

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

EBBE T. POULSEN

A simplex with dense extreme points

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 11 (1961), p. 83-87

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1961__11__83_0

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1961, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A SIMPLEX WITH DENSE EXTREME POINTS

By Ebbe Theu POULSEN (Aarhus)

1. — Introduction.

Let L be a locally convex linear topological space, and let C be a compact convex subset of L . The Krein-Milman theorem [3] asserts that C is the closed convex hull of the set $E(C)$ of extreme points of C . It follows that for every $x \in C$ there exists a positive measure μ_x of mass 1 on $\overline{E(C)}$ such that

$$x = \int_{\overline{E(C)}} y d\mu_x(y).$$

This representation is of little interest in the case where $C = \overline{E(C)}$, and according to a result due to Klee [2] this is the rule rather than the exception.

Recently Choquet [1] has shown that if C is metrizable the measures μ_x may be chosen so as to be supported by $E(C)$ itself, and furthermore that these measures are uniquely determined if and only if C is a simplex (i.e. such that the intersection of any two positive homothetic images of C is either empty, a single point or a positive homothetic image of C).

The question is raised by Choquet whether the situation $C = \overline{E(C)}$ can arise when C is a simplex. It is the object of this note to construct an example which shows that the answer is affirmative. The ideas governing the construction

are closely related to the ideas of [4] where a simple example of a convex set with dense extreme points is exhibited. In § 2 we perform the actual construction of the simplex S and observe that $S = \overline{E(S)}$, and in § 3 we prove that S really is a simplex.

2. — Construction of the example.

In the Hilbert space l^2 of sequences

$$x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \dots)$$

we denote by e_j the unit vector having the coordinates $\xi_i = \delta_{ij}$. Further, we denote by E_n the subspace spanned by e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n and by P_n the projection on E_n .

We first construct a sequence of simplexes S_n with the following properties :

- (i) $S_n \subset E_n$ for every n .
- (ii) $S_n \subset S_m$ and $E(S_n) \subset E(S_m)$ for $n < m$.
- (iii) $P_n S_m = S_n$ for $n < m$.
- (iv) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an n such that every point of S_n has distance at most ε from $E(S_n)$.

The construction of the simplexes S_n falls in groups as follows :

a) The first group consists of one simplex

$$S_1 = \{x | 0 \leq \xi_1 \leq 2^{-1}; x \in E_1\}.$$

b) Assume that S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{n_p} have been constructed, S_{n_p} being the last simplex in the p 'th group. Choose points y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{q_p} in S_{n_p} such that every point of S_{n_p} has distance at most 2^{-p} from the set $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{q_p}\}$.

For $n_p < k \leq n_p + q_p = n_{p+1}$ we define

$$z_k = y_{k-n_p} + 2^{-k} e_k,$$

whereupon we define S_k as the convex hull of the set

$$S_{n_p} \cup \{z_{n_p+1}, \dots, z_k\}.$$

With this construction it is clear that the sets S_n are simplexes satisfying (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv).

Now define

$$T_n = P_n^{-1}(S_n) = \{x | P_n x \in S_n\}$$

and

$$S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$$

It then follows that

- (ii') $T_n \supset T_m$ for $n < m$.
- (iii') $P_n T_m = S_n$ for $n < m$.
- (iii'') $P_n S = S_n$ for all n .

- (iv') The set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E(S_n)$ is dense in S .

Thus, to prove that $S = \overline{E(S)}$ it suffices to prove that $E(S_n) \subset E(S)$ for all n . The proof of this is exactly the same as in [4], but it is so short that we may as well repeat it here: Let $z \in E(S_n)$ and let $y \neq 0$. Then there exists $m \geq n$ so that $P_m y \neq 0$, and by (ii) $z \in E(S_m)$. Therefore, the segment

$$\{x | x = z + tP_m y; -1 \leq t \leq 1\} \in S_m,$$

and consequently

$$\{x | x = z + ty; -1 \leq t \leq 1\} \in S.$$

Hence, $z \in E(S)$.

Finally, let us note for completeness that S is compact and convex.

3. — Proof that S is a simplex.

We must prove that every set of the form

$$A = S \cap (qS + a) \quad \text{with} \quad q > 0$$

containing at least two points is itself of the form

$$A = rS + b \quad \text{with} \quad r > 0.$$

Now since

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \cap (q \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n + a) \\ &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (T_n \cap (qT_n + a)) \end{aligned}$$

each of the sets $T_n \cap (qT_n + a)$ contains at least two points, and therefore

$$P_n(T_n \cap (qT_n + a)) = S_n \cap (qS_n + a_n),$$

where $a_n = P_n a$, is non-empty for every n and contains at least two points for sufficiently large n .

Since S_n is a simplex, we have

$$S_n \cap (qS_n + a_n) = r_n S_n + b_n \quad \text{with} \quad r_n \geq 0$$

for every n and $r_n > 0$ for sufficiently large n . Now, for $m > n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(S_m \cap (qS_m + a_m)) &\subset P_n S_m \cap P_n(qS_m + a_m), \\ \text{i.e.} \quad P_n(r_m S_m + b_m) &\subset S_n \cap (qS_n + a_n) \\ \text{or} \quad r_m S_n + P_n b_m &\subset r_n S_n + b_n \end{aligned}$$

from where it follows that

- 1) $r_m \leq r_n$.
- 2) $P_n b_m \in r_n S_n + b_n$ (since $0 \in S_n$).

By the construction all points of S_n have all their coordinates non-negative, and hence, writing

$$b_n = (\beta_{n1}, \beta_{n2}, \dots, \beta_{nn}, 0, \dots)$$

we get

- 3) $\beta_{mi} \geq \beta_{ni}$ for all i .

From 1) it follows that

$$r_n \rightarrow r (\geq 0) \quad \text{for} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and from 3) that

$$\beta_{ni} \rightarrow \beta_i \quad (\text{for } n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{for all } i.$$

It is easily seen that the sequence

$$b = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots\}$$

belongs to l^2 and that

$$b_n \rightarrow b \quad \text{for} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

whence $b \in A$.

We shall complete our proof by showing that

$$A = rS + b.$$

First, since $r \leq r_m$ for every m , we have

$$rS + b_m \subset rT_m + b_m \subset r_m T_m + b_m = T_m \cap (qT_m + a_m) = T_m \cap (qT_m + a)$$

for every m , and since

$$T_m \cap (qT_m + a) \subset T_n \cap (qT_n + a) \quad \text{for } m > n$$

we have

$$rS + b_m \subset T_n \cap (qT_n + a) \quad \text{for } m > n.$$

Since T_n is closed, it follows that

$$rS + b \subset T_n \cap (qT_n + a) \quad \text{for every } n,$$

whence $rS + b \subset A$.

Secondly, since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we have } r_n T_n + b_m &\supset r_n T_m + b_m && \text{for } m > n, \\ &\supset r_m T_m + b_m \\ &= T_m \cap (qT_m + a) \\ &\supset A && \text{for every } m > n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$r_n T_n + b \supset A \quad \text{for every } n,$$

hence also that

$$\begin{aligned} r_n T_m + b &\supset r_m T_m + b \supset A && \text{for } m > n, \\ \text{whence } r_n S + b &\supset A && \text{for all } n. \end{aligned}$$

From here, finally, it follows that

$$rS + b \supset A,$$

and the proof is completed.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] CHOQUET Gustave, Existence et unicité des représentations intégrales au moyen des points extrémaux dans les cônes convexes. *Séminaire Bourbaki* (Décembre 1956), 139-01-139-15.
- [2] KLEE V. L. Jr., Some new results on smoothness and rotundity in normed linear spaces. *To appear*.
- [3] KREIN M. and MILMAN D., On extreme points of regular convex sets. *Studia Math.*, 9 (1940), 133-138.
- [4] POULSEN Ebbe Thue, Convex sets with dense extreme points. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 66 (1959), 577-578.

