

# ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

GUNNAR FORST  
**On a theorem of M. Itô**

*Annales de l'institut Fourier*, tome 28, n° 2 (1978), p. 157-159  
<[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF\\_1978\\_\\_28\\_2\\_157\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1978__28_2_157_0)>

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## ON A THEOREM OF M. ITO (\*) by Gunnar FORST

---

Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group and let  $N_0$  be a convolution kernel on  $G$  (i.e. a positive measure on  $G$ ) satisfying the principle of unicity of mass. A convolution kernel  $N$  on  $G$  is said to be a *divisor* of  $N_0$  if there exists a convolution kernel  $N'$  on  $G$  such that  $N_0 = N * N'$ . If such an  $N'$  exists and is uniquely determined, it is denoted  $N_0/N$ ; this is the case if  $N'$  exists and is a Hunt kernel on  $G$ . The set of divisors of  $N_0$  is denoted  $D(N_0)$ .

The following result <sup>(1)</sup> was recently proved by M. Itô, cf. Théorème 1 in [1].

**THEOREM.** — *The set*

$$C(N_0) = \{N \in D(N_0) \mid N_0/N \text{ is a Hunt kernel on } G\}$$

*is a convex cone.*

The proof in [1] of this result is somewhat complicated, and it is the purpose of this note to give a simpler proof, mainly using the ideas from [1].

**LEMMA 1** ([1], p. 292-293). — *Let  $N$  be a non-zero convolution kernel. In order that there exist a Hunt kernel  $N'$  and an  $N'$ -invariant convolution kernel  $\eta$  such that  $N_0 = N * N' + \eta$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $N + pN_0 \in D(N_0)$  for all  $p > 0$ . In the affirmative case  $N'$  and  $\eta$  are uniquely deter-*

(\*) This work was done while visiting McGill University, Montréal, in October 1976, supported by NRC-grant A-3108.

(<sup>1</sup>) The statement of Théorème 1 in [1] is not true; the argument uses Corollaire 2 of [1] which is false. What the proof actually shows is what is formulated in the Theorem.

mined as the vague limits

$$N' = \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} (N_0 / (N + pN_0)) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N_0 * \alpha'_t,$$

where  $(\alpha_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is the vaguely continuous convolution semigroup (vccs) on  $G$  associated with  $N'$ .

**LEMMA 2** (cf. [1], p. 295-299). — Let  $N_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  be a Hunt kernel with associated vccs  $(\alpha_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$ . Suppose that the convolution  $N_1 * N_2$  exists. Then  $(\alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$  defines a vccs and

$$N = \int_0^\infty \alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2 dt,$$

defines a Hunt kernel satisfying

$$N_1 * N_2 = N * (N_1 + N_2). \quad (*)$$

*Proof.* — For  $s, t \geq 0$  we have  $\alpha_s^1 * N_1 \leq N_1$  and  $\alpha_s^2 * N_2 \leq N_2$  which implies that the convolution  $\alpha_s^1 * \alpha_s^2$  exists. By the convergence Lemma in [1] p. 295, it is rather easy to see that the mapping  $(s, t) \mapsto \alpha_s^1 * \alpha_t^2$  is vaguely continuous on  $[0, \infty[ \times [0, \infty[$ , and in particular  $(\alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$  is a vccs. For  $n > 0$  we have (vaguely)

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^n \alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2 dt \right) * (N_1 + N_2) &= \left( \int_0^n \alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2 dt \right) * \left( \int_0^\infty (\alpha_s^1 + \alpha_s^2) ds \right) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^n \alpha_s^1 * \alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2 dt \right) ds + \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^n \alpha_s^2 * \alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2 dt \right) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left( \int_t^\infty \alpha_u^1 * \alpha_t^2 du \right) dt + \int_0^\infty \left( \int_t^\infty \alpha_t^1 * \alpha_u^2 du \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \alpha_s^1 * \alpha_t^2 ds dt = N_1 * N_2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\int_0^\infty \alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2 dt$  converges vaguely, and equation (\*) follows by a monotone convergence argument. |.

*Proof of the theorem.* — We shall see that  $C(N_0)$  is convex. Let  $N_1, N_2 \in C(N_0)$  and let  $(\alpha_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be the vccs associated with the Hunt kernel  $N'_i = N_0/N_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $(N'_{i,p})_{p > 0}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be the resolvent for  $N'_i$ ,

$$N'_{i,p} = \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} \alpha_t^i dt \quad \text{for } p > 0 \quad \text{and } i = 1, 2.$$

For  $p > 0$  and  $i = 1, 2$  we have  $N'_{i,p} * (N_i + pN_0) = N_0$  which shows that for  $p > 0$

$$N'_{1,p} * N'_{2,p} * N_0 \leq N'_{1,p} * \frac{1}{p} N_0 \leq \left( \frac{1}{p} \right)^2 N_0.$$

It follows that the convolution  $N'_{1,p} * N'_{2,p}$  exists, and by Lemma 2 the convolution kernel

$$\tilde{N}_p = \int_0^\infty e^{-2pt} \alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2 dt$$

is well-defined and satisfies

$$N'_{1,p} * N'_{2,p} = \tilde{N}_p * (N'_{1,p} + N'_{2,p}).$$

Moreover we have

$$(N_1 + N_2 + 2pN_0) * \tilde{N}_p = N_0. \quad (**)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & (N_1 + N_2 + 2pN_0) * \tilde{N}_p * N'_{1,p} * N'_{2,p} \\ &= (N_1 + pN_0) * N'_{1,p} * N'_{2,p} * \tilde{N}_p + (N_2 + pN_0) * N'_{2,p} * N'_{1,p} * \tilde{N}_p \\ &= N_0 * N'_{2,p} * \tilde{N}_p + N_0 * N'_{1,p} * \tilde{N}_p = N_0 * N'_{1,p} * N'_{2,p}, \end{aligned}$$

where all the convolutions exist, and this implies (\*\*) because  $N'_{1,p} * N'_{2,p}$  satisfies the principle of unicity of mass.

By Lemma 1 the Hunt kernel

$$\tilde{N} = \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \tilde{N}_p = \int_0^\infty \alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2 dt$$

satisfies  $\tilde{N} * (N_1 + N_2) + \eta = N_0$  for some  $\tilde{N}$ -invariant convolution kernel  $\eta$ , and since  $N_0 = N_1 * N'_1 = N_2 * N'_2$  we have (as in [1], p. 302)

$$\eta = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N_0 * \alpha_t^1 * \alpha_t^2 \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N_0 * \alpha_t^2 = 0,$$

which shows that  $\tilde{N} * (N_1 + N_2) = N_0$ , i.e.

$$N_1 + N_2 \in C(N_0). | .$$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. Itô, Sur le cône convexe maximum formé par des diviseurs d'un noyau de convolution et son application. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 25, 3-4 (1975), 289-308.

Manuscrit reçu le 17 février 1977  
Proposé par G. Choquet.

Gunnar Forst,  
Matematisk Institut  
Universitetsparken 5  
DK-2100 København Ø  
Denmark.