



# ANNALES

DE

# L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Jürgen HAUSEN

**A general Hilbert-Mumford criterion**

Tome 53, n° 3 (2003), p. 701-712.

[http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\\_2003\\_\\_53\\_3\\_701\\_0](http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2003__53_3_701_0)

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2003, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du  
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques  
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

## A GENERAL HILBERT-MUMFORD CRITERION

by Jürgen HAUSEN

---

### 1. Statement of the results.

Let a reductive group  $G$  act on a normal complex algebraic variety  $X$ . It is a central problem in Geometric Invariant Theory to construct all  $G$ -invariant open subsets  $V \subset X$  admitting a *good quotient*, i.e. an affine  $G$ -invariant morphism  $V \rightarrow V//G$  onto a complex algebraic space such that locally  $V//G$  is the spectrum of the invariant functions. Let us call these  $V \subset X$  for the moment the *good  $G$ -sets*.

In principle, it suffices to know all good  $T$ -sets  $U \subset X$  for some fixed maximal torus  $T \subset G$ , because the good  $G$ -sets are precisely the  $G$ -invariant good  $T$ -sets, see [3]. The construction of “maximal” good  $T$ -sets is less hard, and in order to gain good  $G$ -sets one studies the following question: *Let  $U \subset X$  be a good  $T$ -set. When is the intersection  $W(U)$  of all translates  $g \cdot U$ ,  $g \in G$ , a good  $G$ -set?*

The classical Hilbert-Mumford Criterion answers this question in the affirmative for sets of  $T$ -semistable points of  $G$ -linearized ample line bundles. Moreover, A. Białyński-Birula and J. Świącicka settled in [2] the case of good  $T$ -sets defined by generalized moment functions, and in [3]

the case  $U = X$ , as mentioned before. For  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$ , several results can be found in [4], [5], and [12].

As indicated, one imposes maximality conditions on the good  $T$ -set  $U$ , e.g. projectivity or completeness of  $U//T$ . The most general concept is  $T$ -maximality:  $U$  is not  $T$ -saturated in some properly larger good  $T$ -set  $U'$ , where  $T$ -saturated means saturated with respect to the quotient map. For complete  $X$  and  $T$ -maximal  $U \subset X$  which are invariant under the normalizer  $N(T)$ , A. Białynicki-Birula conjectures that  $W(U)$  is a good  $G$ -set [1, Conj. 12.1].

We shall settle the case of  $(T, 2)$ -maximal subsets. These are good  $T$ -sets  $U \subset X$  such that  $U//T$  is embeddable into a toric variety, and  $U$  is not a  $T$ -saturated subset of some properly larger  $U'$  having the same properties, compare [14]. We shall assume that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, i.e. for every Weil divisor on  $X$  some multiple is Cartier. In Section 4, we prove:

**THEOREM 1.1.** — *Let a connected reductive group  $G$  act on a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial complex variety  $X$ . Let  $T \subset G$  be a maximal torus and  $U \subset X$  a  $(T, 2)$ -maximal open subset. Then the intersection  $W(U)$  of all translates  $g \cdot U$ ,  $g \in G$ , is open in  $X$ , there is a good quotient  $W(U) \rightarrow W(U)//G$ , and  $W(U)$  is  $T$ -saturated in  $U$ .*

This generalizes results by A. Białynicki-Birula and J. Świąćicka for  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ , see [6, Thm. C], and by J. Świąćicka for smooth complete varieties  $X$  with  $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ , see [14, Cor. 6.3]. As an application of Theorem 1.1, we obtain:

**COROLLARY 1.2.** — *Let a connected reductive group  $G$  act on a complete  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial toric variety  $X$ , and let  $T \subset G$  be a maximal torus. Then we have*

- (i) *For every  $T$ -maximal open subset  $U \subset X$  the set  $W(U)$  is open and admits a good quotient  $W(U) \rightarrow W(U)//G$ .*
- (ii) *Every  $G$ -invariant open subset  $V \subset X$  admitting a good quotient  $V \rightarrow V//G$  is a  $G$ -saturated subset of some set  $W(U)$  as in (i).*

Together with well-known fan-theoretical descriptions of the  $T$ -maximal open subsets, see e.g. [13], this corollary explicitly solves the quotient problem for actions of connected reductive groups  $G$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial toric varieties. In [1, Problem 12.9] our corollary was conjectured (in fact for arbitrary toric varieties).

## 2. Background on good quotients.

We recall basic definitions and facts on good quotients, see also [1, Chap. 7], [3, Sec. 1] and [6, Sec. 2]. Let a reductive group  $G$  act morphically on a complex algebraic variety  $X$ . The concept of a good quotient is locally, with respect to the étale topology, modelled on the classical invariant theory quotient:

DEFINITION 2.1. — A  $G$ -invariant morphism  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  onto a separated complex algebraic space  $Y$  is called a good quotient for the  $G$ -action on  $X$  if  $Y$  is covered by étale neighbourhoods  $V \rightarrow Y$  such that

- (i)  $V$  and its inverse image  $U := p^{-1}(V) = X \times_Y V$  are affine varieties,
- (ii)  $p^*: \mathcal{O}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$  defines an isomorphism onto the algebra of  $G$ -invariants.

A good quotient  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  for the  $G$ -action on  $X$  is called geometric, if its fibres are precisely the  $G$ -orbits.

A good quotient  $X \rightarrow Y$  for the  $G$ -action on  $X$  is categorical, i.e. any  $G$ -invariant morphism  $X \rightarrow Z$  of algebraic spaces factors uniquely through  $X \rightarrow Y$ . In particular, good quotient spaces are unique up to isomorphism. This justifies the notation  $X \rightarrow X//G$  for good and  $X \rightarrow X/G$  for geometric quotients.

In the sequel we say that an open subset  $U \subset X$  of a  $G$ -variety  $X$  with good quotient is  $G$ -saturated, if  $U$  is saturated with respect to the quotient map  $X \rightarrow X//G$ . The following well-known properties of good quotients are direct consequences of the corresponding statements in the affine case:

Remark 2.2. — Assume that the  $G$ -action on  $X$  has a good quotient  $p: X \rightarrow X//G$ .

(i) If  $A \subset X$  is  $G$ -invariant and closed, then  $p(A)$  is closed in  $X//G$ , and the restriction  $p: A \rightarrow p(A)$  is a good quotient for the action of  $G$  on  $A$ .

(ii) If  $A$  and  $A'$  are disjoint  $G$ -invariant closed subsets of  $X$ , then  $p(A)$  and  $p(A')$  are disjoint.

(iii) If  $U \subset X$  is  $G$ -saturated and open, then  $p(U)$  is open in  $X//G$ , and the restriction  $p: U \rightarrow p(U)$  is a good quotient for the action of  $G$  on  $U$ .

(iv) If  $A \subset X$  and  $U \subset X$  are as in (i) and (iii), then  $A \cap U$  is  $G$ -saturated in  $A$ .

Let  $X$  be normal (in particular irreducible) with a good quotient  $X \rightarrow X//G$ . Then any reductive subgroup  $H \subset G$  admits a good quotient  $X \rightarrow X//H$ , see [7, Cor. 10]. If  $H$  is normal in  $G$ , then universality of good quotients [1, Thm. 7.1.4] allows to push down the  $G$ -action to  $X//H$ . Moreover, we have

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** — *Let  $H \subset G$  be a reductive normal subgroup such that  $X//H$  is an algebraic variety. Then the canonical map  $X//H \rightarrow X//G$  is a good quotient for the induced action of  $G/H$  on  $X//H$ .*

We turn to the special case of an action of an algebraic torus  $T$  on a normal variety  $X$ . Good quotients for such torus actions are always affine morphisms of normal algebraic varieties, see [3, Cor. 1.3]. We work with the following maximality concepts for good quotients, compare [14, Def. 4.3]:

**DEFINITION 2.4.** — *A  $T$ -invariant open subset  $U \subset X$  with a good quotient  $U \rightarrow U//T$  is called a  $(T, k)$ -maximal subset of  $X$  if*

(i) *the quotient space  $U//T$  is an  $A_k$ -variety, i.e. any collection  $y_1, \dots, y_k \in U//T$  admits a common affine neighbourhood in  $U//T$ ,*

(ii)  *$U$  does not occur as proper  $T$ -saturated subset of some  $T$ -invariant open  $U' \subset X$  admitting a good quotient  $U' \rightarrow U'//T$  with an  $A_k$ -variety  $U'//T$ .*

As usual,  $T$ -maximal stands for  $(T, 1)$ -maximal. The collection of all  $(T, k)$ -maximal subsets is always finite, see [14, Thm. 4.4]. The case  $k = 2$  can also be characterized via embeddability of the quotient spaces: By [15, Thm. A], a normal variety has the  $A_2$ -property if and only if it embeds into a toric variety.

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** — *Let  $X$  be a toric variety, and let the algebraic torus  $T$  act on  $X$  via a homomorphism  $T \rightarrow T_X$  to the big torus  $T_X \subset X$ . Then the  $T$ -maximal subsets of  $X$  are precisely the  $(T, 2)$ -maximal subsets of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* — First observe that every  $(T, 2)$ -maximal subset is  $T$ -saturated in some  $T$ -maximal subset. Hence we only have to show that for any  $T$ -maximal  $U \subset X$  the quotient space  $U//T$  is an  $A_2$ -variety. But this is known: By [13, Cor. 2.4 and 2.5], the set  $U$  is  $T_X$ -invariant, and  $U//T$  inherits the structure of a toric variety from  $U$ . In particular,  $U//T$  is an  $A_2$ -variety, see [15, p. 709].  $\square$

### 3. Globally defined $(T, 2)$ -maximal subsets.

Let  $G$  be a connected reductive group,  $T \subset G$  a maximal torus, and  $X$  a normal  $G$ -variety. In this section, we reduce the construction of  $(T, 2)$ -maximal subsets to a purely toric problem in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The following notion is central:

DEFINITION 3.1. — We say that a  $(T, 2)$ -maximal subset  $U \subset X$  is globally defined in  $X$ , if there are  $T$ -homogeneous  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  such that each  $X_{f_i}$  is an affine open subset of  $U$  and any pair  $x, x' \in U$  is contained in some  $X_{f_i}$ .

Here, as usual,  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  is called  $T$ -homogeneous, if  $f(t \cdot x) = \chi(t)f(x)$  holds with a character  $\chi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , and  $X_f$  denotes the set of all  $x \in X$  with  $f(x) \neq 0$ . Our reduction is split into two lemmas. The proofs are based on ideas of [11].

LEMMA 3.2. — Let  $X$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, and let  $U \subset X$  be  $(T, 2)$ -maximal. Then there are an algebraic torus  $H$  and a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial quasi-affine  $(G \times H)$ -variety  $\widehat{X}$  such that

- (i)  $H$  acts freely on  $\widehat{X}$  with a  $G$ -equivariant geometric quotient  $q: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ ,
- (ii)  $\widehat{U} := q^{-1}(U)$  is a globally defined  $(T \times H, 2)$ -maximal subset of  $\widehat{X}$ .

Proof. — Let  $p: U \rightarrow U//T$  be the quotient. By assumption, we can cover  $U//T$  by affine open subsets  $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$  such that any pair  $y, y' \in U//T$  is contained in a common  $Y_i$ . Since  $p$  is affine, each  $p^{-1}(Y_i)$  is affine. Hence each  $X \setminus p^{-1}(Y_i)$  is of pure codimension one and, by  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality, equals the support  $\text{Supp}(D_i)$  of an effective Cartier divisor  $D_i$  on  $X$ .

The Cartier divisors  $D_1, \dots, D_r$  generate a free abelian subgroup  $\Lambda$  of the group of all Cartier divisors of  $X$ . Enlarging  $\Lambda$  by adding finitely many generators, we achieve that every  $x \in X$  admits an affine neighbourhood  $X \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$  for some effective member  $D \in \Lambda$ . The group  $\Lambda$  gives rise to a graded  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigoplus_{D \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_D := \bigoplus_{D \in \Lambda} \mathcal{O}_X(D).$$

After eventually replacing  $\Lambda$  with a subgroup of finite index, we can endow  $\mathcal{A}$  with a  $G$ -sheaf structure, see [11, Prop. 3.5]: for any  $g \in G$

and any open  $V \subset X$ , we then have a  $\Lambda$ -graded homomorphism  $\mathcal{A}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(g \cdot V)$ , these homomorphisms are compatible with restriction of  $\mathcal{A}$  and multiplication of  $G$ , and the resulting  $G$ -representation on  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is rational.

We define the desired data; for details see [10, Sec. 2]. Let  $\widehat{X} := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ . The inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defines an affine morphism  $q: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$  with  $q_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}) = \mathcal{A}$ . For the canonical section of an effective  $D \in \Lambda$ , its zero set in  $\widehat{X}$  is just  $q^{-1}(\text{Supp}(D))$ . In particular,  $\widehat{X}$  is covered by affine sets  $\widehat{X}_f$  and hence is quasi-affine.

The  $\Lambda$ -grading of  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponds to a free action of the torus  $H := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\Lambda])$  on  $\widehat{X}$ . This makes  $q: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$  to an  $H$ -principal bundle. In particular,  $q$  is a geometric quotient for the  $H$ -action, and  $\widehat{X}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial. The  $G$ -sheaf structure of  $\mathcal{A}$  induces a  $G$ -action on  $\widehat{X}$  commuting with the  $H$ -action and making  $q$  equivariant.

We show that  $\widehat{U} = q^{-1}(U)$  is  $(\widehat{T}, 2)$ -maximal, where we set  $\widehat{T} := T \times H$ . First note that the restriction  $p \circ q: \widehat{U} \rightarrow U // T$  is a good quotient for the  $\widehat{T}$ -action. For  $(\widehat{T}, 2)$ -maximality, let  $\widehat{U}$  be  $\widehat{T}$ -saturated in some  $(\widehat{T}, 2)$ -maximal  $\widehat{U}_1 \subset \widehat{X}$ . Then Lemma 2.3 gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \widehat{U}_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad // \widehat{T} \quad} & \widehat{U}_1 // \widehat{T} \\
 \searrow \scriptstyle q & & \nearrow \scriptstyle // T \\
 & U_1 &
 \end{array}$$

where  $U_1 := q(\widehat{U}_1)$  is open in  $X$ . Since  $\widehat{U}$  is  $\widehat{T}$ -saturated in  $\widehat{U}_1$  and  $\widehat{U}_1 \rightarrow U_1$  is surjective, this diagram shows that  $U$  is a  $T$ -saturated subset of  $U_1$ . By  $(T, 2)$ -maximality of  $U$  in  $X$ , this implies  $U = U_1$  and hence  $\widehat{U} = \widehat{U}_1$ .

Finally, let  $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{X})$  be the canonical sections of some large positive multiples of the  $D_i$ . The zero set of  $f_i$  in  $\widehat{X}$  is just  $q^{-1}(\text{Supp}(D_i))$ . In particular, these zero sets are  $\widehat{T}$ -invariant, and hence the  $f_i$  are  $\widehat{T}$ -homogeneous. By construction, the sets  $\widehat{X}_{f_i}$  equal  $q^{-1}(p^{-1}(Y_i))$ , and thus form an affine cover of  $\widehat{U}$  as required in 3.1. □

LEMMA 3.3. — *Let  $X$  be quasi-affine, and let  $U \subset X$  be a globally defined  $(T, 2)$ -maximal subset of  $X$ . Then there exist a linear  $G$ -action on some  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and a  $G$ -equivariant locally closed embedding  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  such that*

- (i) *the maximal torus  $T \subset G$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by means of a homomorphism  $T \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$  to the big torus  $\mathbb{T}^n := (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ ,*

(ii) there is a  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -invariant open  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  containing  $U$  as a closed subset and admitting a good quotient  $V \rightarrow V//T$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  be as in 3.1, and set  $X_i := X_{f_i}$ . By [10, Lemma 2.4], we can realize  $X$  as a  $G$ -invariant open subset of an affine  $G$ -variety  $\overline{X}$  such that the  $f_i$  extend regularly to  $\overline{X}$  and satisfy  $\overline{X}_{f_i} = X_i$ . Complete the  $f_i$  to a system  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  of  $T$ -homogeneous generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{O}(\overline{X})$ .

To proceed, we use the standard representation  $g \cdot f(x) := f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$  of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}(\overline{X})$ . Let  $M_i \subset \mathcal{O}(\overline{X})$  be the  $G$ -module generated by  $G \cdot f_i$ . Fix a basis  $f_{i1}, \dots, f_{in_i}$  of  $M_i$  such that all  $f_{ij}$  are  $T$ -homogeneous and for the first one we have  $f_{i1} = f_i$ . Denoting by  $N_i$  the dual  $G$ -module of  $M_i$ , we obtain  $G$ -equivariant maps

$$\Phi_i: \overline{X} \rightarrow N_i, \quad x \mapsto [h \mapsto h(x)].$$

We identify  $N_i$  with  $\mathbb{C}^{n_i}$  by associating to a functional of  $N_i$  its coordinates  $z_{i1}, \dots, z_{in_i}$  with respect to the dual basis  $f_{i1}^*, \dots, f_{in_i}^*$ . Then the pullback  $\Phi_i^*(z_{ij})$  is just the function  $f_{ij}$ . Now, consider the direct sum of the  $G$ -modules  $\mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ ; we write this direct sum as  $\mathbb{C}^n$  but still use the coordinates  $z_{ij}$ . The maps  $\Phi_i$  fit together to a  $G$ -equivariant closed embedding:

$$\Phi: \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad x \mapsto (f_{11}(x), \dots, f_{1n_1}(x), \dots, f_{s1}(x), \dots, f_{sn_s}(x)).$$

In the sequel, we shall regard  $\overline{X}$  as a  $G$ -invariant closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Thus the functions  $f_{ij}$  are just the restrictions of the coordinate functions  $z_{ij}$ . By construction, the maximal torus  $T$  of  $G$  acts diagonally on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , that means that  $T$  acts by a homomorphism  $T \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$  to the big torus  $\mathbb{T}^n = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ .

We come to the construction of the desired set  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $V_i \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be the complement of the coordinate hyperplane defined by  $z_{i1}$ . Note that  $\overline{X} \cap V_i$  equals  $X_i$ . In particular,  $X_i$  is closed in  $V_i$ . Consider the union  $V_0 := V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$ . Then  $V_0$  is invariant under the big torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . Moreover, we have

$$\overline{X} \cap V_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \overline{X} \cap V_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \overline{X}_{f_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i = U.$$

Let  $V \subset V_0$  be the minimal  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -invariant open subset with  $U = \overline{X} \cap V$ . Then every closed  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -orbit of  $V$  has nontrivial intersection with  $U$ . We



show that  $V$  admits a good quotient by the action of  $T$ . By [11, Prop. 1.2], it suffices to verify that any two points with closed  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -orbits in  $V$  have a common  $T$ -invariant affine open neighbourhood in  $V$ .

Let  $z, z' \in V$  have closed  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -orbits in  $V$ . Since these  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -orbits meet  $U$ , there are  $t, t' \in \mathbb{T}^n$  such that  $t \cdot z$  and  $t' \cdot z'$  lie in  $U$ . By the choice of  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ , the points  $t \cdot z$  and  $t' \cdot z'$  even lie in some common  $X_i$ . Consider the corresponding  $V_i$  and the good quotient  $p: V_i \rightarrow V_i // T$ . The latter is a toric morphism of affine toric varieties.

Let  $Z_i := V_i \setminus V$ . Then  $Z_i$  is  $T$ -invariant and closed in  $V_i$ . Moreover,  $Z_i$  does not meet the  $T$ -invariant closed subset  $X_i \subset V_i$ . Thus  $p(Z_i)$  and  $p(X_i)$  are closed in  $V_i // T$  and disjoint from each other. In particular, neither  $p(t \cdot z)$  nor  $p(t' \cdot z')$  lie in  $p(Z_i)$ . Since  $Z_i$  is even  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -invariant, also  $p(z)$  and  $p(z')$  do not lie in  $p(Z_i)$ .

Consequently, there exists a  $T$ -invariant regular function on  $V_i$  that vanishes along  $Z_i$  but not in the points  $z$  and  $z'$ . Removing the zero set of this function from  $V_i$  yields the desired common  $T$ -invariant affine open neighbourhood of the points  $z$  and  $z'$  in  $V$ . This proves existence of a good quotient  $V \rightarrow V // T$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of the results.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* — First we reduce to the case of globally defined subsets of quasi-affine varieties. So, assume for the moment that Theorem 1.1 holds in this setting. Consider the quasi-affine variety  $\widehat{X}$ , the torus  $H$  and the geometric quotient  $q: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$  provided by Lemma 3.2.

Then  $\widehat{G} := G \times H$  is reductive with maximal torus  $\widehat{T} := T \times H$ , and  $\widehat{U} = q^{-1}(U)$  is a globally defined  $(\widehat{T}, 2)$ -maximal subset of  $\widehat{X}$ . By assumption, the intersection  $W(\widehat{U})$  of all translates  $\widehat{g} \cdot \widehat{U}$  is open, admits a good quotient by  $\widehat{G}$ , and is  $\widehat{T}$ -saturated in  $\widehat{U}$ . Since each  $\widehat{g} \cdot \widehat{U}$  is  $H$ -invariant and  $q: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$  is  $G$ -equivariant, we obtain

$$W(\widehat{U}) = \bigcap_{\widehat{g} \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{g} \cdot \widehat{U} = \bigcap_{g \in G} g \cdot \widehat{U} = \bigcap_{g \in G} g \cdot q^{-1}(U) = q^{-1}(W(U)).$$

In particular,  $W(U)$  is open in  $X$ . Moreover, restricting  $q$  gives a geometric quotient  $W(\widehat{U}) \rightarrow W(U)$  for the  $H$ -action. Lemma 2.3 tells us that the induced map from  $W(U)$  onto  $W(\widehat{U}) // \widehat{G}$  is a good quotient for the

$G$ -action on  $W(U)$ . Similarly, we infer  $T$ -saturatedness of  $W(U)$  in  $U$  from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \widehat{U} & \xrightarrow{\parallel \widehat{T}} & \widehat{U} \parallel \widehat{T} \\
 \searrow \scriptstyle q & & \nearrow \scriptstyle \parallel T \\
 & U &
 \end{array}$$

We are left with proving 1.1 for quasi-affine  $X$  and globally defined  $(T, 2)$ -maximal  $U \subset X$ . By Lemma 3.3, we may view  $X$  as a  $G$ -invariant locally closed subset of a  $G$ -module  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $T$  acts via a homomorphism  $T \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$  and  $U$  is closed in some  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -invariant open  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with good quotient  $V \rightarrow V \parallel T$ . We regard  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as the  $G$ -invariant open subset of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  obtained by removing the zero set of the homogeneous coordinate  $z_0$ .

Let  $V' \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a  $T$ -maximal open subset containing  $V$  as a  $T$ -saturated subset. Let  $\overline{X}$  be the closure of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , and set  $X' := \overline{X} \cap V'$ . Then  $X'$  is closed in  $V'$ , and we have  $U = X' \cap V$ . Using 2.2 (i), (iii) and (iv), we subsume the situation in a commutative cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & U & \longrightarrow & V \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X' & \longrightarrow & V' & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & U \parallel T & \longrightarrow & V \parallel T \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 X' \parallel T & \longrightarrow & V' \parallel T & &
 \end{array}$$

where the downwards arrows are good quotients by the respective actions of  $T$ , the right arrows are closed inclusions, the upper diagonal arrows are  $T$ -saturated inclusions and the lower diagonal arrows are open inclusions.

According to [6, Thm. C], the intersection  $W(V')$  of all translates  $g \cdot V'$  is open in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and admits a good quotient by the action of  $G$ . Recall from [6, Lemma 8.4] that  $W(V')$  is  $T$ -saturated in  $V'$ . We transfer the desired properties step by step from  $W(V')$  to  $W(U)$ . First note that by  $G$ -invariance of  $\overline{X}$  we have

$$W(X') = \bigcap_{g \in G} g \cdot X' = \bigcap_{g \in G} g \cdot (\overline{X} \cap V') = \overline{X} \cap W(V') = X' \cap W(V').$$

Thus  $W(X')$  is open in  $X'$ , and by 2.2 (iv) it is  $T$ -saturated in  $X'$ . In particular, the  $T$ -action on  $W(X')$  has a good quotient. Moreover,  $W(X')$

is  $G$ -invariant and closed in  $W(V')$ . Thus 2.2 (i) ensures the existence of a good quotient

$$u: W(X') \rightarrow W(X')//G.$$

Consider  $B := X' \setminus X$ . Since  $X$  is open in  $\bar{X}$  and  $B$  equals  $(\bar{X} \setminus X) \cap X'$ , the set  $B$  is closed in  $X'$ . The intersection  $W(B)$  of the translates  $g \cdot B$ , where  $g \in G$ , is  $G$ -invariant and closed in  $W(X')$ . We claim that it suffices to verify

$$(1) \quad W(U) = W(X') \setminus u^{-1}(u(W(B))).$$

Indeed, suppose we have (1). Then  $W(U)$  is open in  $X'$ , hence in  $U$ , and thus in  $X$ . Property 2.2 (iii) provides a good quotient  $W(U) \rightarrow W(U)//G$ . Moreover,  $W(U)$  is  $T$ -saturated in  $W(X')$ , because it is  $G$ -saturated and we have the induced map from  $W(X')//T$  onto  $W(X')//G$ . Since  $W(X')$  and  $U$  are  $T$ -saturated in  $X'$ , we obtain that  $W(U)$  is  $T$ -saturated in  $U$ .

We verify (1). Let  $v: X' \rightarrow X'//T$  be the quotient map. As a subvariety,  $X'//T$  inherits the  $A_2$ -property from  $V'//T$ , which in turn satisfies it by 2.5. Thus, since  $U$  is  $(T, 2)$ -maximal in  $X$ , it is necessarily the maximal  $T$ -saturated subset of  $X'$  which is contained in  $X \cap X'$ . In terms of  $B = X' \setminus X$  this means

$$(2) \quad U = X' \setminus v^{-1}(v(B)).$$

We check the inclusion “ $\subset$ ” of (1). Let  $x \in u^{-1}(u(W(B)))$ . Then, by 2.2 (ii), the closure of  $G \cdot x$  meets  $W(B)$ . The classical Hilbert-Mumford Lemma [8, Thm. 4.2] says that for some maximal torus  $T' \subset G$  the closure of  $T' \cdot x$  meets  $W(B)$ . Let  $g \in G$  with  $T = gT'g^{-1}$ . Then the closure of  $T \cdot g \cdot x$  meets  $W(B)$ . Hence  $g \cdot x$  lies in  $v^{-1}(v(B))$ . By (2), the point  $x$  cannot belong to  $W(U)$ .

We turn to the inclusion “ $\supset$ ” of (1). For this, consider the set  $A := (X \cap X') \setminus U$ . Then  $X'$  is the disjoint union of  $U$ ,  $A$  and  $B$ . Consequently, we have

$$W(U) = \bigcap_{g \in G} g \cdot (X' \setminus (A \cup B)) = W(X') \setminus \bigcup_{g \in G} g \cdot A \cup g \cdot B.$$

So we have to show that  $u$  maps a given  $x \in W(X') \cap g \cdot (A \cup B)$  to  $u(W(B))$ . Since  $g^{-1} \cdot x \notin U$  holds, we infer from (2) that  $g^{-1} \cdot x$  lies in

$v^{-1}(v(B))$ . According to 2.2 (ii), the closure of  $T \cdot g^{-1} \cdot x$  in  $X'$  meets  $B$ . Since  $W(X')$  is  $T$ -saturated in  $X'$ , this implies that the closure of  $T \cdot g^{-1} \cdot x$  meets  $W(X') \cap B$ . But we have

$$W(X') \cap B = W(X') \setminus X = \bigcap_{g \in G} g \cdot (X' \setminus X) = W(B).$$

Hence we obtained that the closure of the orbit  $G \cdot x$  intersects  $W(B)$ . This in turn shows that the image  $u(x)$  lies in  $u(W(B))$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* — Recall from [9, Sec. 4] that the automorphism group of  $X$  is a linear algebraic group having the big torus  $T_X \subset X$  as a maximal torus. Thus, by conjugating  $T_X$  we achieve that  $T \subset G$  acts on  $X$  via a homomorphism  $T \rightarrow T_X$ . Proposition 2.5 then ensures that each  $T$ -maximal subset of  $X$  is as well  $(T, 2)$ -maximal, and statement (i) follows from Theorem 1.1.

For statement (ii), let  $V \subset X$  be open and  $G$ -invariant with good quotient  $V \rightarrow V//G$ . Then [7, Cor. 10] provides a good quotient  $V \rightarrow V//T$ . Let  $U \subset X$  be a  $T$ -maximal subset containing  $V$  as  $T$ -saturated subset. Then we have  $V \subset W(U)$ . Again by 2.5, the set  $U$  is  $(T, 2)$ -maximal. Thus Theorem 1.1 says that  $W(U)$  is open, has a good quotient  $u: W(U) \rightarrow W(U)//G$ , and is  $T$ -saturated in  $U$ .

For  $G$ -saturatedness of  $V$  in  $W(U)$  we have to show that any  $x \in u^{-1}(u(V))$  with closed  $G$ -orbit in  $W(U)$  belongs to  $V$ . For this note that  $V$  is  $T$ -saturated in  $W(U)$ , because both sets are so in  $U$ . Now, let  $y \in V$  with  $u(y) = u(x)$ . Then  $x$  lies in the closure of  $G \cdot y$ . Thus [8, Thm. 4.2] provides a  $g \in G$  such that the closure of  $T \cdot g \cdot y$  meets  $G \cdot x$ . Since  $g \cdot y$  lies in  $V$  and  $V$  is  $T$ -saturated in  $W(U)$ , we obtain  $G \cdot x \subset V$ , and hence  $x \in V$ .  $\square$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. BIALYNICKI-BIRULA, Algebraic Quotients. In: R.V. Gamkrelidze, V.L. Popov (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 131 (2002), 1–82.
- [2] A. BIALYNICKI-BIRULA and J. ŚWIĘCICKA, Generalized moment functions and orbit spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, Vol. 109 (1987), 229–238.
- [3] A. BIALYNICKI-BIRULA and J. ŚWIĘCICKA, A reduction theorem for existence of good quotients, *Amer. J. Math.*, Vol. 113 (1990), 189–201.
- [4] A. BIALYNICKI-BIRULA and J. ŚWIĘCICKA, On complete orbit spaces of  $SL(2)$ -actions, *Colloq. Math.*, Vol. 55, N° 2 (1988), 229–241.
- [5] A. BIALYNICKI-BIRULA and J. ŚWIĘCICKA, On complete orbit spaces of  $SL(2)$ -actions II, *Colloq. Math.*, Vol. 63, N° 1 (1992), 9–20.

- [6] A. BIALYNICKI-BIRULA and J. ŚWIĘCICKA, Open subsets of projective spaces with a good quotient by an action of a reductive group, *Transform. Groups*, Vol. 1, N° 3 (1996), 153–185.
- [7] A. BIALYNICKI-BIRULA and J. ŚWIĘCICKA, Three theorems on existence of good quotients, *Math. Ann.*, Vol. 307 (1997), 143–149.
- [8] D. BIRKES, Orbits of linear algebraic groups, *Ann. Math.*, Ser 2, Vol. 93 (1971), 459–475.
- [9] D. COX, The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety, *J. Algebr. Geom.*, Vol. 4, N° 1 (1995), 17–50.
- [10] J. HAUSEN, Equivariant embeddings into smooth toric varieties, *Canad. Math. J.*, Vol. 54, N° 3 (2002), 554–570.
- [11] J. HAUSEN, Producing good quotients by embedding into toric varieties, *Sémin. et Congrès 6 (SMF)*, (2002), 193–212.
- [12] J. HAUSEN, A Hilbert-Mumford Criterion for  $SL_2$ -actions, to appear in *Colloq. Math.*
- [13] J. ŚWIĘCICKA, Quotients of toric varieties by actions of subtori, *Colloq. Math.*, Vol. 82, N° 1 (1999), 105–116.
- [14] J. ŚWIĘCICKA, A combinatorial construction of sets with good quotients by an action of a reductive group, *Colloq. Math.*, Vol. 87, N° 1 (2001), 85–102.
- [15] J. WŁODARCZYK, Embeddings in toric varieties and prevarieties, *J. Algebr. Geom.*, Vol. 2, N° 4 (1993), 705–726.

Manuscrit reçu le 3 septembre 2002,  
révisé le 4 novembre 2002,  
accepté le 22 novembre 2002.

Jürgen HAUSEN,  
Universität Konstanz  
Fachbereich Mathematik und Statistik  
78457 Konstanz (Germany).  
Juergen.Hausen@uni-konstanz.de