



ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

R. V. BESSONOV

Schatten properties of Toeplitz operators on the Paley–Wiener space

Tome 68, n° 1 (2018), p. 195-215.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2018__68_1_195_0



© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2018,

Certains droits réservés.



Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/>

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier »
(<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales
d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>).

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

SCHATTEN PROPERTIES OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON THE PALEY–WIENER SPACE

by R. V. BESSONOV (*)

ABSTRACT. — We collect several old and new descriptions of Schatten class Toeplitz operators on the Paley–Wiener space and answer a question on discrete Hilbert transform commutators posed by Richard Rochberg.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous présentons plusieurs descriptions anciennes et nouvelles des opérateurs de Toeplitz de classe de Schatten sur l'espace de Paley-Wiener et répondons à une question de Richard Rochberg sur les commutateurs discrets de la transformée de Hilbert.

1. Introduction

Given a bounded function φ on the real line, \mathbb{R} , consider the Toeplitz operator T_φ on the classical Paley–Wiener space PW_a ,

$$(1.1) \quad T_\varphi: f \mapsto P_a(\varphi f), \quad f \in PW_a.$$

The space PW_a could be regarded as the subspace in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of functions with Fourier spectrum in the interval $[-a, a]$, symbol P_a above denotes the orthogonal projection in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to PW_a . Basic theory of Toeplitz operators on PW_a can be found in paper [9] by R. Rochberg.

We are interested in description of Schatten class Toeplitz operators on PW_a in terms of their standard symbols. By the standard symbol of an operator in (1.1) we mean the entire function $\varphi_{st} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{2a}\mathcal{F}\varphi$, where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform on the Schwartz space of tempered distributions, and χ_{2a} is the indicator function of the interval $(-2a, 2a)$. As we

Keywords: Paley–Wiener space, Schatten ideal, discrete Besov space, discrete Hilbert transform commutator.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 47B35, 46E39.

(*) The work is supported by RFBR grant mol_a_dk 16-31-60053, by Grant MD-5758.2015.1, and by “Native towns”, a social investment program of PJSC “Gazprom Neft”.

will see, a Toeplitz operator T_φ on PW_a belongs to the Schatten class \mathcal{S}^p , $0 < p < \infty$, if and only if $e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}$ belongs to a discrete oscillation Besov space introduced in 1987 by R. Rochberg [9]. Its definition we now recall.

For a measure μ on \mathbb{R} and a function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mu)$, the oscillation of order n of f on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with respect to μ is defined by

$$\text{osc}(f, I, \mu, n) = \inf_{P_n} \frac{1}{\mu(I)} \int_I |f(x) - P_n(x)| \, d\mu(x),$$

where the infimum is taken over all polynomials P_n of degree at most n . If $\mu(I) = 0$, we put $\text{osc}_I(f, I, \mu, n) = 0$. Define the family \mathcal{I}_a of closed intervals

$$I_{a,j,k} = \left[\frac{2\pi}{a} k 2^j, \frac{2\pi}{a} (k+1) 2^j \right], \quad j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j \geq 0.$$

Note that endpoints of intervals in \mathcal{I}_a belong to the lattice $\mathbb{Z}_a = \left\{ \frac{2\pi}{a} k, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Let p be a positive real number, and let $\left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ be the integer part of $\frac{1}{p}$. The discrete oscillation Besov space $\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc}) = \mathbb{B}^{1/p}_{p,p}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mu_a, \text{osc})$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc}) = \left\{ f \in L^1_{loc}(\mu_a) : \|f\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})}^p = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_a} \text{osc} \left(f, I, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right)^p < \infty \right\},$$

where $\mu_a = \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_a} \delta_x$ is the normalized counting measure on \mathbb{Z}_a .

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. — *Let a, p be positive real numbers, let φ be a bounded function on \mathbb{R} , and let φ_{st} be the standard symbol of the Toeplitz operator T_φ on PW_a . Then we have $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^p(PW_a)$ if and only if $e^{2iax} \varphi_{st} \in \mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})$. Moreover, $\|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}$ is comparable to $\|e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})}$ with constants depending only on p .*

Theorem 1.1 complements a classical description of Toeplitz operators in $\mathcal{S}^p(PW_a)$ given by R. Rochberg [9] for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and extended by V. Peller [5] to the whole range $0 < p < \infty$. To formulate the result, consider a system $\{\nu_j\}_{j \leq -1}$ of infinitely smooth functions on \mathbb{R} such that $\text{supp } \nu_j \subset [2^{j-1}, 2^j]$,

$$0 \leq \nu_j \leq 1, \quad \nu_{j-1}(x) = \nu_j(x/2), \quad \sum \nu_j = 1 \text{ on } \left(0, \frac{1}{3} \right].$$

Define $\nu_j(x) = \nu_{-j}(1-x)$ for real $x \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and integer $j \geq 1$, put $\nu_0 = 1 - \sum_{j \neq 0} \nu_j$ for $j = 0$. Finally, let $\nu_{a,j}(x) = \nu_j((x+a)/2a)$ for all $x \in [-a, a]$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that system $\{\nu_{a,j}\}$ provides a resolution of unity on the interval $[-a, a]$ by functions supported on subintervals I_j whose lengths are

comparable to the distance from I_j to the endpoints of $[-a, a]$. Rochberg–Peller theorem says that T_φ is in $\mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$ for $0 < p < \infty$ if and only if

$$a \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-|j|} \cdot \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\nu_{2a,j} \cdot \mathcal{F}\varphi)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p < \infty,$$

with control of the norms. R. Rochberg gives yet another characterization of Toeplitz operators in class $\mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, in terms of a reproducing kernel decomposition of their standard symbols, see Theorem 5.3 in [9]. Both the statement and the proof of his result for $p = 1$ contain errors that we correct in Section 3.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 1.2. — *Let $a > 0$. The discrete Hilbert transform commutator*

$$C_\psi : f \mapsto \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_a} \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(t)}{x - t} f(t) \, d\mu_a(t), \quad f \in L^2(\mu_a),$$

belongs to the trace class $\mathcal{S}^1(L^2(\mu_a))$ if and only if $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_1(a, \text{osc}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_a)$.

This answers the question posed by R. Rochberg in 1987. See Section 6 for a summary of results on discrete Hilbert transform commutators and an analogue of Theorem 1.2 for the case $0 < p < 1$.

We would like to mention papers [11, 12] by R. Torres for readers interested in wavelet characterizations and interpolation theory of discrete Besov spaces. The problem of membership in Schatten classes \mathcal{S}^p for general truncated Toeplitz operators has been recently studied by P. Lopatto and R. Rochberg [3], see also Section 4.3 in author’s paper [1].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $1 < p < \infty$

Theorem 1.1 for $1 < p < \infty$ follows from known results. Let $\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{B}_{p,p}^{1/p}(\mathbb{R})$ be the standard homogeneous Besov space on the real line \mathbb{R} , see, e.g., Chapter 3 in [4] for definition and basic properties. Given a Toeplitz operator T_φ on PW_a with symbol $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we denote

$$\varphi_{st}^- = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{(-2a,0)} \mathcal{F}\varphi, \quad \varphi_{st}^+ = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{[0,2a)} \mathcal{F}\varphi,$$

where χ_S is the indicator function of a set S . As usual, \mathcal{F} stands for the Fourier transform on the Schwartz space of tempered distributions. The following result is a combination of Theorem 5.1 and its Corollary in [9].

THEOREM (R. Rochberg). — *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $a > 0$. Then a Toeplitz operator T_φ on PW_a belongs to $\mathcal{S}_p(\text{PW}_a)$ if and only if*

$$\| e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}^- \|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})} + \| e^{-2iax} \varphi_{st}^+ \|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})} < \infty,$$

in which case $\|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}$ is comparable to $\| e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}^- \|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})} + \| e^{-2iax} \varphi_{st}^+ \|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})}$ with constants depending only on p .

Denote by \mathcal{E}_a the set of tempered distributions whose Fourier transforms are supported on the interval $[-a, a]$. Next result is Theorem 1 in [12].

THEOREM (R. Torres). — *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let f be a function in $\mathcal{E}_a \cap \mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ for some $a > 0$. Then its restriction to \mathbb{Z}_{2a} belongs to $\mathbb{B}_p(2a, \text{osc})$ and $\|f\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(2a, \text{osc})}$ is comparable to $\|f\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})}$ with constants depending only on p . Moreover, every sequence in $\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})$ is the restriction to \mathbb{Z}_a of a unique function (modulo polynomials) in $\mathcal{E}_a \cap \mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1 ($1 < p < \infty$). — Let φ be a bounded function of \mathbb{R} and let $\varphi_{st} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{(-2a, 2a)} \mathcal{F} \varphi$ be the standard symbol of the Toeplitz operator $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$. Then functions $e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}^-$, $e^{-2iax} \varphi_{st}^+$ belong to $\mathcal{E}_{2a} \cap \mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ by R. Rochberg’s theorem above. From theorem by R. Torres we see that $e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}^- \in \mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})$ and $e^{-2iax} \varphi_{st}^+ \in \mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})$ with control of the norms. Now observe that $e^{4iax} = 1$ and $e^{2iax} \varphi_{st} = e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}^- + e^{-2iax} \varphi_{st}^+$ on \mathbb{Z}_{4a} , hence $e^{2iax} \varphi_{st} \in \mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})$.

Conversely, assume that the restriction of $e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}$ to \mathbb{Z}_{4a} is in $\mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})$. Using theorem by R. Torres, find a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_{2a} \cap \mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ such that its restriction to \mathbb{Z}_{4a} agrees with $e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}$. Put $f^- = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{(-2a, 0)} \mathcal{F} f$ and $f^+ = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{[0, 2a)} \mathcal{F} f$. Observe that $\tilde{\varphi} = e^{-2iax} f^+ + e^{2iax} f^-$ is an entire function of exponential type at most $2a$ coinciding with φ_{st} on \mathbb{Z}_{4a} . Since φ_{st} , $\tilde{\varphi}$ are the first order distributions supported on the finite interval $[-2a, 2a]$, we have $|\tilde{\varphi}(x)| + |\varphi(x)| \leq c + c|x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $c \geq 0$. It follows that the entire function $\frac{\tilde{\varphi} - \varphi}{z}$ of exponential type at most $2a$ is bounded on \mathbb{R} and vanishes on $\mathbb{Z}_{4a} \setminus \{0\}$, hence $\tilde{\varphi} - \varphi_{st} = p \sin(2az)$ for a polynomial p of degree at most 1. Therefore, we have $T_\varphi = T_{\varphi_{st}} = T_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ on PW_a , see Section 2.D in [9]. Since $f^\pm \in \mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$, we can use R. Rochberg’s theorem and conclude that $T_{\tilde{\varphi}} \in \mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$ with control of the norms: $\|T_{\tilde{\varphi}}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}$ is controllable by $\| e^{2iax} \tilde{\varphi}^- \|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})} + \| e^{-2iax} \tilde{\varphi}^+ \|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq c_p \|f\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \tilde{c}_p \| e^{2iax} \varphi_{st} \|_{\mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})}$. \square

3. Reproducing kernel decomposition of standard symbols

In this section we show that the standard symbol of a Toeplitz operator on PW_a from class \mathcal{S}^p could be represented as a linear combination

of normalized reproducing kernels of PW_{2a} with coefficients c_k such that $\sum |c_k|^p < \infty$. We consider only the case $0 < p \leq 1$. Proposition 3.1 below is a corrected version of Theorem 5.3 in [9]. In the original statement the author of [9] forgot to normalize the exponentials in formula (5.6) of [9]. More importantly, he used the fact that the Fourier multiplier $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{[0,1]}\mathcal{F}f$ is bounded on $\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$. This is not the case for $p = 1$. Here is a more accurate implementation of the ideas from [9].

Let ψ be a bounded function on the real line \mathbb{R} . Consider the standard Hardy space H^2 in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } \lambda > 0\}$ of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Denote by H^2_- the anti-analytic subspace $\{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \bar{f} \in H^2\}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Recall that the classical Hankel operator $H_\psi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2_-$ is defined by

$$H_\psi : f \mapsto P_-(\psi f), \quad f \in H^2,$$

where P_- denotes the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to H^2_- . The operator H_ψ is completely determined by its standard anti-analytic symbol $\psi_{st} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{(-\infty,0)}\mathcal{F}\psi$. The latter means that $H_\psi f = H_{\psi_{st}} f$ for all $f \in H^2$ such that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |xf(x)| < \infty$. Take a positive number $\varepsilon > 0$ and define the sets $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^+, \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^-$ by

$$\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^\pm = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda = (1 + \varepsilon)^m(\varepsilon x \pm i); \quad x, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$, let $k_\lambda = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{z-\lambda}$ denote the reproducing kernel of H^2 at λ .

THEOREM (R. Rochberg [8]). — *There exists a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $H_\psi \in \mathcal{S}^p(H^2)$ if and only if $\psi_{st} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^+} c_\lambda \frac{\bar{k}_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|^2}$, where $\sum |c_\lambda|^p$ is finite and the infimum of $\sum |c_\lambda|^p$ over all possible representations of ψ_{st} in this form is comparable to $\|H_\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p$ with constants depending only on $p \in (0, \infty)$.*

Remark that for $p \in (0, 1]$ the series defining ψ_{st} in the theorem above converges absolutely to a bounded function on \mathbb{R} , while for $p > 1$ the convergence holds only in the Besov space $\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ (one need to extract constant terms from every summand to get the convergent series, see discussion in [8]). In order to prove an analogous result for Toeplitz operators on the Paley–Wiener space, let us consider the sets

$$\mathcal{U}_{\eta a, \varepsilon}^\pm = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^\pm : |\text{Im } \lambda| > \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta a} \right\}, \quad \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon} = \mathcal{U}_{\eta a, \varepsilon}^- \cup \mathbb{Z}_{\eta a} \cup \mathcal{U}_{\eta a, \varepsilon}^+.$$

Here $\mathbb{Z}_{\eta a} = \{\frac{2\pi}{\eta a}k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Next, for $a > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, denote by $\rho_{a, \lambda}$ the reproducing kernel of the space PW_a at the point λ . Recall that

$$\rho_{a, \lambda} : z \mapsto \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin a(z - \bar{\lambda})}{z - \bar{\lambda}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We are going to prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. — *Let $a > 0$ and let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. There exist $\varepsilon > 0$, $\eta > 1$ such that $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$ if and only if $\varphi_{st} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} c_\lambda \frac{\rho_{2a, \lambda}}{\|\rho_{a, \lambda}\|^2}$, where $\sum_\lambda |c_\lambda|^p$ is finite and the infimum of $\sum |c_\lambda|^p$ over all possible representations of φ_{st} in this form is comparable to $\|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p$ with constants depending only on $p \in (0, 1]$.*

We will show how to reduce Proposition 3.1 to the above theorem for Hankel operators using a splitting of the standard symbol into three pieces: analytic, anti-analytic and a piece with “small” Fourier support.

The following two results for $0 < p \leq 1$ are consequences of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 from [5]. The range $1 \leq p < \infty$ has been treated earlier in [9], see also Section 2 in [10].

LEMMA 3.2. — *Let $a > 0$ and let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. There exist bounded functions φ_ℓ , φ_c , and φ_r such that $T_\varphi = T_{\varphi_\ell} + T_{\varphi_c} + T_{\varphi_r}$ on PW_a ,*

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\varphi_\ell \subset [-4a, -\frac{a}{2}], \quad \text{supp } \mathcal{F}\varphi_c \subset [-a, a], \quad \text{supp } \mathcal{F}\varphi_r \subset [\frac{a}{2}, 4a],$$

and we have $\|T_{\varphi_s}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \leq c_p \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}$ for every $s = \ell, c, r$ for $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$. Here c_p is a constant depending only on p .

LEMMA 3.3. — *Let $a > 0$ and let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\text{supp } \hat{\varphi} \subset [-a, a]$. Then $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$ if and only if $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, in which case $\|\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ is comparable to $\|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}$ with constants depending only on p .*

Proof of Proposition 3.1. — Let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\varphi_{st} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{(-2a, 2a)}\mathcal{F}\varphi$ be the standard symbol of the operator T_φ on PW_a . Then $T_\varphi = T_{\varphi_{st}}$, see Section 2.D in [9]. Suppose that $\varphi_{st} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} c_\lambda \frac{\rho_{2a, \lambda}}{\|\rho_{a, \lambda}\|^2}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $\eta > 0$, and some coefficients c_λ such that $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} |c_\lambda|^p < \infty$. It follows from the estimate

$$\frac{|\rho_{2a, \lambda}(z)|}{\|\rho_{a, \lambda}\|^2} \leq c e^{2a|\text{Im } z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

that this series converges absolutely to an entire function of exponential type at most $2a$ bounded on the real line \mathbb{R} . By triangle inequality (see, e.g., Theorem A1.1 in [6]), we have

$$\|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p = \|T_{\varphi_{st}}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p \leq \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} |c_\lambda|^p \right) \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|T_{\varphi_\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p,$$

where we denoted $\varphi_\lambda = \frac{\rho_{2a, \lambda}}{\|\rho_{a, \lambda}\|^2}$. Take $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For every $f, g \in \text{PW}_a$ we have

$$(T_{\rho_{2a, \lambda}} f, g) = (f \bar{g}, \rho_{2a, \bar{\lambda}}) = f(\bar{\lambda}) \cdot \overline{g(\bar{\lambda})} = (f, \rho_{a, \bar{\lambda}})(\rho_{a, \lambda}, g).$$

It follows that the operator T_{φ_λ} has rank one and $\|T_{\varphi_\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} = 1$. Hence T_φ belongs to $\mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$ and $\|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p \leq \sum_\lambda |c_\lambda|^p$.

Now let φ be a bounded function on \mathbb{R} such that $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$. We want to show that the standard symbol $\varphi_{st} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{(-2a,2a)}\mathcal{F}\varphi$ of T_φ can be represented in the form

$$\varphi_{st} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} c_\lambda \frac{\rho_{2a, \lambda}}{\|\rho_{a, \lambda}\|^2}$$

for some positive numbers ε, η depending only on p and a sequence $\{c_\lambda\}$ such that $\sum_\lambda |c_\lambda|^p$ is comparable to $\|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p$. By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to consider separately the following three cases:

- (1) $\text{supp } \hat{\varphi} \subset (-\infty, 0]$;
- (2) $\text{supp } \hat{\varphi} \subset [-a, a]$;
- (3) $\text{supp } \hat{\varphi} \subset [0, +\infty)$.

Let us treat the third case first. Denote by $M_{e^{-iax}}$ the operator of multiplication by e^{-iax} on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\text{supp } \hat{\varphi} \subset [0, +\infty)$, we have

$$H_{e^{-2iax} \varphi} = M_{e^{-iax}} T_\varphi P_a M_{e^{-iax}},$$

where $H_{e^{-2iax} \varphi} : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ is the Hankel operator with symbol $\psi = e^{-2iax} \varphi$. In particular, we have $\|H_\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \leq \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}$. By Rochberg’s Theorem above, the anti-analytic function $\psi_{st} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{(-\infty, 0)}\mathcal{F}e^{-2iax} \varphi$ admits the following representation:

$$\psi_{st} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^+} c_\lambda \frac{\overline{k_\lambda}}{\|k_\lambda\|^2},$$

where $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^+} |c_\lambda|^p$ is comparable to $\|H_\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ does not depend on ψ . This gives us decomposition for φ_{st} :

$$\varphi_{st} = e^{2iax} \psi_{st} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^+} c_\lambda \frac{e^{2iax} \overline{k_\lambda}}{\|k_\lambda\|^2} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^+} c_\lambda \frac{P_{2a}(e^{2iax} \overline{k_\lambda})}{\|k_\lambda\|^2},$$

where P_{2a} denotes the orthogonal projection in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to PW_{2a} . It is easy to see that $P_{2a}(e^{2iax} \overline{k_\lambda}) = e^{2ia\lambda} \rho_{2a, \bar{\lambda}}$ and $\|\rho_{a, \bar{\lambda}}\|^2 \leq 2e^{2a \text{Im } \lambda} \cdot \|k_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$, hence

$$\varphi_{st} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^-} c_\lambda^- \beta_\lambda \frac{\rho_{2a, \lambda}}{\|\rho_{a, \lambda}\|^2}$$

for some complex numbers β_λ such that $\sup_\lambda |\beta_\lambda| \leq 2$. Next, in the case where $\text{supp } \varphi \subset (-\infty, 0]$ we can consider the adjoint operator $T_\varphi^* = T_{\varphi_{st}^*}$

with the standard symbol $\varphi_{st}^* : z \mapsto \overline{\varphi_{st}(\bar{z})}$ and conclude that in this situation

$$\varphi_{st} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^+} \overline{c_\lambda \beta_\lambda} \frac{\rho_{2a,\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\lambda}\|^2}.$$

Now let $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-a, a]$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $\varphi \in \text{PW}_{2a}$ and Plancherel–Polya theorem [7] yields the following decomposition:

$$\varphi = \varphi_{st} = \frac{\pi}{2a} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{2a}} f(\lambda) \rho_{2a,\lambda}, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{2a}} |f(\lambda)|^p \leq c_p a^p \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p,$$

where the constant c_p depends only on p . Put $\Lambda_\varepsilon = \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^+ \cup \mathbb{Z}_{2a} \cup \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^-$. To summarize, we have proved that for every bounded function φ on \mathbb{R} such that $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$ there are coefficients c_λ , $\lambda \in \Lambda_\varepsilon$, such that

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi_{st} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\varepsilon} c_\lambda \frac{\rho_{2a,\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\lambda}\|^2}, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\varepsilon} |c_\lambda|^p \leq c_p \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p.$$

It remains to show that the set Λ_ε and coefficients c_λ in this decomposition could be replaced by the set $\Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}$ and some new coefficients c_λ satisfying the second estimate in (3.1). To this end, for every point $\lambda \in \Lambda_\varepsilon$ denote by ζ_λ the nearest point to λ in $\Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon} \subset \Lambda_\varepsilon$, where $\eta = 2^k$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a positive integer number that will be specified later. Consider the function

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{(1)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\varepsilon} c_\lambda \frac{\rho_{2a,\zeta_\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\zeta_\lambda}\|^2} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} \tilde{c}_\lambda^{(1)} \frac{\rho_{2a,\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\lambda}\|^2}, \quad \tilde{c}_\lambda^{(1)} = \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda_\varepsilon, \zeta_\nu = \lambda} c_\nu.$$

Note that $\tilde{\varphi}^{(1)}$ has the required representation and $\sum |\tilde{c}_\lambda^{(1)}|^p \leq \sum |c_\lambda|^p$. Moreover, we have $\|T_\varphi - T_{\tilde{\varphi}^{(1)}}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\varepsilon \setminus \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} |c_\lambda|^p \cdot \|T_{\varphi_\lambda} - T_{\varphi_{\zeta_\lambda}}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p$. On the other hand, the quasi-norm in \mathcal{S}_p of the rank two operator

$$T_{\varphi_\lambda} - T_{\varphi_{\zeta_\lambda}} = \frac{\rho_{a,\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\lambda}\|} \otimes \frac{\rho_{a,\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\lambda}\|} - \frac{\rho_{a,\zeta_\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\zeta_\lambda}\|} \otimes \frac{\rho_{a,\zeta_\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\zeta_\lambda}\|}$$

does not exceed

$$2^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \frac{\rho_{a,\zeta_\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\zeta_\lambda}\|} - \frac{\rho_{a,\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\lambda}\|} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\text{Re } \rho_{a,\zeta_\lambda}(\lambda)}{\|\rho_{a,\zeta_\lambda}\| \cdot \|\rho_{a,\lambda}\|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $|\zeta_\lambda - \lambda| \leq \frac{2\pi}{\eta a}$ for all λ by construction, one can choose a large number $\eta = 2^k$ so that $\|T_\varphi - T_{\tilde{\varphi}^{(1)}}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p \leq \frac{1}{2} \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p$. Clearly, this choice of η does not depend on φ and a . Iterating the process, we see that there are functions

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} \tilde{c}_\lambda^{(n)} \frac{\rho_{2a,\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a,\lambda}\|^2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

such that $\|T_\varphi - T_{\tilde{\varphi}^{(1)}} - \dots - T_{\tilde{\varphi}^{(n)}}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p$, $\sum_{n,\lambda} |\tilde{c}_\lambda^{(n)}|^p \leq c_p^p \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p$. Since $\mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$ is a complete quasi-normed space and a Toeplitz operator on PW_a is zero if and only if its standard symbol is zero (see Section 2.D in [9]), this gives us the required decomposition of φ_{st} with coefficients $c_\lambda = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{c}_\lambda^{(n)}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}$. □

4. Interpolation of discrete Besov sequences

Denote by $\text{PW}_{[0,a]}$ the Paley–Wiener space of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with Fourier spectrum in the interval $[0, a]$. Recall that the reproducing kernel $k_{a,\lambda}$ of the space $\text{PW}_{[0,a]}$ at a point $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ has the form

$$k_{a,\lambda}(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1 - e^{ia(z-\bar{\lambda})}}{z - \bar{\lambda}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{Z}_a)$ the set of functions on \mathbb{Z}_a tending to zero at infinity. Our aim in this section is to prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.1. — *Let $0 < p \leq 1$, let Λ be the set $\Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}$ from Proposition 3.1, and let $F = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\lambda \frac{k_{a,\lambda}}{\|k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda}\|^2}$ for some $c_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^p < \infty$. Then the restriction of F to \mathbb{Z}_a belongs to $\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc}) \cap \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{Z}_a)$. Conversely, for every function $f \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})$ there exists the unique function F as above and a polynomial q of degree at most $[\frac{1}{p}]$ such that $f = q + F$ on \mathbb{Z}_a . Moreover, the infimum of $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^p$ over all possible representations of $F = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\lambda \frac{k_{a,\lambda}}{\|k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda}\|^2}$ in this form is comparable to $\|f\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\text{osc}, a)}^p$ with constants depending only on p .*

The proof of Proposition 4.1 is based on the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. — *We have $\|k_{a,\lambda}\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})} \leq c_p \|k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda}\|^2$ for every $a > 0$, $0 < p \leq 1$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where the constant c_p depends only on p .*

Proof. — At first, consider the points λ in the support of μ_a . For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_a$ we have

$$k_{a,\lambda}(x) = \begin{cases} \|k_{a,\lambda}\|^2, & x = \lambda; \\ 0, & x \in \text{supp } \mu_a \setminus \{\lambda\}. \end{cases}$$

Taking $P_I = 0$ for intervals $I \in \mathcal{I}_a$ in the definition of $\text{osc}(k_{a,\lambda}, I, \mu_a, [\frac{1}{p}])$, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_{a,\lambda}\|_{\mathbb{D}_p(a,\text{osc})}^p &\leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_a} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_a(I)} \int_I |k_{a,\lambda}(x)| \, d\mu_a(x) \right)^p \\ &= \|k_{a,\lambda}\|^{2p} \mu_a(\{\lambda\})^p \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_a} \frac{\chi_I(\lambda)}{\mu_a(I)^p} \\ &\leq c_p \|k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda}\|^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let λ be an arbitrary point in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{supp } \mu_a$. Then $k_{a,\lambda}(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1-e^{-ia\lambda}}{x-\lambda}$ for all $x \in \text{supp } \mu_a$. Thus, we need to estimate an oscillation of the function $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x-\lambda}$ on the lattice \mathbb{Z}_a . Divide collection \mathcal{I}_a from Section 1 into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{a,1} &= \{I \in \mathcal{I}_a : I = I_{a,j,k}, \text{Re } \lambda \notin I_{a,j,k-1} \cup I_{a,j,k} \cup I_{a,j,k+1}\}, \\ \mathcal{I}_{a,2} &= \mathcal{I}_a \setminus \mathcal{I}_{a,1}. \end{aligned}$$

For an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,1}$ with center x_c , define the polynomial P_I of degree $[\frac{1}{p}]$ by

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{x-\bar{\lambda}} - P_I(x) = \frac{(x-x_c)^{[\frac{1}{p}]+1}}{(x-\bar{\lambda})(\bar{\lambda}-x_c)^{[\frac{1}{p}]+1}}.$$

Using this polynomial, we can estimate

$$(4.2) \quad \text{osc} \left(\frac{1}{x-\bar{\lambda}}, I, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right) \leq \sup_{x \in I} \left| \frac{(x-x_c)^{[\frac{1}{p}]+1}}{(x-\bar{\lambda})(\bar{\lambda}-x_c)^{[\frac{1}{p}]+1}} \right| \leq \frac{|I|^{[\frac{1}{p}]+1}}{\text{dist}(\lambda, I)^{[\frac{1}{p}]+2}},$$

where $|I|$ denotes the length of I . Since $I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,1}$, we have $\text{dist}(\lambda, I) \geq |I|$, hence

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,1}} \text{osc} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}-x}, I, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right)^p \leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,1}} \frac{1}{|I|^p} \leq c_p \cdot a^p.$$

We also will need a more accurate estimate for the left hand side of the inequality above in the case where $|\text{Im } \lambda|$ is large. For every $j \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{I}_{a,1}^j$

be the set of intervals $I_{a,j,k}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, belonging to the family $\mathcal{I}_{a,1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,1}^j} \left(\frac{|I|^{[\frac{1}{p}]+1}}{\text{dist}(\lambda, I)^{[\frac{1}{p}]+2}} \right)^p &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,1}^j} \left(\frac{|I|^{[\frac{1}{p}]+1}}{(|\text{Im } \lambda|^2 + \text{dist}(\text{Re } \lambda, I)^2)^{([\frac{1}{p}]+2)/2}} \right)^p \\ &\leq c_p \left(\frac{a}{2^j} \right)^p \sum_{m \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{a}{2^j} \right)^2 |\text{Im } \lambda|^2 + m^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}[\frac{1}{p}]p+p} \\ &\leq c_p \left(\frac{a}{2^j} \right)^p \gamma_j^{1-[\frac{1}{p}]p-2p}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_j = \max(1, \frac{a}{2^j} |\text{Im } \lambda|)$. Indeed, the last inequality follows from elementary estimates

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1-2p} < \infty, \quad \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(r^2 + x^2)^s} \leq c_s r^{1-2s},$$

where $r > 0$, and the constant c_s depends on $s > 1/2$. Put

$$N_\lambda = \begin{cases} [\log_2(a |\text{Im } \lambda|)], & \text{if } a |\text{Im } \lambda| \geq 2, \\ 0, & \text{if } a |\text{Im } \lambda| < 2. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\tilde{p} = -1 + [\frac{1}{p}]p + p$ is a positive number. It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,1}} \text{osc} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - x}, I, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right)^p &\leq c_p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a}{2^j} \right)^p \gamma_j^{1-[\frac{1}{p}]p-2p} \\ &\leq c_p a^{-\tilde{p}} |\text{Im } \lambda|^{-\tilde{p}-p} \sum_{j=0}^{N_\lambda} 2^{\tilde{p}j} + c_p \sum_{j=N_\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{a^p}{2^{pj}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_p}{|\text{Im } \lambda|^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last estimate with (4.3), we get

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,1}} \text{osc} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - x}, I, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right)^p \leq c_p \min \left(a^p, \frac{1}{|\text{Im } \lambda|^p} \right).$$

Now consider the family $\mathcal{I}_{a,2} = \mathcal{I}_{a,21} \cup \mathcal{I}_{a,22}$,

$$\mathcal{I}_{a,21} = \{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,2} : |I| \leq |\text{Im } \lambda|\}, \quad \mathcal{I}_{a,22} = \{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,2} : |I| > |\text{Im } \lambda|\}.$$

For an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,21}$ we use the polynomial P_I defined by (4.1). Then formula (4.2) implies

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,21}} \text{osc} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - x}, I, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right)^p \leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,21}} \left(\frac{|I|^{[\frac{1}{p}]+1}}{|\text{Im } \lambda|^{[\frac{1}{p}]+2}} \right)^p \leq \frac{c_p}{|\text{Im } \lambda|^p}.$$

Note that if $|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| < \frac{2\pi}{a}$, the set $\mathcal{I}_{a,21}$ is empty. This shows that we can write

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,21}} \operatorname{osc} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda} - x}, I, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right)^p \leq c_p \min \left(a^p, \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^p} \right).$$

For $I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,22}$ we put $P_I = 0$. Denote by x_0 the nearest point to λ in $\operatorname{supp} \mu_a$, and set $I' = I \setminus \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - \operatorname{Re} \lambda| < \pi/a\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu_a(I)} \int_I \left| \frac{1}{x - \bar{\lambda}} \right| d\mu_a(x) &\leq \frac{\mu_a(\{x_0\})}{\mu_a(I)|x_0 - \bar{\lambda}|} + \frac{1}{\mu_a(I)} \int_{I'} \frac{dx}{|x - \bar{\lambda}|} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{a|I||x_0 - \bar{\lambda}|} + \frac{c}{|I|} \int_{\pi a^{-1}}^{|I|} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^2 + |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{a|I||x_0 - \bar{\lambda}|} + \frac{c}{|I|} \min \left(\log \frac{a|I|}{\pi}, \log^+ \frac{|I|}{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using estimates $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,2}} \frac{1}{|I|^p} \leq c_p a^p$, $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,2}} \left(\frac{\log a|I|}{|I|} \right)^p \leq c_p a^p$, and

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,22}} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \log \frac{|I|}{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|} \right)^p \leq \frac{c_p}{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^p},$$

we see that

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{a,22}} \operatorname{osc} \left(\frac{c_p}{\bar{\lambda} - x}, I, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right)^p \leq \frac{c_p}{|x_0 - \bar{\lambda}|^p} + c_p \min \left(a^p, \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^p} \right).$$

Eventually, we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{1}{x - \bar{\lambda}} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \operatorname{osc})}^p \leq \frac{c_p}{|x_0 - \bar{\lambda}|^p} + c_p \min \left(a^p, \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^p} \right).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_{a,\lambda}\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \operatorname{osc})}^p &\leq c_p (1 + e^{-a \operatorname{Im} \lambda})^p \min \left(a^p, \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^p} \right) + c_p \left| \frac{1 - e^{-ia\bar{\lambda}}}{x_0 - \lambda} \right|^p \\ &\leq c_p \|k_{\frac{a}{2}, \lambda}\|^{2p}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired estimate. □

Let $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all continuous functions on \mathbb{R} tending to zero at infinity. For completeness, we include the proof of the following known lemma.

LEMMA 4.3. — *Let $0 < p \leq 1$, $a > 0$. For every function $f \in \mathbb{B}_p(\operatorname{osc}, a)$ there exists a function $F \in \mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ such that $F = f$ on \mathbb{Z}_a , and*

$$\|F\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq c_p \|f\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\operatorname{osc}, a)},$$

where the constant c_p depends only p .

Proof. — For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ put $I_k = [\frac{2\pi}{a}[\frac{1}{p}]k, \frac{2\pi}{a}[\frac{1}{p}](k+1)]$. Interiors of intervals I_k are disjoint and every set $I_k \cap \mathbb{Z}_a$ contains $[\frac{1}{p}] + 1$ points. On every I_k define the polynomial P_k of degree at most $[\frac{1}{p}]$ such that $P_k(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in I_k \cap \mathbb{Z}_a$. Next, set $F(x) = P_k(x)$ for $x \in I_k$. We claim that the function F is in $\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$. To check this, let us take an interval $J_{j,k} = [\frac{2\pi}{a}[\frac{1}{p}]k \cdot 2^j, \frac{2\pi}{a}[\frac{1}{p}](k+1) \cdot 2^j]$ with $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the case where $j < 0$ we clearly have $\text{osc}(F, J_{j,k}, m, [\frac{1}{p}]) = 0$ because the function F is a polynomial of degree at most $[\frac{1}{p}]$ on I . Hence, we can assume that $J = J_{j,k} = I_\ell \cup \dots \cup I_{\ell+N}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $N \geq 1$. Consider the polynomial P_J of degree at most $[\frac{1}{p}]$ such that

$$\text{osc} \left(f, J, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{\mu_a(J)} \int_J |f(x) - P_J(x)| \, d\mu_a(x).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|J|} \int_J |F(x) - P_J(x)| \, dx &= \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{s=0}^N \int_{I_{\ell+s}} |P_{\ell+s}(x) - P_J(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{c_p}{|J|} \sum_{s=0}^N \int_{I_{\ell+s}} |P_{\ell+s}(x) - P_J(x)| \, d\mu_a(x) \leq c_p \text{osc} \left(f, I, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\int_{I_\ell} |P(x)| \, dx \leq c_p \int_{I_\ell} |P(x)| \, d\mu_a(x)$$

for every interval I_ℓ , $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, and every polynomial P of degree at most $[\frac{1}{p}]$. It follows that

$$\|F\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R}, m, \text{osc})}^p \leq c_p^p \sum_{j,k} \text{osc} \left(f, J_{j,k}, \mu_a, \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \right)^p \leq c_p^p \|f\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\text{osc}, a)}^p,$$

and hence F belongs to the space $\mathbb{B}_{p,p}^{1/p}(\mathbb{R}, dx, \text{osc}) = \mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$, as required. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. — Consider a function F of the form

$$F = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\lambda \frac{k_{a,\lambda}}{\|k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda}\|^2}, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^p < \infty.$$

Since $0 < p \leq 1$ and $|k_{a,\lambda}(x)| \leq c \|k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda}\|^2$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the series above converges absolutely to a function from $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$ by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. By Lemma 4.2, the restriction of F to \mathbb{Z}_a (to be denoted by f) is in $\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})$ and $\|f\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})}^p \leq c_p \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^p$ for a constant c_p depending only on p .

Conversely, take $f \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})$ and find a function $\tilde{F} \in \mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\tilde{F} = f$ on \mathbb{Z}_a , see Lemma 4.3. Applying Theorem 2.10 from [8] to analytic and anti-analytic parts of \tilde{F} , we obtain the representation

$$\tilde{F} = q - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} \tilde{c}_\lambda \frac{\text{Im } \lambda}{x - \bar{\lambda}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the coefficients $\tilde{c}_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $\sum |\tilde{c}_\lambda|^p \leq c_p \|\tilde{F}\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{R})}^p$, and q is a polynomial of degree at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{p} \rfloor$. Now consider the function

$$F = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} c_\lambda \frac{k_{\lambda,a}}{\|k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda}\|^2}, \quad c_\lambda = \tilde{c}_\lambda \frac{\text{Im } \lambda \cdot \|k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda}\|^2}{1 - e^{-ia\bar{\lambda}}}.$$

Observe that $|c_\lambda| \leq |\tilde{c}_\lambda|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ and $f = q + F$ on \mathbb{Z}_a . We need to replace the set \mathcal{U}_ε above to the set $\Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}$ from Proposition 3.1. Since $k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda} = e^{\frac{iax}{4}} e^{-\frac{ia\bar{\lambda}}{4}} \rho_{\frac{a}{4},\lambda}$, we have $\|k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda}\|^2 = e^{-\frac{a \text{Im } \lambda}{2}} \|\rho_{\frac{a}{4},\lambda}\|^2$ and

$$e^{-\frac{iax}{2}} F = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} c_\lambda e^{-\frac{ia\bar{\lambda}}{2}} \frac{\rho_{a/2,\lambda}}{\|k_{a,\lambda}\|^2} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} c_\lambda e^{-\frac{ia \text{Re } \lambda}{2}} \frac{\rho_{a/2,\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a/4,\lambda}\|^2}.$$

From the beginning of the proof of Proposition 3.1 we see that the Toeplitz operator on $\text{PW}_{a/4}$ with symbol $e^{-\frac{iax}{2}} F$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_{a/4})$. It follows that

$$e^{-\frac{iax}{2}} F = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} d_\lambda \frac{\rho_{a/2,\lambda}}{\|\rho_{a/4,\lambda}\|^2}, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} |d_\lambda|^p \leq c_p \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} |c_\lambda|^p.$$

This yields the required representation for F ,

$$F = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} c_\lambda \frac{k_{a,\lambda}}{\|k_{\frac{a}{2},\lambda}\|^2}, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} |c_\lambda|^p \leq c_p \|f\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})},$$

with some new coefficients c_λ . Since $\sum_\lambda |c_\lambda| < \infty$, the function $G = e^{-\frac{iax}{2}} F$ is an entire function of exponential type at most $a/2$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} |G(x)| = 0$. In particular, it is uniquely determined by values on \mathbb{Z}_a . This proves uniqueness in Proposition 4.1. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $0 < p \leq 1$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 ($0 < p \leq 1$). — Let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function on \mathbb{R} such that the operator T_φ is in $\mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_a)$, and let $\varphi_{st} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{(-2a, 2a)} \mathcal{F} \varphi$ be the standard symbol of T_φ . By Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 4.1, we have $e^{2iax} \varphi_{st} \in \mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})$ and moreover, $\|e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})} \leq c_p \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}$ for a constant c_p depending only on p .

Conversely, assume that the restriction of the function $e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}$ to \mathbb{Z}_{4a} belongs to the space $\mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})$. By Proposition 4.1, there exists a function F and a polynomial q of degree at most $\lceil \frac{1}{p} \rceil$ such that $q + F = e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}$ on \mathbb{Z}_{4a} and

$$(5.1) \quad F = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} c_\lambda \frac{k_{4a, \lambda}}{\|k_{2a, \lambda}\|^2} = e^{2iax} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\eta a, \varepsilon}} c_\lambda e^{-2ia \operatorname{Re} \lambda} \frac{\rho_{2a, \lambda}}{\|\rho_{a, \lambda}\|^2}$$

for some $c_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum |c_\lambda|^p \leq c_p \|e^{2iax} \varphi_{st}\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(4a, \text{osc})}^p$. We claim that $T_{\tilde{\varphi}} = T_\varphi$ on PW_a , where $\tilde{\varphi} = e^{-2iax}(q + F)$. Indeed, the entire function $z \mapsto \tilde{\varphi} - \varphi_{st}$ has exponential type at most $2a$, vanishes on \mathbb{Z}_{4a} , and satisfies a polynomial estimate on \mathbb{R} . Hence $\tilde{\varphi} - \varphi_{st} = \tilde{q} \sin(2az)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and a polynomial \tilde{q} . Thus, we have $T_\varphi = T_{\varphi_{st}} = T_{\tilde{\varphi}}$. It remains to use formula (5.1) and Proposition 3.1. The theorem is proved. \square

6. Discrete Hilbert transform commutators. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall that $\mu_a = \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_a} \delta_x$ is the scalar multiple of the counting measure on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}_a = \{ \frac{2\pi}{a} k, k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$. The discrete Hilbert transform H_{μ_a} on $L^2(\mu_a)$ is defined by

$$H_{\mu_a} : f \mapsto \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_a} \frac{f(t)}{x - t} d\mu_a(t),$$

and its commutator $C_\psi = M_\psi H_{\mu_a} - H_{\mu_a} M_\psi$ with the multiplication operator $M_\psi : f \mapsto \psi f$ on $L^2(\mu_a)$ by

$$C_\psi : f \mapsto \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_a} \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(t)}{x - t} f(t) d\mu_a(t), \quad x \in \operatorname{supp} \mu_a.$$

It is well-known that the operator H_{μ_a} admits the bounded extension from the dense subset \mathcal{G} of $L^2(\mu_a)$ of finitely supported bounded functions to the whole space $L^2(\mu_a)$. A possible way to define the operator C_ψ on $L^2(\mu_a)$ for any symbol ψ on \mathbb{Z}_a is to consider its bilinear form on elements from the dense subset $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ of $L^2(\mu_a) \times L^2(\mu_a)$. We will also deal with the operators $\tilde{C}_\psi : L^2(\mu_{\frac{a}{2}}) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_{\frac{a}{2}})$ defined by

$$\tilde{C}_\psi : f \mapsto \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_a} \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(t)}{x - t} f(t) d\mu_{\frac{a}{2}}(t), \quad x \in \operatorname{supp} \nu_{\frac{a}{2}},$$

where the measure $\nu_{\frac{a}{2}} = \frac{4\pi}{a} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{a}{2}}} \delta_{x + \frac{2\pi}{a}}$ is supported on the lattice $\frac{2\pi}{a} + \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{a}{2}}$. It can be shown that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the operator $C_\psi : L^2(\mu_a) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_a)$ is in \mathcal{S}^p if and only if the operator $\tilde{C}_\psi : L^2(\mu_{\frac{a}{2}}) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_{\frac{a}{2}})$ is in \mathcal{S}^p . As we

will see, for $0 < p < 1$ we may have $C_\psi \notin \mathcal{S}^p(L^2(\mu_a))$ for a function ψ on \mathbb{Z}_a such that the operator $\tilde{C}_\psi : L^2(\mu_{\frac{a}{2}}) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_{\frac{a}{2}})$ is in \mathcal{S}^p .

The discrete Hilbert transform commutators were investigated in details in paper [9]. In particular, it was proved in [9] that C_ψ is bounded on $L^2(\mu_a)$ if and only if its symbol ψ belongs to the discrete BMO(\mathbb{Z}_a) space of functions f on \mathbb{Z}_a such that $\sup_{I \in \mathcal{I}_a} \text{osc}(f, I, \mu_a, 0) < \infty$, where $\mathcal{I}_a = \{I_{a,j,k}, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0\}$ is the collection of intervals defined in Section 1. Another result from [9] says that C_ψ is compact on $L^2(\mu_a)$ if and only if $\psi \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{Z}_a)$, that is, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} \text{osc}(\psi, I_{a,j,k}, \mu_a, 0) = 0$ for every $j \geq 0$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \text{osc}(\psi, J_j, \mu_a, 0) = 0$ for any sequence of intervals $J_j \subset \mathbb{R}$ of length j with common center. Finally, the operator C_ψ belongs to $\mathcal{S}^p(L^2(\mathbb{Z}_a))$ for $1 < p < \infty$ if and only if $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})$, moreover, we have $C_\psi \in \mathcal{S}^1(L^2(\mu_a))$ for every $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_1(a, \text{osc})$. See Theorem 6.2 in [9] and Theorem 4 in [12] for the proof of these results. It was an open question stated in Section 7 of [9] whether $C_\psi \in \mathcal{S}^p(L^2(\mu_a))$ is equivalent to $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})$ for all positive p (in particular, for $p = 1$). Theorem 1.2 gives the affirmative answer to this question for $p = 1$. On the other hand, for $0 < p < 1$ we show that there exists symbols $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})$ such that $C_\psi \notin \mathcal{S}^p(L^2(\mu_a))$. In fact, the following modification of Theorem 1.2 holds true.

THEOREM 6.2. — *Let $0 < p \leq 1$. The operator $\tilde{C}_\psi : L^2(\mu_{\frac{a}{2}}) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_{\frac{a}{2}})$ belongs to the class \mathcal{S}^p if and only if $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_a)$. Moreover, the quasi-norms $\|\tilde{C}_\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}$ and $\|\psi\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})}$ are comparable with constants depending only on p .*

For the proof we need a result on unitary equivalence of discrete Hilbert transform commutators to some truncated Hankel operators. Given a positive number $a > 0$, we denote by $\text{PW}_{[-a,0]}$ the Paley–Wiener space of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with Fourier spectrum in the interval $[-a, 0]$. Define the truncated Hankel operator $\Gamma_\psi : \text{PW}_{[0,a]} \rightarrow \text{PW}_{[-a,0]}$ with symbol $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\Gamma_\psi : f \mapsto P_{[-a,0]}(\psi f), \quad f \in \text{PW}_{[0,a]},$$

where $P_{[-a,0]}$ stands for the projection in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to the subspace $\text{PW}_{[-a,0]}$. It is easy to see that Γ_ψ is completely determined by its standard symbol $\psi_{st,2a} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{(-2a,0)}\mathcal{F}\psi$, that is, $\Gamma_\psi f = \Gamma_{\psi_{st,a}} f$ for all functions $f \in \text{PW}_{[0,a]}$ such that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |xf(x)| < \infty$. Clearly, such functions form a dense subset in $\text{PW}_{[0,a]}$.

It is known that the embedding operator $V_{\mu_a} : \text{PW}_{[0,a]} \rightarrow L^2(\mu_a)$ taking a function $f \in \text{PW}_{[0,a]}$ into its restriction to \mathbb{Z}_a is unitary. The same is true

for the embedding operator $\tilde{V}_{\nu_a} : \text{PW}_{[-a,0]} \rightarrow L^2(\nu_a)$. A general version of the following result is Lemma 4.2 of [1].

LEMMA 6.1. — *Let $a > 0$, $0 < p \leq 1$, and let $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_{2a})$. Then there exists an entire function Ψ such that $\Psi = \psi$ on \mathbb{Z}_{2a} , $|F(x)| \leq c \log(e + |x|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and the Fourier spectrum of F is contained in the interval $[-2a, 0]$. Moreover, we have*

$$(6.1) \quad \tilde{V}_{\nu_a} \Gamma_\Psi V_{\mu_a}^{-1} = -i\tilde{C}_\psi.$$

for the operators $\Gamma_\Psi : \text{PW}_{[0,a]} \rightarrow \text{PW}_{[-a,0]}$ and $\tilde{C}_\psi : L^2(\mu_a) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_a)$.

Proof. — Existence of such a function Ψ follows from a general theory of entire functions, see, e.g., Theorem 1 in Section 21.1 of [2] and Problem 1 after its proof. In order to prove formula (6.1), take a pair of functions $f \in L^2(\mu_a)$, $g \in L^2(\nu_a)$ with finite support. Consider the functions F, G in $\text{PW}_{[0,a]}$ such that $F = V_{\mu_a}^{-1}f$, $\bar{G} = \tilde{V}_{\nu_a}^{-1}g$. It is easy to see that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Psi FG| dx < \infty$ and hence the bilinear form of Γ_Ψ is correctly defined on functions F, \bar{G} . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{V}_{\nu_a} \Gamma_\Psi V_{\mu_a}^{-1} f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= (\Gamma_\Psi F, \bar{G})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = (FG, \bar{\Psi})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= (V_{\mu_{2a}} FG, V_{\mu_{2a}} \bar{\Psi})_{L^2(\mu_{2a})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (Fg, \bar{\psi})_{L^2(\nu_a)} + \frac{1}{2} (fG, \bar{\psi})_{L^2(\mu_a)}. \end{aligned}$$

For every point $x \in \frac{\pi}{a} + \mathbb{Z}_a$ we have

$$F(x) = (V_{\mu_a} F, V_{\mu_a} k_{x,a})_{L^2(\mu_a)} = \frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t)}{t-x} d\mu_a(t), \quad x \in \frac{\pi}{a} + \mathbb{Z}_a.$$

Analogously, $G(t) = \frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\bar{g}(x)}{x-t} d\nu_a(x)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_a$. Using these formulas, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{V}_{\nu_a} \Gamma_\Psi V_{\mu_a}^{-1} f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(t)}{x-t} f(t) \overline{g(x)} d\mu_a(t) d\nu_a(x) \\ &= -i(\tilde{C}_\psi f, g)_{L^2(\nu_a)}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows. □

Proof of Theorem 6.2. — Let ψ be a function on the lattice \mathbb{Z}_a such that the operator $\tilde{C}_\psi : L^2(\nu_{\frac{a}{2}}) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_{\frac{a}{2}})$ belongs to the class \mathcal{S}^p . Consider the sequence of points $x_k = \frac{2\pi}{a}k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $0 < p \leq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\psi(x_{2k}) - \psi(x_{2k+1})| = \frac{a}{8} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\tilde{C}_\psi \delta_{x_{2k}}, \delta_{x_{2k+1}})_{L^2(\nu_{\frac{a}{2}})}| < \infty.$$

Hence, the function ψ is bounded on \mathbb{Z}_a . Using Lemma 6.1, we can find an entire function Ψ such that $\Psi = \psi$ on \mathbb{Z}_a , $|\Psi(x)| \leq c \log(e + |x|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

\mathbb{R} , the Fourier spectrum of Ψ is contained in $[-a, 0]$, and relation (6.1) holds for the operators $\Gamma_\Psi : \text{PW}_{[0, \frac{a}{2}]} \rightarrow \text{PW}_{[-\frac{a}{2}, 0]}$ and $\tilde{C}_\psi : L^2(\mu_{\frac{a}{2}}) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_{\frac{a}{2}})$. In particular, we have $\Gamma_\Psi \in \mathcal{S}^p$. Denote by M the multiplication operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by the function $e^{\frac{iax}{2}}$. Let $T_{e^{\frac{iax}{2}}_\Psi}$ be the Toeplitz operator on $\text{PW}_{\frac{a}{4}}$ with standard symbol $e^{\frac{iax}{2}}_\Psi$. Observe that

$$(6.2) \quad T_{e^{\frac{iax}{2}}_\Psi} f = M\Gamma_\Psi Mf,$$

for every function $f \in \text{PW}_{\frac{a}{4}}$ such that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |xf(x)| < \infty$. Since M maps unitarily $\text{PW}_{\frac{a}{4}}$ onto $\text{PW}_{[0, \frac{a}{2}]}$ and $\text{PW}_{[-\frac{a}{2}, 0]}$ onto $\text{PW}_{\frac{a}{4}}$, the operator $T_{e^{\frac{iax}{2}}_\Psi}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}^p(\text{PW}_{\frac{a}{4}})$. In particular, there exists a function $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $T_\varphi = T_{e^{\frac{iax}{2}}_\Psi}$ and $\varphi_{st} = e^{\frac{iax}{2}}_\Psi + c_1 e^{-i\frac{a}{2}x} + c_2 e^{i\frac{a}{2}x}$ for some constants c_1, c_2 . Since $e^{\frac{iax}{2}}_\Psi \varphi_{st}$ coincides with $\psi + c_1 + c_2$ on \mathbb{Z}_a , we have $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})$ by Theorem 1.1. Moreover, the quasi-norm $\|\tilde{C}_\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}$ is comparable to $\|\psi\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})}$ with constants depending only on $p \in (0, 1]$.

Conversely, suppose that $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_a)$. Using Lemma 6.1 again, we find an entire function Ψ such that $\Psi = \psi$ on \mathbb{Z}_a , $|\Psi(x)| \leq c \log(e + |x|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the Fourier spectrum of Ψ is contained in $[-a, 0]$, and relation (6.1) holds for the operators $\Gamma_\Psi : \text{PW}_{[0, \frac{a}{2}]} \rightarrow \text{PW}_{[-\frac{a}{2}, 0]}$ and $\tilde{C}_\psi : L^2(\mu_{\frac{a}{2}}) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_{\frac{a}{2}})$. Since $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_a)$, the operators \tilde{C}_ψ and Γ_Ψ are bounded. Let $\Psi_{st,a}$ be the standard symbol of the operator Γ_Ψ . Note that $\Psi_{st,a}(x) = \Psi(x) + q(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_a$ and a polynomial q of degree at most one. In particular, we have $\Psi_{st,a} \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})$. By Theorem 1.1, the operator $T_{e^{\frac{iax}{2}}_\Psi_{st,a}}$ on $\text{PW}_{\frac{a}{4}}$ is in \mathcal{S}^p , hence $\Gamma_\Psi \in \mathcal{S}^p$ by formula (6.2). It follows that the operator \tilde{C}_ψ is in \mathcal{S}^p as well, and, moreover, we have the estimate

$$\|\tilde{C}_\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} = \|\Gamma_\Psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} = \left\| T_{e^{\frac{iax}{2}}_\Psi_{st,a}} \right\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \leq c_p \|\Psi_{st,a}\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})} = c_p \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \text{osc})},$$

for a constant c_p depending only on p . The theorem is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. — Let ψ be a function on the lattice \mathbb{Z}_a such that we have $C_\psi \in \mathcal{S}^1(L^2(\mu_a))$. Then the operator $\tilde{C}_\psi : L^2(\mu_{\frac{a}{2}}) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_{\frac{a}{2}})$ is of trace class as well and $\|\psi\|_{\mathbb{B}_1(a, \text{osc})} \leq c_1 \|\tilde{C}_\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^1(L^2(\mu_a))} \leq c_1 \|C_\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^1(L^2(\mu_a))}$ by Theorem 6.2.

Conversely, suppose that $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_1(a, \text{osc}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_a)$. By Lemma 4.3, we can find a function $\Psi \in \mathbb{B}_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Psi = \psi$ on \mathbb{Z}_a and $\|\Psi\|_{\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbb{R})} \leq c_1 \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{B}_1(\text{osc}, a)}$. Denote $\psi_\lambda : t \mapsto \frac{\text{Im } \lambda}{(t-\lambda)^2}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us apply Theorem 2.10 in [8] to analytic and anti-analytic parts of Ψ : find numbers

c, c_λ such that $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} |c_\lambda| \leq c_1 \|\Psi\|_{\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbb{R})}$ and

$$\psi(x) = \Psi(x) = c + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} c_\lambda \psi_\lambda(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_a.$$

We claim that for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ the commutator C_{ψ_λ} belongs to the trace class and $\|C_{\psi_\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{S}^1} \leq c_1(1+a)$ for a constant c_1 do not depending on λ . Clearly, this will yield the desired estimate $\|C_\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^1} \leq c_1(1+a)\|\psi\|_{\mathbb{B}_1(a, \text{osc})}$. We have

$$\frac{\psi_\lambda(x) - \psi_\lambda(t)}{x - t} = -\frac{|\text{Im } \lambda|^2}{(x - \bar{\lambda})^2(t - \bar{\lambda})} - \frac{|\text{Im } \lambda|^2}{(x - \bar{\lambda})(t - \bar{\lambda})^2}.$$

Denote by K_{ψ_λ} the integral operator on $L^2(\mu_a)$ with kernel $\frac{\psi_\lambda(x) - \psi_\lambda(t)}{x - t}$:

$$(6.3) \quad (K_{\psi_\lambda} f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_a} \frac{\psi_\lambda(x) - \psi_\lambda(t)}{x - t} f(t) dt = (C_{\psi_\lambda} f)(x) + \frac{2|\text{Im } \lambda|^2}{(x - \bar{\lambda})^3} f(x).$$

Observe that the operator K_{ψ_λ} has rank 2 and

$$\|K_{\psi_\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \leq 2|\text{Im } \lambda|^2 \cdot \left\| \frac{1}{(x - \bar{\lambda})^2} \right\|_{L^2(\mu_a)} \left\| \frac{1}{x - \bar{\lambda}} \right\|_{L^2(\mu_a)}.$$

In the case where $\text{dist}(\lambda, \mathbb{Z}_a) \geq \frac{\pi}{2a}$, the last expression could be estimated from above by

$$c_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\text{Im } \lambda| dt}{t^2 + |\text{Im } \lambda|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\text{Im } \lambda|^3 dt}{(t^2 + |\text{Im } \lambda|^2)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = c_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dt}{t^2 + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dt}{(t^2 + 1)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Moreover, the singular numbers of the multiplication operator $f \mapsto \frac{|\text{Im } \lambda|^2}{(x - \bar{\lambda})^3} f$ are precisely $\frac{|\text{Im } \lambda|^2}{|x - \bar{\lambda}|^3}$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}_a$, hence its norm in $\mathcal{S}^1(L^2(\mu_a))$ does not exceed

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_a} \frac{|\text{Im } \lambda|^2}{|x - \bar{\lambda}|^3} \leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_a} \frac{|\text{Im } \lambda|^2}{(x^2 + |\text{Im } \lambda|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq c_1 a$$

for a universal constant c_1 . This tells us that $\|C_{\psi_\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \leq c_1(1+a)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ such that $\text{dist}(\lambda, \mathbb{Z}_a) \geq \frac{\pi}{2a}$. Now consider the case where $\text{dist}(\lambda, \mathbb{Z}_a) \leq \frac{\pi}{2a}$. Let x_λ be the nearest point to λ in the lattice \mathbb{Z}_a . The function ψ_λ belongs to $L^1(\mu_a)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_a} |\psi_\lambda(x)| &\leq |\psi_\lambda(x_\lambda)| + 2|\text{Im } \lambda|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2\pi}{a}k - \frac{\pi}{2a}\right)^2}, \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\text{Im } \lambda}{\lambda - x_\lambda} \right|^2 + 2 \left(\frac{a|\text{Im } \lambda|}{2\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(k - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2} \leq c_1, \end{aligned}$$

where the right hand side does not depend on λ . It follows that the operator M_{ψ_λ} lies in $\mathcal{S}^1(L^2(\mu_a))$ and $\|M_{\psi_\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{S}^1} \leq c_1$. We also have

$$\|C_{\psi_\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} = \|H_{\mu_a}M_{\psi_\lambda} - M_{\psi_\lambda}H_{\mu_a}\|_{\mathcal{S}^1} \leq c_1,$$

for another constant c_1 , because the discrete Hilbert transform H_{μ_a} is bounded on $L^2(\mu_a)$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark that the second part of the proof of Theorem 1.2 is almost literal repetition of the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 6.2 in [9]. However, the original argument in [9] has a gap: it does not involve the estimate of the \mathcal{S}^1 -norm of the multiplication operator $f \mapsto \frac{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^2}{(x-\lambda)^3} f$ from formula (6.3). This technical place turns out to be crucial in the case $0 < p < 1$. More precisely, we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 6.2. — *Let $0 < p < 1$ and let $a > 0$. There exists a function $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_p(\mathbb{Z}_a)$ such that $C_\psi \notin \mathcal{S}^p(L^2(\mu_a))$.*

Proof. — Suppose that $C_\psi \in \mathcal{S}^p(L^2(\mu_a))$ for every $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \operatorname{osc})$. Then it is easy to see from the closed graph theorem that there exists a constant $c_{p,a}$ such that $\|C_\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \leq c_{p,a} \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{B}_p(a, \operatorname{osc})}$ for all $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_p(a, \operatorname{osc})$. Take $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ such that $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \geq \frac{2\pi}{a}$ and consider the function $\psi_\lambda : t \mapsto \frac{\operatorname{Im} \lambda}{t-\lambda}$. Analogously to (6.3), we have $K_{\psi_\lambda} = C_{\psi_\lambda} + M_\lambda$, where K_{ψ_λ} is the integral operator with kernel

$$\frac{\psi_\lambda(x) - \psi_\lambda(t)}{x - t} = -\frac{\operatorname{Im} \lambda}{(x - \bar{\lambda})(t - \bar{\lambda})},$$

and $M_\lambda : f \mapsto \frac{\operatorname{Im} \lambda}{(x-\lambda)^2} f$ is the multiplication operator on $L^2(\mu_a)$ by $\frac{\operatorname{Im} \lambda}{(x-\lambda)^2}$. Observe that K_{ψ_λ} is the rank-one operator whose norm does not exceed

$$\operatorname{Im} \lambda \cdot \left\| \frac{1}{x - \bar{\lambda}} \right\|_{L^2(\mu_a)}^2 \leq c_p \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\operatorname{Im} \lambda \, dt}{t^2 + (\operatorname{Im} \lambda)^2} = c_p \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dt}{t^2 + 1}.$$

It follows from our assumption and Lemma 4.2 that $\|M_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \leq c_{p,a}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \geq \frac{2\pi}{a}$ and a universal constant c_p . On the other hand, we have

$$\|M_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^p = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_a} \frac{(\operatorname{Im} \lambda)^p}{|x - \bar{\lambda}|^{2p}} \geq ac_p \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\operatorname{Im} \lambda)^p \, dx}{(x^2 + (\operatorname{Im} \lambda)^2)^p} \leq a\tilde{c}_p (\operatorname{Im} \lambda)^{1-p}.$$

Since the right hand side is unbounded in λ , we get the contradiction. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] R. V. BESSONOV, “Fredholmness and compactness of truncated Toeplitz and Hankel operators”, *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* **82** (2015), no. 4, p. 451-467.

- [2] B. YA. LEVIN, *Lectures on entire functions*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 150, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [3] P. LOPATTO & R. ROCHBERG, “Schatten-class truncated Toeplitz operators”, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **144** (2016), no. 2, p. 637-649.
- [4] J. PEETRE, *New thoughts on Besov spaces*, Duke University Mathematics Series, vol. 1, Mathematics Department, Duke University, 1976.
- [5] V. V. PELLER, “Wiener–Hopf operators on a finite interval and Schatten–von Neumann classes”, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), no. 2, p. 479-486.
- [6] ———, *Hankel operators and their applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 2003, xvi+784 pages.
- [7] M. PLANCHEREL & G. PÓLYA, “Fonctions entières et intégrales de Fourier multiples”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **10** (1937), no. 1, p. 110-163.
- [8] R. ROCHBERG, “Decomposition theorems for Bergman spaces and their applications”, in *Operators and function theory*, NATO ASI Series. Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, vol. 153, D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1985, p. 225-277.
- [9] ———, “Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the Paley–Wiener space”, *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* **10** (1987), no. 2, p. 187-235.
- [10] M. SMITH, “The reproducing kernel thesis for Toeplitz operators on the Paley–Wiener space”, *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* **49** (2004), no. 1, p. 111-122.
- [11] R. H. TORRES, “Spaces of sequences, sampling theorem, and functions of exponential type”, *Studia Mathematica* **100** (1991), no. 1, p. 51-74.
- [12] ———, “Mean oscillation of functions and the Paley-Wiener space”, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **4** (1998), no. 3, p. 283-297.

Manuscrit reçu le 6 décembre 2016,
accepté le 13 juillet 2017.

R. V. BESSONOV
St. Petersburg State University
29b, 14th Line V.O.,
199178, St. Petersburg (Russia)
and
St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical
Institute of Russian Academy of Science
27, Fontanka,
191023, St. Petersburg (Russia)
bessonov@pdmi.ras.ru