimetric inequalities for automorphism groups of free groups”, *Pacific J. Math.* **173** (1996), no. 2, p. 425-441.

- [9] L. MOSHER, “Mapping class groups are automatic”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **142** (1995), no. 2, p. 303-384.
- [10] R. YOUNG, “The Dehn function of  $SL(n; \mathbb{Z})$ ”, arXiv:0912.2697v1, 2009.

Manuscrit reçu le 7 décembre 2010,  
accepté le 8 février 2011.

Martin R. BRIDSON  
Mathematical Institute  
24-29 St Giles'  
Oxford OX1 3LB (U.K.)  
bridson@maths.ox.ac.uk

Karen VOGTMANN  
Cornell University  
Department of Mathematics  
Ithaca NY 14853 (USA)  
vogtmann@math.cornell.edu